

United States District Court  
Northern District of California

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

AHMED MOHAMED ELGHEMBRI,  
Plaintiff,  
v.  
MUHAMMAD,  
Defendant.

Case No. [23-cv-03328-WHO](#) (PR)

**ORDER OF SERVICE;**  
**ORDER DIRECTING DEFENDANT TO FILE A DISPOSITIVE MOTION OR NOTICE REGARDING SUCH MOTION;**  
**INSTRUCTIONS TO CLERK**  
Dkt. No. 10

**INTRODUCTION**

Plaintiff Ahmed Mohamed Elghembri alleges that a prison chaplain has violated his right to the free exercise of his religion and to equal protection. His 42 U.S.C. § 1983 amended complaint containing these allegations is now before me for review pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1915A(a).

Elghembri has stated claims under the First Amendment, the Fourteenth Amendment’s Equal Protection Clause, and The Religious Land Use and Institutionalized Persons Act (RLUIPA), 42 U.S.C. § 2000cc-1, against Chaplain Imam Muhammad at San Quentin State Prison. The defendant shall file a dispositive motion in response to the complaint, or a notice that such motion will not be filed, on or before **September 3, 2024**.

Elghembri’s motion to proceed in forma pauperis is DENIED as moot because I have already granted him that status. (Dkt. No. 10.)

**DISCUSSION**

**A. Standard of Review**

A federal court must conduct a preliminary screening in any case in which a

1 prisoner seeks redress from a governmental entity or officer or employee of a  
2 governmental entity. *See* 28 U.S.C. § 1915A(a). In its review, the court must identify any  
3 cognizable claims and dismiss any claims that are frivolous, malicious, fail to state a claim  
4 upon which relief may be granted or seek monetary relief from a defendant who is immune  
5 from such relief. *See id.* § 1915A(b)(1), (2). Pro se pleadings must be liberally construed.  
6 *See Balistreri v. Pacifica Police Dep’t*, 901 F.2d 696, 699 (9th Cir. 1988).

7 A “complaint must contain sufficient factual matter, accepted as true, to ‘state a  
8 claim to relief that is plausible on its face.’” *Ashcroft v. Iqbal*, 556 U.S. 662, 678 (2009)  
9 (quoting *Bell Atlantic Corp. v. Twombly*, 550 U.S. 544, 570 (2007)). “A claim has facial  
10 plausibility when the plaintiff pleads factual content that allows the court to draw the  
11 reasonable inference that the defendant is liable for the misconduct alleged.” *Id.* (quoting  
12 *Twombly*, 550 U.S. at 556). Furthermore, a court “is not required to accept legal  
13 conclusions cast in the form of factual allegations if those conclusions cannot reasonably  
14 be drawn from the facts alleged.” *Clegg v. Cult Awareness Network*, 18 F.3d 752, 754–55  
15 (9th Cir. 1994).

16 To state a claim under 42 U.S.C. § 1983, a plaintiff must allege two essential  
17 elements: (1) that a right secured by the Constitution or laws of the United States was  
18 violated, and (2) that the alleged violation was committed by a person acting under the  
19 color of state law. *See West v. Atkins*, 487 U.S. 42, 48 (1988).

20 **B. Legal Claims**

21 In his amended complaint, Elghembri alleges that for the last five years a San  
22 Quentin State Prison chaplain, Imam Muhammad, has prevented his practice of religion by  
23 interfering with his ability to participate in a valid “Jumm’ah service.”<sup>1</sup> (Am. Compl., Dkt.  
24 No. 8 at 1-4.) When liberally construed, Elghembri has stated claims under the First  
25 Amendment’s Free Exercise Clause, the Fourteenth Amendment’s Equal Protection  
26

---

27 <sup>1</sup> Jum’ah is a communal service on Fridays that adult male Muslims are “obliged” to  
28 attend, according to The Editors of the Encyclopedia Britannica.  
<https://www.britannica.com/topic/jumah>.

1 Clause, and RLUIPA.

2 **CONCLUSION**

3 For the foregoing reasons, the Court orders as follows:

4 1. The Clerk of the Court shall issue summons and the United States  
5 Marshal shall serve, without prepayment of fees, a copy of the operative complaint in this  
6 matter (Dkt. Nos. 8 and 9), all attachments thereto, on defendant Chaplain Imam  
7 Muhammad at San Quentin State Prison, and orders that this defendant to respond to the  
8 cognizable claims raised in the operative complaint.

9 2. Service on the defendant shall proceed under the California Department of  
10 Corrections and Rehabilitation's e-service program for civil rights cases from prisoners in  
11 CDCR custody. In accordance with the program, the Clerk is directed to serve on CDCR  
12 via email the following documents: the complaint (Docket Nos. 8 and 9) and its  
13 attachments; this Order; a CDCR Report of E-Service Waiver form; and a summons. The  
14 Clerk also shall serve a copy of this Order on the plaintiff.

