

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

META PLATFORMS, INC.,
Plaintiffs,
v.
BRIGHT DATA LTD.,
Defendants.

Case No. 23-cv-00077-EMC

**ORDER DENYING PLAINTIFF META
PLATFORMS, INC.’S MOTION FOR
ADMINISTRATIVE RELIEF FOR
LEAVE TO FILE SUPPLEMENTAL
EVIDENCE IN SUPPORT OF ITS
CROSS-MOTION FOR SUMMARY
JUDGMENT AND OPPOSITION TO
BRIGHT DATA’S MOTION FOR
SUMMARY JUDGMENT**

Docket Nos. 164, 165

Plaintiff Meta Platforms, Inc. (“Meta”) filed its Motion for Administrative Relief for Leave to File Supplemental Evidence in Support of Its Cross-Motion for Summary Judgment and Opposition to Bright Data’s Motion for Summary Judgment. Dkt. No. 164. Defendant Bright Data Ltd. (“Bright Data”) filed its Opposition to Meta’s Motion for Administrative Relief. Dkt. No. 166.

The Court hereby **DENIES WITHOUT PREJUDICE** Meta’s Motion for Administrative Relief. The Court will proceed with the hearing on Defendant Bright Data’s Motion for Summary Judgment on Count One, Dkt. No. 75, and Meta’s Cross-Motion for Summary Judgment and Opposition to Bright Data’s Motion for Summary Judgment, Dkt. No. 105, scheduled for November 16, 2023, and reserves the right to continue the hearing should it be convinced that supplemental evidence will be allowed. This order also **DENIES** as moot Meta’s Administrative Motion to Consider Whether Another Party’s Material Should Be Sealed. Dkt. No. 165.

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: November 13, 2023



EDWARD M. CHEN
United States District Judge