1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	FOR THE NORTHERN D POWER DENSITY SOLUTIONS LLC, a	INSIGNE LLP Trevor Q. Coddington, PH.D. (Bar No. 243042) trevorcoddington@sandiegoiplaw.com Cody R. LeJeune (Bar No. 249242) codylejeune@sandiegoiplaw.com Charles A. Blazer, II (Bar No. 282495) charlesblazer@sandiegoiplaw.com 701 Palomar Airport Rd., Suite 230 Carlsbad, CA 92011 T: (858) 227-6633 F: (858) 408-4422 Attorneys for Power Density Solutions LLC DISTRICT COURT ISTRICT COURT ISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA Case No. 3:19-cv-03710-RS
18	Delaware limited liability company,	
<ol> <li>19</li> <li>20</li> <li>21</li> <li>22</li> <li>23</li> <li>24</li> <li>25</li> <li>26</li> <li>27</li> <li>28</li> </ol>	v. IBM CORPORATION, a New York Corporation, and DOES 1-10, inclusive, Defend	STIPULATION AND [ <b>PROPOSED</b> ] ORDER EXTENDING CASE DEADLINES BASED ON NEW AMENDED COMPLAINT Hon. Richard Seeborg
	STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER EXTE	Case No. 3:19-cv-03710-RS ENDING CASE DEADLINES BASED ON NEW AMENDED COMPLAINT Dockets.Justia.com

	6-1(b), 6-2(a), and 7-12, Plai	intiff Power Density Solutions		
LLC ("PDS") and Defendant IBM Corporation ("IBM"), through their respective attorneys,				
declare as follows:				
WHEREAS, on June 26, 2019, Plaintiff Power Density Solutions LLC ("PDS")				
commenced the instant action by filing a complaint (the "Original Complaint") against Defendant				
IBM Corporation ("IBM") in this Court;				
WHEREAS, on October 10, 2019, the Court held an initial case management conference				
and the Court issued an Initial Case Management Scheduling Order setting case deadlines (Dkt.				
No. 30);				
WHEREAS, on October 17, 2019 PDS filed an amended complaint (the "Amended				
Complaint") adding an additional claim of patent infringement for an additional patent against				
IBM (Dkt. No. 31);				
	Casa Managamant Sahadul	ing Order was based on there		
WHEREAS, the current Initial Case Management Scheduling Order was based on there				
being one patent in the case that was asserted on June 26, 2019;				
WHEREAS, there have been three stipulated extensions to respond to the Original				
Complaint that did not require a court	order and no extensions of t	ime in this case requiring a		
court order.				
NOW THEREFORE, pursuant to Civil Local Rules 6-1(b), 6-2(a), and 7-12, the parties				
hereto stipulate to extend all the ordered case deadlines as indicated in the table below:				
E4		ed in the table below:		
Event	Current Deadline	New Stipulated Deadline		
Response to Complaint	Current Deadline October 23, 2019	New Stipulated Deadline November 21, 2019		
Response to Complaint Opposition to Motion to Dismiss	Current DeadlineOctober 23, 2019November 27, 2019	New Stipulated Deadline November 21, 2019 January 24, 2020		
Response to Complaint	Current Deadline October 23, 2019	New Stipulated Deadline November 21, 2019		
Response to ComplaintOpposition to Motion to DismissReply in Support of Motion toDismissHearing on Motion to Dismiss	Current DeadlineOctober 23, 2019November 27, 2019	New Stipulated Deadline November 21, 2019 January 24, 2020		
Response to ComplaintOpposition to Motion to DismissReply in Support of Motion toDismissHearing on Motion to DismissDisclosure of Asserted Claims &Infringement Contentions	Current Deadline           October 23, 2019           November 27, 2019           December 18, 2019           January 9, 2020 at 1:30	New Stipulated DeadlineNovember 21, 2019January 24, 2020February 14, 2020February 27, 2020 at 1:30		
Response to ComplaintOpposition to Motion to DismissReply in Support of Motion toDismissHearing on Motion to DismissDisclosure of Asserted Claims &	Current Deadline           October 23, 2019           November 27, 2019           December 18, 2019           January 9, 2020 at 1:30           p.m.	New Stipulated DeadlineNovember 21, 2019January 24, 2020February 14, 2020February 27, 2020 at 1:30p.m.		
Response to ComplaintOpposition to Motion to DismissReply in Support of Motion to DismissHearing on Motion to DismissDisclosure of Asserted Claims & Infringement Contentions (Pat. L.R. 3- 1 & 3-2)Invalidity Contentions (Pat. L.R. 3-	Current Deadline           October 23, 2019           November 27, 2019           December 18, 2019           January 9, 2020 at 1:30           p.m.           January 13, 2020	New Stipulated DeadlineNovember 21, 2019January 24, 2020February 14, 2020February 27, 2020 at 1:30p.m.February 18, 2020		
Response to ComplaintOpposition to Motion to DismissReply in Support of Motion toDismissHearing on Motion to DismissDisclosure of Asserted Claims &Infringement Contentions(Pat. L.R. 3- 1 & 3-2)Invalidity Contentions (Pat. L.R. 3-3 & 3-4)Exchange of Proposed Terms for	Current Deadline           October 23, 2019           November 27, 2019           December 18, 2019           January 9, 2020 at 1:30           p.m.           January 13, 2020           April 13, 2020	New Stipulated Deadline           November 21, 2019           January 24, 2020           February 14, 2020           February 27, 2020 at 1:30           p.m.           February 18, 2020           May 18, 2020		

