Dockets.Justia.com

NORTHERN DISTR ANTHONY R. TURNER, #2308044, Petitioner,	DISTRICT COURT ICT OF CALIFORNIA Case No. <u>24-cv-03912-CRB</u> (PR)
NORTHERN DISTR ANTHONY R. TURNER, #2308044, Petitioner,	ICT OF CALIFORNIA
NORTHERN DISTR ANTHONY R. TURNER, #2308044, Petitioner,	ICT OF CALIFORNIA
NORTHERN DISTR ANTHONY R. TURNER, #2308044, Petitioner,	ICT OF CALIFORNIA
ANTHONY R. TURNER, #2308044, Petitioner,	
Petitioner,	Case No. <u>24-cv-03912-CRB</u> (PR)
Petitioner,	Case No. <u>24-cv-03912-CRB</u> (PR)
	1
V.	ORDER OF DISMISSAL
STATE OF CALIFORNIA, et al.,	
Respondent(s).	
Petitioner filed a prose petition for a write	t of habeas corpus under 28 U.S.C. § 2254
claiming unlawful detention on false criminal charges.	
On December 19, 2024, the court dismissed the petition with leave to amend to file a	
5 petition under 28 U.S.C. § 2241 that makes clear the detention and state criminal charges he is	
6 challenging and, if possible, shows that he has exhausted available state judicial remedies in	
7 connection with said detention and state criminal charges and that special circumstances warrant	
8 federal intervention. The court warned petitioner that "[f]ailure to file a proper amended petition	
9 within [28 days] will result in the dismissal of this action." ECF No. 9 at 2.	
0 Forty days have passed, and petitioner has not neither filed an amended petition nor sought	
an extension of time to do so. This action accordingly is DISMISSED.	
The clerk is directed to close the case and terminate all pending motions as moot.	
IT IS SO ORDERED.	
Dated: January 28, 2025	FR
-	CHARLES R BREVER
6 CHARLES R. BREYER United States District Judge	
	Respondent(s). Petitioner filed a <u>pro se</u> petition for a writ laiming unlawful detention on false criminal ch On December 19, 2024, the court dismisse etition under 28 U.S.C. § 2241 that makes clear hallenging and, if possible, shows that he has ex- onnection with said detention and state criminal ederal intervention. The court warned petitioner within [28 days] will result in the dismissal of th Forty days have passed, and petitioner ha in extension of time to do so. This action accord The clerk is directed to close the case and IT IS SO ORDERED . Pated: January 28, 2025

United States District Court Northern District of California