28

1 2 3 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 4 5 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 6 CADENCE DESIGN SYSTEMS, INC., 7 Case No. 21-cv-03610-SI (JCS) Plaintiff, 8 ORDER DECLINING TO IMPOSE **SANCTIONS** v. 9 Re: Dkt. No. 174 SYNTRONIC AB, et al., 10 Defendants. 11 12 The Court previously ordered the parties in this case to show cause why each side should 13 not be sanctioned \$1,000 as a result of a discovery letter that Plaintiff filed unilaterally after Defendants failed to provide their portion. Dkt. 174. The Court has reviewed the parties' 14 15 responses (dkts. 177, 178) and declines to sanction any party at this time. It remains clear that the unilateral letter arose from a breakdown of clear communication, good faith negotiation, and the 16 collaborative approach to discovery disputes required by this Court's standing order. The parties 17 18 are admonished that future failures to comply with this Court's procedures and negotiate in good 19 faith may result in sanctions. IT IS SO ORDERED. 20 Dated: August 16, 2022 21 22 EPH C. SPERO 23 hief Magistrate Judge 24 25 26 27

Dockets.Justia.com