1	Event	Current Deadline	New Stipulated Deadline
2	Exchange of Preliminary Claim	May 4, 2020	June 8, 2020
	Constructions and Extrinsic		
3	Evidence (Pat. L.R. 4-2) File Joint Claim Construction and	June 12, 2020	July 17, 2020
4	Prehearing Statement (Pat.	5 une 12, 2020	buly 17, 2020
_	L.R. 4-3)		
5	Completion of Claim Construction	July 13, 2020	August 17, 2020
6	Discovery (Pat. L.R. 4-4)	July 13, 2020	August 17, 2020
7	PDS Opening Claim Construction Brief (Pat. L.R. 4-5(a))	August 3, 2020	September 7, 2020
8	IBM Opposition Claim	August 24, 2020	September 28, 2020
9	Construction Brief (Pat.		
10	L.R. 4-5(b)) PDS Reply Claim Construction Brief (Pat. L.R. 4-5(c))	August 31, 2020	October 5, 2020
11	Claim Construction Hearing (Pat.	September 14, 2020	October 19, 2020
12	L.R. 4-6)		
13			
14			
15			
16			
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			
26			
27			
28			
		-2-	Case No. 3:19-cv-03710-RS
	STIFULATION AND [PROPOSED] OF	NDER EATENDING CASE DE	ADLINES BASED ON NEW AMENDED COMPLAINT

1	DATED: October 30, 2019	Respectfully submitted,
2		
3		By /s/ Michael D. Powell
4		QUINN EMANUEL URQUHART &
5		SULLIVAN, LLP Robert W. Stone (Bar No. 163513)
6		robertstone@quinnemanuel.com Brice C. Lynch (Bar No. 288567)
7		bricelynch@quinnemanuel.com Joseph E. Reed (Bar No. 323524) joereed@quinnemanuel.com
8 9		555 Twin Dolphin Drive, 5th Floor Redwood Shores, CA 94065
10		T: 650.801.5000 F: 650.801.5100
11		QUINN EMANUEL URQUHART & SULLIVAN, LLP
12		Michael D. Powell (Bar No. 202850) mikepowell@quinnemanuel.com
13		50 California Street, 22nd Floor San Francisco, CA 94111
14		T: 415.875.6600 F: 415.875.6700
15		Attorneys appearing specially for IBM
16		Corporation
17	DATED: October 30, 2019	Respectfully submitted,
18		
19 20		By /s/ Cody R. LeJeune
20 21		INSIGNE LLP
21		Trevor Q. Coddington, PH.D. (Bar No. 243042) trevorcoddington@sandiegoiplaw.com
23		Cody R. LeJeune (Bar No. 249242) codylejeune@sandiegoiplaw.com
24		Charles A. Blazer, II (Bar No. 282495) charlesblazer@sandiegoiplaw.com
25		701 Palomar Airport Rd., Suite 230 Carlsbad, CA 92011
26		T: (858) 227-6633 F: (858) 408-4422
27		Attorneys for Power Density Solutions LLC
28		
	STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED	-3- Case No. 3:19-cv-03710-RS ] ORDER EXTENDING CASE DEADLINES BASED ON NEW AMENDED
		COMPLAINT

1	ORDER
2	PURSUANT TO STIPULATION, IT IS SO ORDERED.
3	
4	DATED: <u>11/4/19</u>
5	RICHARD SEEBORG United States District Judge
6	Office States District Judge
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	-4- Case No. 3:19-cv-03710-RS
	STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER EXTENDING CASE DEADLINES BASED ON NEW AMENDED COMPLAINT