

ALLEN, GLAESSNER, HAZELWOOD & WERTH, LLP
 180 Montgomery Street, Suite 1200
 San Francisco, California 94104

1 KEVIN P. ALLEN, State Bar No. 252290
kallen@aghwlaw.com
 2 JOHN B. ROBINSON, State Bar No. 297065
jrobinson@aghwlaw.com
 3 ALLEN, GLAESSNER, HAZELWOOD & WERTH, LLP
 180 Montgomery Street, Suite 1200
 4 San Francisco, CA 94104
 Telephone: (415) 697-2000
 5 Facsimile: (415) 813-2045

6 Attorneys for Defendants
 CITY OF ANTIOCH, SGT. MATTHEW KOCH, OFC.
 7 ZECHARIAH MATIS and OFC. KRISTOPHER KINT

8 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
 9 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

11 CAMERON ROCHA,
 12 Plaintiff,
 13 v.
 14 CITY OF ANTIOCH, et al.,
 15 Defendants.

Case No.: 3:19-cv-07312-MMC

**STIPULATION FOR DISMISSAL IN
 EXCHANGE FOR WAIVER OF COSTS;
~~[PROPOSED]~~ ORDER**

18 **TO THE COURT**

19 On April 29, 2021, the jury returned a verdict in favor of City of Antioch and Ofc.
 20 Zechariah Matis on all causes of action before them. (Dkt. No. 140). Defendants Sgt. Koch and
 21 Ofc. Kint were previously dismissed from the case on April 22, 2021 (Dkt. No. 132, 3). The
 22 Court entered judgment for Defendants on April 29, 2021. (Dkt. No. 146). Pursuant to Fed. R.
 23 Civ. P. 54(d)(1) and 28 U.S.C. §1920, Defendants submitted a cost bill on May 13, 2021, in the
 24 amount of \$17,046.30 (Dkt. No. 152).

25 The jury returned a verdict on plaintiff Cameron Rocha’s California state-law claims. His
 26 federal claims on currently on appeal (Dkt. No. 52, 56).

27 The parties have met-and-conferred and, by and through their respective counsel, hereby
 28 stipulate as follows:

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

1. Plaintiff Cameron Rocha (“Plaintiff”) waives any and all post-trial motions in the above-captioned case;
2. Plaintiff waives any appeal in the above-captioned case;
3. Plaintiff dismisses, with prejudice, any and all remaining claims in the above-captioned case, including but not limited to: Fourth Amendment Excessive Force under 42 U.S.C. § 1983 and Supervisory Liability under 42 U.S.C. § 1983; and
4. Defendants withdraw their pending Cost Bill.

Execution of this stipulation and its approval by the Court will render moot Defendants’ current appeal in the Ninth Circuit (Case No. 21-15456). Upon Court approval of this stipulation, Defendants will file a copy of the same with the Ninth Circuit and dismiss said appeal (each side to bears its fees and costs relating to the appeal, including attorneys’ fees).

Respectfully Submitted,

Dated: June 3, 2021

SIEGEL & YEE

By: /s/ Chan Kim
DANIEL SIEGEL
CHAN A. KIM
EMILYROSE JOHNS
Attorneys for Plaintiff
CAMERON ROCHA

Dated: June 3, 2021

ALLEN, GLAESSNER,
HAZELWOOD & WERTH, LLP

By: /s/ Kevin P. Allen
KEVIN P. ALLEN
JOHN B. ROBINSON
Attorneys for Defendants
CITY OF ANTIOCH, SGT. MATTHEW
KOCH, OFC. ZECHARIAH MATIS and OFC.
KRISTOPHER KINT

///
///
///

1 PURSUANT TO THE STIPULATION SET FORTH ABOVE, IT IS SO ORDERED.

2
3
4 DATED: June 3, 2021


MAXINE M. CHESNEY
United States District Judge

5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
ALLEN, GLAESSNER, HAZELWOOD & WERTH, LLP
180 Montgomery Street, Suite 1200
San Francisco, California 94104