15 3. No later than 40 days after service of this order via email on CDCR, CDCR  
16 shall provide the Court a completed CDCR Report of E-Service Waiver advising the court  
17 whether the defendant listed in this Order will be waiving service of process without the  
18 need for service by the United States Marshal Service (USMS) or declined to waive  
19 service or could not be reached. CDCR also shall provide a copy of the CDCR Report of  
20 E-Service Waiver to the California Attorney General's Office which, within 21 days, shall  
21 file with the Court a waiver of service of process for the defendant who is waiving service.

22 4. Upon receipt of the CDCR Report of E-Service Waiver, the Clerk shall  
23 prepare for a defendant who has not waived service according to the CDCR Report of E-  
24 Service Waiver a USM-285 Form. The Clerk shall provide to the USMS the completed  
25 USM-285 forms and copies of this Order, the summons and the complaint for service upon  
26 a defendant who has not waived service.

27 5. On or before **September 2, 2024**, defendant shall file a motion for summary  
28 judgment or other dispositive motion with respect to the claim(s) in the complaint found to

1 be cognizable above.

2 a. If defendant elects to file a motion to dismiss on the grounds plaintiff  
3 failed to exhaust his available administrative remedies as required by 42 U.S.C.  
4 § 1997e(a), defendant shall do so in a motion for summary judgment, as required by  
5 *Albino v. Baca*, 747 F.3d 1162 (9th Cir. 2014).

6 b. Any motion for summary judgment shall be supported by adequate  
7 factual documentation and shall conform in all respects to Rule 56 of the Federal Rules of  
8 Civil Procedure. Defendant is advised that summary judgment cannot be granted, nor  
9 qualified immunity found, if material facts are in dispute. If the defendant is of the opinion  
10 that this case cannot be resolved by summary judgment, he shall so inform the Court prior  
11 to the date the summary judgment motion is due.

12 6. Plaintiff's opposition to the dispositive motion shall be filed with the Court  
13 and served on defendant no later than forty-five (45) days from the date defendant's  
14 motion is filed.

15 7. Defendant shall file a reply brief no later than fifteen (15) days after  
16 plaintiff's opposition is filed.

17 8. The motion shall be deemed submitted as of the date the reply brief is due.  
18 No hearing will be held on the motion unless the Court so orders at a later date.

19 9. All communications by the plaintiff with the Court must be served on  
20 defendant, or on defendant's counsel once counsel has been designated, by mailing a true  
21 copy of the document to defendant or defendant's counsel.

22 10. Discovery may be taken in accordance with the Federal Rules of Civil  
23 Procedure. No further court order under Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 30(a)(2) or Local  
24 Rule 16-1 is required before the parties may conduct discovery.

25 Plaintiff may use any applicable jail procedures to request copies of (or the  
26 opportunity to review) any reports, medical records, or other records maintained by jail  
27 officials that are relevant to the claims found cognizable in this Order. Such requests must  
28 be made directly to jail officials, not to the Court.

1           11. It is plaintiff’s responsibility to prosecute this case. Plaintiff must keep the  
2 Court informed of any change of address and must comply with the Court’s orders in a  
3 timely fashion. Failure to do so may result in the dismissal of this action for failure to  
4 prosecute pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 41(b).

5           12. Extensions of time must be filed no later than the deadline sought to be  
6 extended and must be accompanied by a showing of good cause.

7           13. A decision from the Ninth Circuit requires that pro se prisoner-plaintiffs be  
8 given “notice of what is required of them in order to oppose” summary judgment motions  
9 at the time of filing of the motions, rather than when the court orders service of process or  
10 otherwise before the motions are filed. *Woods v. Carey*, 684 F.3d 934, 939-41 (9th Cir.  
11 2012). Defendants shall provide the following notice to plaintiff when he files and serves  
12 any motion for summary judgment:

13           The defendants have made a motion for summary judgment by which they  
14 seek to have your case dismissed. A motion for summary judgment under  
15 Rule 56 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure will, if granted, end your  
16 case.

17           Rule 56 tells you what you must do in order to oppose a motion for summary  
18 judgment. Generally, summary judgment must be granted when there is no  
19 genuine issue of material fact — that is, if there is no real dispute about any  
20 fact that would affect the result of your case, the party who asked for  
21 summary judgment is entitled to judgment as a matter of law, which will end  
22 your case. When a party you are suing makes a motion for summary  
23 judgment that is properly supported by declarations (or other sworn  
24 testimony), you cannot simply rely on what your complaint says. Instead,  
25 you must set out specific facts in declarations, depositions, answers to  
26 interrogatories, or authenticated documents, as provided in Rule 56(e), that  
27 contradict the facts shown in the defendants’ declarations and documents and  
28 show that there is a genuine issue of material fact for trial. If you do not  
submit your own evidence in opposition, summary judgment, if appropriate,  
may be entered against you. If summary judgment is granted, your case will  
be dismissed and there will be no trial.

*Rand v. Rowland*, 154 F.3d 952, 962-963 (9th Cir. 1998).


14. Elghembri’s motion to proceed in forma pauperis is DENIED. (Dkt. No.

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

10.) The Clerk shall terminate all pending motions.

**IT IS SO ORDERED.**

**Dated:** May 9, 2024

  
WILLIAM H. ORRICK  
United States District Judge