

1
2
3
4 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
5 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
6

7 MONAY JONES, et al.,
8 Plaintiffs,
9 v.
10 AMAZON.COM SERVICES, LLC,
11 Defendant.

Case No. [23-cv-06211-AMO](#)

**ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE WHY
CASE SHOULD NOT BE
TRANSFERRED**

12
13 This is one of ten putative class actions¹ against Amazon for alleged violations of wage
14 and hour laws. The named plaintiffs worked for Amazon in Rialto, Santa Clarita, and Valencia,
15 which are located within the Central District of California. ECF 19 (“Am. Compl.”) ¶¶ 8-9.
16 Because the conduct giving rise to the alleged violations occurred outside of this judicial district,
17 by no later than November 8, 2024, Plaintiffs shall file a brief, of no more than 5 pages, explaining
18 why this case should not be transferred to the United States District Court for the Central District
19 of California² pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1404(a), which provides that a court may transfer a civil
20 action to any other district “[f]or the convenience of parties and witnesses [and] in the interest of
21 justice.” See *Berry v. Amazon.com Services LLC*, No. 3:23-cv-03614-TLT, ECF No. 32 (N.D.

22
23 ¹ See *Trevino v. Golden State FC LLC*, No. 1:18-cv-00120-KES-BAM (E.D. Cal.); *Porter v.*
24 *Amazon.com Services, LLC*, No. 2:20-cv-09496-JVS-SHK (C.D. Cal.); *Clayborn v. Amazon.com*
25 *Services LLC*, No. 5:20-cv-02368-JVS-SHK (C.D. Cal.); *Roman v. Amazon.com Services, LLC*,
26 No. 1:21-cv-00667-CDB (E.D. Cal.); *Scott v. Golden State FC, LLC*, No. 4:21-cv-02147-HSG
27 (N.D. Cal.); *Guerrero v. Golden State FC, LLC*, No. 3:22-cv-01161-AGT (N.D. Cal.); *McKenzie*
28 *v. Amazon.com Services LLC*, No. 5:22-cv-01987-SSS-SP (C.D. Cal.); *Ford v. Amazon.com*
Services, LLC, No. 5:23-cv-01306-JGB-SP (C.D. Cal.); *Arrivas-Arcaina v. Amazon.com Services*
LLC, No. 2:23-cv-02961-TLN-DB (E.D. Cal.); and *Berry v. Amazon.com Services, LLC*, No. 1:24-
cv-00093-KES-SAB (E.D. Cal.).

² Should the parties believe transfer to a specific division within the Central District is appropriate, they shall address it in their briefs.

1 Cal. Jan. 18, 2024) (transferring case from Northern District of California to the Eastern District of
2 California because the plaintiff's claims arose from events that occurred in Shafter, Kern County,
3 California, located in the Eastern District of California – Fresno). Amazon may also file a brief, of
4 no more than 5 pages, by that date. Any factual contentions made in the briefing must be
5 supported by declarations or other references to the record in compliance with Civil Local Rule
6 7-5. Each side may file no more than 5 pages of supporting declarations. Should the parties reach
7 an agreement regarding transfer, they may file a stipulation to transfer the case in lieu of the
8 required briefing.

9 The November 7, 2024 hearing on the pending motion to dismiss or stay is **VACATED**.
10 The hearing will be re-set, if necessary, following resolution of the transfer issue.

11 **IT IS SO ORDERED.**

12 Dated: October 24, 2024

13
14 

15 **ARACELI MARTÍNEZ-OLGUÍN**
16 **United States District Judge**

17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28