1 2 3 4 5 6	KIESEL LAW LLP Paul R. Kiesel, State Bar No. 119854 Jeffrey A. Koncius, State Bar No. 189803 8648 Wilshire Boulevard Beverly Hills, California 90211-2910 Tel: 310-854-4444 Fax: 310-854-0812 Email: kiesel@kiesel.law Email: koncius@kiesel.law	LEWIS AND LEWIS TRIAL LAWYERS, PLC Robert K. Lewis (AZ SBN 016625) Pro Hac Vice Amy M. Lewis (AZ SBN 027201) Pro Hac Vice Scottsdale, AZ 85262 Tel: (602) 889-6666 Email: Rob@LewisLawFirm.com Email: Amy@LewisLawFirm.com
7	Attorneys for Plaintiff Steven C. Johnson	
8 9 10 11 12 13	Paul G. Cereghini (SBN 148016) Lauren O. Miller (SBN 279448) BOWMAN AND BROOKE LLP 1741 Technology Drive, Suite 200 San Jose, CA 95110 Telephone: (408) 279-5393 Facsimile: (408) 279-5845 paul.cereghini@bowmanandbrooke.com lauren.miller@bowmanandbrooke.com carissa.casolari@bowmanandbrooke.com	Christopher Renzulli (Pro Hac Vice) John F. Renzulli (Pro Hac Vice) Howard B. Schilsky (Pro Hac Vice) RENZULLI LAW FIRM, LLP One North Broadway, Suite 1005 White Plains, NY 10601 Telephone: (914) 285-0700 Facsimile: (914) 285-1213 jrenzulli@renzullilaw.com crenzulli@renzullilaw.com hschilsky@renzullilaw.com
14	Attorneys for Defendants Glock, Inc. and Glo	ck Ges.m.b.H.
15		
16	UNITED STATES DIS	TRICT COURT
17	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALL	FORNIA – SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION
18		
19	STEVEN C. JOHNSON, an individual, on) CASE NO.: 3:20-cv-08807-WHO
20	behalf of himself and all others similarly situated,) JOINT STIPULATION AND
21	Plaintiff,	(PROPOSED) ORDER TO EXTEND CLASS CERTIFICATION BRIEFING
22	VS.) SCHEDULE)
23	GLOCK, INC., a Georgia Corporation; GLOCK Ges.m.b.H., an Austrian entity;)
24	JOHN and JANE DOES I through V;)
25	ABC CORPORATIONS I-X, XYZ PARTNERSHIPS, SOLE)
26	PROPRIETORSHIPS and/or JOINT)
27	VENTURES I-X, GUN COMPONENT MANUFACTURERS I-V)
28	Defendants.)

CASE NO.: 3:20-cv-08807-WHO

Plaintiff STEVEN C. JOHNSON ("Plaintiff") and Defendants GLOCK, INC., and
GLOCK Ges.m.b.H. ("Defendants," and together with Plaintiff, the "Parties"), by and
through their counsel of record, and pursuant to Civil L.R. 6-2 and Rule 29 of the Federal
Rules of Civil Procedure, hereby stipulate and jointly move to continue the class
certification briefing schedule as described below.

WHEREAS, Plaintiff filed his Motion for Class Certification on October 12, 2023.

WHEREAS, Defendants' opposition is due on December 12, 2023, and Plaintiff's reply is due on February 12, 2024. (ECF No. 99). The hearing on Plaintiff's Motion for Class Certification is currently scheduled for February 28, 2024. *Id.*

WHEREAS, the Court has previously approved two extensions of the deadline for Plaintiff to file his Motion for Class Certification (and associated deadlines). (ECF Nos. 93-94; 98-99).

WHEREAS, the Parties are requesting an extension of the deadline for Defendants' opposition to Plaintiff's Motion for Class Certification (and associated deadlines) due to the following circumstances:

(1) Expert Depositions. Plaintiff's Motion for Class Certification relies upon an extensive record and four expert reports. The expert reports alone total a combined 318 pages. The remaining 39 separate exhibits are thousands of pages and include some documents Defendants had not previously seen. Based on Plaintiff's Submission, Defendants are contemplating the appropriate response to oppose Plaintiff's Motion for Class Certification. Preliminarily, the Parties are attempting to schedule depositions of Plaintiff's proposed experts but have encountered timing issues that affect Defendants' ability to conduct depositions before their opposition is due. For example, one of Plaintiff's experts will not be available for a deposition until mid-January and the Parties are still attempting to coordinate dates for the depositions of Plaintiff's other three experts in December and/or January (if necessary). In addition to complications with coordinating everyone's various schedules, upcoming holidays have become a factor for scheduling.

CASE NO.: 3:20-cv-08807-WHO

The Parties agree that additional time is needed to conduct depositions of Plaintiff's experts
 with sufficient time for Defendants to prepare their opposition to class certification.

(2) <u>Defendants' Expert Reports</u>. Defendants' experts also need additional time to prepare their reports as they intend to rely, at least in part, on testimony of Plaintiff's experts. Considering the voluminous record, Defendants believe additional time is needed for Defendants' experts to prepare full and complete responsive reports.

(3) <u>Additional Discovery</u>. Defendants served a second set of requests for production and interrogatories on Plaintiff following Plaintiff's deposition that was conducted on September 7, 2023. Defendants agreed to extend the time for Plaintiff to respond to the discovery until November 30. Plaintiff's responses, however, are necessary for Defendants to prepare their opposition to class certification and, therefore, additional time is needed to receive and review Plaintiff's supplemental discovery responses before the deadline for Defendants to file their opposition.

