UNITED STATES JUDICIAL PANEL on MULTIDISTRICT LITIGATION

IN RE: JOHNSON & JOHNSON TALCUM POWDER PRODUCTS MARKETING, SALES PRACTICES AND PRODUCTS LIABILITY LITIGATION

I HEREBY CERTIFY that the above and foregoing is a true and correct copy of the original on filesh my office.

UNATED STATES DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF ANEW JEASEN

WILLIAM T. WALSH, GLERK

By Deputy Clerk

MDL No. 2738

TRANSFER ORDER

inclusion in MDL No. 2738. Defendants Johnson & Johnson and Johnson & Johnson Consumer, 7.1 to vacate our orders that conditionally transferred these actions to the District of New Jersey for Inc., oppose the motions. Before the Panel: Plaintiffs in the three actions listed on Schedule A move under Panel Rule

present their remand arguments to the transferee judge. jurisdictional issues generally do not present an impediment to transfer. See, e.g., In re Prudential motions for remand to state court should be decided before transfer. The Panel has held that such matter jurisdiction over their respective actions is lacking, and that plaintiffs' pending or anticipated Ins. Co. of Am. Sales Practices Litig., 170 F. Supp. 2d 1346, 1347-48 (J.P.M.L. 2001). Plaintiffs can In support of their motions to vacate, plaintiffs in all three actions argue that federal subject

involving claims against Walgreen Company. See, e.g., Transfer Order at 1-2, In re Johnson & involving claims against retailers and other defendants to the MDL, including several actions of Johnson & Johnson's talcum powder products. Moreover, we have transferred numerous actions (J.P.M.L. 2016). Plaintiffs' claims, like those of plaintiffs in the MDL, arise from a common factual identity of factual issues or parties when the actions arise from a common factual core. See In re Plaintiffs' arguments are not persuasive. Transfer under Section 1407 does not require a complete not appropriate because they assert claims against a unique defendant-Walgreen Company. 100% Grated Parmesan Cheese Mktg. & Sales Practices Litig., 201 F. Supp. 3d 1375, 1378 that plaintiffs' decedents allegedly developed ovarian cancer following perineal application In addition, plaintiffs in the Northern District of Illinois Palmer action argue that transfer is

of the action to the MDL is finalized, a court generally has adequate time to rule on a remand motion the subject action is pending. Between the date a remand motion is filed and the date that transfer pendency of a conditional transfer order does not limit the pretrial jurisdiction of the court in which than a month before the action was reassigned if it chooses to do so. In Palmer, for instance, briefing on plaintiffs' remand motion closed more Hearing Session. Plaintiffs sought to have their motion to vacate heard at a later Hearing Session. district judge shortly before the Panel's consideration of this matter at its September 26, 2019 Panel Clerk properly denied this request. Panel Rule 2.1(d) expressly provides that the The Palmer action pending in the Northern District of Illinois was reassigned to another

'n

defendant); Transfer Order at 2, In re Johnson & Johnson Talcum Powder Prods. Mktg., Sales Johnson Talcum Powder Prods. Mktg., Sales Practices & Prods. Liab. Litig., MDL No. 2738 Practices & Prods. Liab. Litig., MDL No. 2738 (J.P.M.L. Dec. 5, 2017), ECF No. 931 (same). (J.P.M.L. July 31, 2019), ECF No. 1885 (transferring action naming Walgreen Company as a

following perineal application of Johnson & Johnson's talcum powder products (namely, Johnson's promote the just and efficient conduct of the litigation. In our order centralizing this litigation, we Plaintiffs' actions here share multiple questions of fact with the actions already in the MDL. Baby Powder and Shower to Shower body powder). See In re Johnson & Johnson Talcum Powder factual questions arising from allegations that plaintiffs or their decedents developed ovarian cancer held that the District of New Jersey was an appropriate Section 1407 forum for actions sharing that transfer under 28 U.S.C. § 1407 will serve the convenience of the parties and witnesses and Schedule A involve common questions of fact with the actions transferred to MDL No. 2738, and Prods. Mktg., Sales Practices & Prods. Liab. Litig., 220 F. Supp. 3d 1356, 1357 (J.P.M.L. 2016). Therefore, after considering the argument of counsel, we find that the actions listed on

District of New Jersey and, with the consent of that court, assigned to the Honorable Freda L. Wolfson for coordinated or consolidated pretrial proceedings. IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that the actions listed on Schedule A are transferred to the

PANEL ON MULTIDISTRICT LITIGATION

Sarah S. Vance Chair

Lewis A. Kaplan R. David Proctor Karen K. Caldwell

Ellen Segal Huvelle Catherine D. Perry Nathaniel M. Gorton

IN RE: JOHNSON & JOHNSON TALCUM POWDER PRODUCTS MARKETING, SALES PRACTICES AND PRODUCTS LIABILITY LITIGATION

MDL No. 2738

SCHEDULE A

Northern District of California

SAMBI v. JOHNSON & JOHNSON, ET AL., C.A. No. 3:19-03715

Southern District of California

WAINSCOTT v. JOHNSON & JOHNSON, ET AL., C.A. No. 3:19-01160

Northern District of Illinois

PALMER, ET AL. v. JOHNSON & JOHNSON, ET AL., C.A. No. 1:19-03731