1		
2		
3		
4	UNITED STATES	DISTRICT COURT
5	NORTHERN DISTR	ICT OF CALIFORNIA
6		
7	AT&T CORPORATION, A NEW YORK CORPORATION,	Case No. <u>23-cv-00938-LJC</u>
8	Plaintiff,	NOTICE RE: DEFAULT JUDGMENT
9	V.	PROCEDURE
10	INTERSTATE HOLDINGS, LLC DBA	
11	CONCORD HILTON, A CALIFORNIA LIMITED LIABILITY COMPANY,	
12	Defendant.	
13		

As the Clerk of Court has entered default against Interstate Holdings, LLC dba Concord Hilton, a California limited liability company (ECF No. 13), the Court provides this notice to the parties regarding any default judgment motions filed pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 55(b)(2). All motions for default judgment shall be filed no later than 45 days from the date of the Clerk's entry of default. Requests for additional time shall be made in accordance with Civil Local Rule 6-3.

In addition, all default judgment motions shall be filed in compliance with Civil Local
Rule 7, be structured as outlined in Attachment A below, and include all relevant legal authority
and analysis necessary to establish the case. If no opposition is filed by the deadline under Rule 7,
the moving party shall instead file proposed findings of fact and conclusions of law (as outlined in
Attachment A) by the reply deadline under Rule 7. The moving party shall also email the
proposed findings in Microsoft Word format to ljcpo@cand.uscourts.gov. No chambers copies
are required.

14

15

16

17

18

19

27 //

//

28

1	Plaintiff shall serve this notice upon all other parties in this action and file proof of service
2	thereafter.
3	IT IS SO ORDERED.
4	Dated: June 2, 2023
5	
6	Jun inves
7	USA J. CISNEROS United States Magistrate Judge
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	
	2

United States District Court Northern District of California

1	ATTACHMENT A	
2	***	
3	I. INTRODUCTION	
4	(Relief sought and disposition.)	
5	II. BACKGROUND	
6	(The pertinent factual and procedural background, including citations to specific pages or paragraphs	
7	in the Complaint and other competent evidence.)	
8	III. LEGAL STANDARD	
9	(Include the following standard)	
10	Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 55(b)(2) permits a court, following default by a defendant,	
11	to enter default judgment in a case. The decision of whether to grant or deny a request for default	
12	judgment lies within the sound discretion of the district court. DIRECTV, Inc. v. Hoa Huynh, 503	
13	F.3d 847, 852 (9th Cir. 2007).	
14	At the default judgment stage, the factual allegations of the Complaint, other than those	
15	pertaining to damages, are deemed admitted. Fed. R. Civ. P. 8(b)(6) ("An allegation-other than	
16	one relating to the amount of damages—is admitted if a responsive pleading is required and the	
17	allegation is not denied"); Garamendi v. Henin, 683 F.3d 1069, 1080 (9th Cir. 2012). "However, a	
18	defendant is not held to admit facts that are not well-pleaded or to admit conclusions of law."	
19	DIRECTV, Inc., 503 F.3d at 854 (internal citation and quotation marks omitted)). Therefore,	
20	"necessary facts not contained in the pleadings, and claims which are legally insufficient, are not	
21	established by default." Cripps v. Life Ins. Co. of N. Am., 980 F.2d 1261, 1267 (9th Cir. 1992).	
22	Further, the scope of relief is limited by Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 54(c), which states that a	
23	"default judgment must not differ in kind from, or exceed in amount, what is demanded in the	
24	pleadings."	
25	In determining whether default judgment is appropriate, the Ninth Circuit has enumerated	
26	the following factors for courts to consider:	
27	(1) the possibility of prejudice to the plaintiff, (2) the merits of plaintiffs substantive claim (3) the sufficiency of the complaint (4)	
28	plaintiff's substantive claim, (3) the sufficiency of the complaint, (4) the sum of money at stake in the action; (5) the possibility of a dispute	

United States District Court Northern District of California

1	concerning material facts; (6) whether the default was due to excusable neglect, and (7) the strong policy underlying the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure favoring decisions on the merits.	
2		
3	<u>Eitel v. McCool</u> , 782 F.2d 1470, 1471-72 (9th Cir. 1986).	
4	IV. DISCUSSION	
5	A. Jurisdiction and Service of Process	
6	(Include the following standard)	
7	In considering whether to enter default judgment, a district court must first determine	
8	whether it has jurisdiction over the subject matter and the parties to the case. See In re Tuli, 172	
9	F.3d 707, 712 (9th Cir. 1999). While evaluating the existence of jurisdiction, the court may	
10	resolve factual disputes by "look[ing] beyond the complaint" and considering "affidavits or other	
11	evidence properly brought before the court." Savage v. Glendale Union High Sch., Dist. No. 205,	
12	Maricopa Cnty., 343 F.3d 1036, 1040, n.2 (9th Cir. 2003) (considering subject matter jurisdiction	
13	on a 12(b)(1) motion).	
14	1. Subject Matter Jurisdiction	
15	(Establish the basis for the Court's subject matter jurisdiction, including citations to relevant case law	
16	and United States Code provisions)	
17	2. Personal Jurisdiction	
18	a. Basis for Personal Jurisdiction	
19	(Establish the basis for the Court's personal jurisdiction, including citations to relevant legal	
20	authority, specific to each defendant. If seeking default judgment against any out-of-state defendants,	
21	this shall include a minimum contacts analysis under <u>Schwarzenegger v. Fred Martin Motor Co.</u> , 374	
22	F.3d 797, 802 (9th Cir. 2004)).	
23	b. Service of Process	
24	(Establish the adequacy of the service of process on the party against whom default is requested,	
25	including relevant provisions of Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 4.)	
26	B. Eitel Factors	
27	(A detailed analysis of each individual <u>Eitel</u> factor, separated by numbered headings. Factors 2	
28	(merits of substantive claims) and 3 (sufficiency of complaint) may be listed and analyzed under one	

heading. Plaintiff(s) shall include citations to cases that are factually similar, preferably within the
 Ninth Circuit.)

C. Relief Sought

(An analysis of any relief sought, including a calculation of damages, attorney's fees, and costs, with citations to relevant legal authority.)

1. Damages

(As damages alleged in the complaint are not accepted as true, the proposed findings must provide (a) legal authority establishing entitlement to such damages, and (b) citations to evidence supporting the requested damages.)

10

14

19

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

2. Attorney's Fees

(If attorney's fees are sought, the proposed findings shall include the following: (1) Evidence
supporting the request for hours worked, including a detailed breakdown and identification of the
subject matter of each person's time expenditures, accompanied by actual billing records and/or time

sheets; (2) Documentation justifying the requested billing rates, such as a curriculum vitae or resume;

15 (3) Evidence that the requested rates are in line with those prevailing in the community, including rate

16 *determinations in other cases of similarly complex litigation, particularly those setting a rate for the*

17 *plaintiff's attorney; and (4) Evidence that the requested hours are reasonable, including citations to*

18 *other cases of similarly complex litigation (preferably from this District).)*

3. Costs

20 (Any request for costs must include citations to evidence supporting the requested costs and relevant
21 legal authority establishing entitlement to such costs.)

V. CONCLUSION

(*Disposition, including any specific award amount(s) and judgment.*)
