

1
2 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
3 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
4

5 INTERTRUST TECHNOLOGIES
6 CORPORATION,

7 Movant,

8 v.

9 DOLBY LABORATORIES, INC.,

10 Respondent.

Case No. 20-mc-80184-EMC (JCS)

**ORDER GRANTING
ADMINISTRATIVE MOTIONS TO
FILE UNDER SEAL**

Re: Dkt. Nos. 3, 14, 18

11 The Court has reviewed the parties' administrative motions to file under seal at docket
12 entries 3, 14 and 18. Each is supported only by a declaration from an attorney for Dolby
13 Laboratories stating that Dolby designated the material at issue as highly confidential, and that any
14 material so designated is sensitive and would harm Dolby if disclosed. *See* 1st Spencer Decl. (dkt.
15 9); 2d Spencer Decl. (dkt. 16); Wang Decl. (dkt. 18-1).

16 This Court's local rules provide that "[r]eference to a stipulation or protective order that
17 allows a party to designate certain documents as confidential is not sufficient to establish that a
18 document, or portions thereof, are sealable." Civ. L.R. 79-5(d)(1)(A). Dolby's declarations are
19 therefore inadequate.

20 Nevertheless, the Court has reviewed the material at issue and determined that it meets the
21 relaxed "good cause" standard for sealing applicable to the discovery disputes for which it was
22 filed. The motions are therefore GRANTED. Dolby is admonished that, going forward, any
23 declarations submitted in support of filing documents under seal must set forth particular reasons
24 *why* disclosure would harm Dolby, or some other specific grounds for sealing beyond the mere
25 fact that Dolby designated the material at issue as confidential.

26 **IT IS SO ORDERED.**

27 Dated: June 10, 2021

28 

JOSEPH C. SPERO
Chief Magistrate Judge