(4) <u>Commensurate Extension for Plaintiff</u>. Considering the foregoing, Plaintiff is also requesting an extension of time for filing his reply. To the extent Plaintiff seeks depositions of Defendants' experts, the Parties anticipate that similar scheduling issues may arise and believe it would be prudent to allow for some flexibility in scheduling. Plaintiff also recently served a third set of requests for production and interrogatories, including 86 additional requests for production, and a second set of 63 additional requests for admission on Defendants. Plaintiff has agreed to extend the time for Defendants to respond while the parties meet and confer regarding the scope of this discovery. The Parties agree that additional time is warranted to the extent Plaintiff believes the additional discovery is needed for his reply.

WHEREAS, the Parties have met and conferred, and stipulate to mutually extend the remaining class certification deadlines as follows:

> The new deadline for Defendants to file an Opposition to Plaintiff's Motion for Class Certification is February 23, 2024.

///

1	2. The new deadline for Plaintiff to file his Reply in support of his Motion for	
2	Class Certification is May 23, 2024.	
3	3. The new hearing date on Plaintiff's Motion for Class Certification is June 5,	
4	2024, at 2:00 p.m. PST, or as soon thereafter as counsel may be heard.	
5	IT IS SO STIPULATED.	
6	Respectfully submitted,	
7		
8	Dated: November 27, 2023 /s/ Robert Lewis	
9	LEWIS LAW FIRM, PLC Robert K. Lewis, <i>Pro Hac Vice</i>	
	Amy M. Lewis, <i>Pro Hac Vice</i> 2302 N. 3 rd Street	
10	Phoenix, Arizona 85004	
11	Tel: (602) 889-6666 Fax: (602) 252-1446	
12	Email: <u>rob@lewislawfirm.com</u> Email: <u>amy@lewislawfirm.com</u>	
13	Paul R. Kiesel, State Bar No. 119854	
14	kiesel@kiesel.law	
15	Jeffrey A. Koncius, State Bar No. 189803	
15	koncius@kiesel.law	
16	KIESEL LAW LLP	
17	8648 Wilshire Boulevard Beverly Hills, California 90211-2910	
1/	Tel: 310-854-4444	
18	Fax: 310-854-0812	
19	Nicholas Panayotopoulos, Pro Hac Vice	
20	npanayo@wwhgd.com	
0.1	Gary J. Toman, Pro Hac Vice	
21	gtoman@wwhgd.com	
22	Alexander Heydemann, Pro Hac Vice aheydemann@wwhgd.com	
23	WEINBERG WHEELER HUDGINS	
24	GUNN & DIAL LLC 3344 Peachtree Road, NE	
24	Atlanta, Georgia 30326	
25	Tel: 404-876-2700	
26	Attorneys for Plaintiff	
27		
28		
I	CASE NO.: 3:20-cv-08807-WHO	
	IONT STIDUL ATION AND IDDODOSEDI ODDED TO EVTEND OLASS CEDTIEICATION	

Dated: November 27, 2023 /s/ Howard B. Schilsky John F. Renzulli (Pro Hac Vice) Christopher Renzulli (Pro Hac Vice) Howard B. Schilsky (Pro Hac Vice) **RENZULLI LAW FIRM, LLP** One North Broadway, Suite 1005 White Plains, NY 10601 Telephone: (914) 285-0700 Facsimile: (914) 285-1213 jrenzulli@renzullilaw.com crenzulli@renzullilaw.com hschilsky@renzullilaw.com Paul G. Cereghini (SBN 148016) Lauren O. Miller (SBN 279448) **BOWMAN AND BROOKE LLP** 1741 Technology Drive, Suite 200 San Jose, CA 95110 Telephone: (408) 279-5393 Facsimile: (408) 279-5845 paul.cereghini@bowmanandbrooke.com lauren.miller@bowmanandbrooke.com Carissa Casolari (SBN 292878) **BOWMAN AND BROOKE LLP** 970 West 190th Street, Suite 700 Torrance, CA 90502 Telephone: (310) 768-3068 Facsimile: (310) 719-1019 Carissa.casolari@bowmanandbrooke.com Attorneys for Defendants Glock, Inc. and Glock Ges.m.b.H.

CASE NO.: 3:20-cv-08807-WHO

1	[PROPOSED] ORDER
2	The Court, having fully considered the Parties' Joint Stipulation and [Proposed] Order to
3	Continue Class Certification Briefing Schedule HEREBY ORDERS as follows:
4	1. The new deadline for Defendants to file an Opposition to Plaintiff's Motion for
5	Class Certification is February 23, 2024.
6	2. The new deadline for Plaintiff to file his Reply in support of his Motion for Class
7	Certification is May 23, 2024.
8	3. The new hearing date on Plaintiff's Motion for Class Certification is June 12,
9	2024, at 2:00 p.m. PST.
10	
11	IT IS SO ORDERED.
12	$V \cdot M OO$
13	Dated: November 27, 2023
14	UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25 26	
26	
27	
28	CASE NO.: 3:20-cv-08807-WHO
	IONT CTIDUL ATION AND IDDODOCEDLODDED TO EVTEND OF ACC CEDTIEICATION

JOINT STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER TO EXTEND CLASS CERTIFICATION BRIEFING SCHEDULE