

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

Thomas N. Millikan, Bar No. 234430
TMillikan@perkinscoie.com
Joseph P. Reid, Bar No. 211082
JReid@perkinscoie.com
PERKINS COIE LLP
11452 El Camino Real, Suite 300
San Diego, CA 92130
Telephone: 858.720.5700
Facsimile: 858.720.5799
Andrew N. Klein, Bar No. 300221
AKlein@perkinscoie.com
PERKINS COIE LLP
3150 Porter Drive
Palo Alto, CA 94304-1212
Telephone: 650.838.4300
Facsimile: 650.838.4350

Attorneys for Plaintiff Netskope, Inc.

QUINN EMANUEL URQUHART &
SULLIVAN, LLP
David A. Nelson (Illinois State Bar No. 6209623;
pro hac vice application forthcoming)
davidnelson@quinnemanuel.com
191 N. Wacker Drive, Suite 2700
Chicago, Illinois 60606
Telephone: (312) 705-7400
Facsimile: (312) 705-7401
Sean S. Pak (Bar No. 219032)
seanpak@quinnemanuel.com
Andrew M. Holmes (Bar No. 260475)
drewholmes@quinnemanuel.com
50 California Street, 22nd Floor
San Francisco, California 94111
Telephone: (415) 875-6600
Facsimile: (415) 875-6700
Tigran Guledjian (Bar No. 207613)
tigranguledjian@quinnemanuel.com
Jordan B. Kaericher (Bar No. 265953)
jordankaericher@quinnemanuel.com
865 South Figueroa Street, 10th Floor
Los Angeles, California 90017-2543
Telephone: (213) 443-3000
Facsimile: (213) 443-3100

Attorneys for Defendant Fortinet, Inc

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION

NETSKOPE, INC.,

Plaintiff and Counterclaim-
defendant,

v.

FORTINET, INC.,

Defendant and Counterclaim-
plaintiff.

Case No. 3:22-cv-01852-TLT

**STIPULATION REQUESTING
EXTENSION OF TIME FOR FORTINET,
INC. TO OPPOSE NETSKOPE, INC.'S
MOTION TO STAY CASE PENDING
INTER PARTES REVIEW**

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

Pursuant to Civil Local Rule 6-2, Plaintiff and Counterclaim-Defendant Netskope, Inc. (“Netskope”) and Defendant and Counterclaimant Fortinet, Inc. (“Fortinet”), by and through their attorneys, stipulate to a 4-day extension for Fortinet to oppose Netskope’s Motion to Stay Case Pending *Inter Partes* Review. The parties declare the following in support of the extension:

WHEREAS, Netskope filed its Motion to Stay Case Pending *Inter Partes* Review (the “Motion”) on November 17, 2022;

WHEREAS, Fortinet’s opposition to the Motion is due December 1, 2022;

WHEREAS, Fortinet has requested a 4-day extension of time to oppose the Motion;

WHEREAS, Netskope has agreed to the request for extension;

WHEREAS, the requested extension will not change any event or deadline currently set by the Court;

NOW THEREFORE, IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED AND AGREED by the parties that Netskope shall have until December 5, 2022 to oppose Netskope’s Motion.

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

DATED: November 23, 2022


By: /s/ Joseph P. Reid
Joseph P. Reid
JReid@perkinscoie.com Thomas N. Millikan
TMillikan@perkinscoie.com
Andrew N. Klein
AKlein@perkinscoie.com
Perkins Coie LLP
Attorneys for Plaintiff Netskope, Inc.

DATED: November 23, 2022

By: /s/ Tigran Guledjian
Tigran Guledjian
tigranguledjian@quinnemanuel.com
Andrew M. Holmes
drewholmes@quinnemanuel.com
Jordan B. Kaericher
jordankaericher@quinnemanuel.com
Quinn Emanuel Urquhart & Sullivan, LLP
Attorneys for Defendant Fortinet, Inc.

Pursuant to Stipulation, **IT IS SO ORDERED.**

DATED: November 28, 2022


Hon. Trina L. Thompson
U.S. District Court Judge.