

1
2
3 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
4 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
5

6 VINAY K. AWASTHI,

7 Plaintiff,

8 v.

9 INTEL CORPORATION,

10 Defendant.

Case No. [24-cv-05621-TSH](#)

ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE

11
12 Plaintiff Vinay Awasthi brings this complaint alleging Defendant Intel Corporation
13 violated the federal False Claims Act by making “false claims about health of its platforms[.]”
14 Compl. at 21, ECF No. 1. On January 24, 2025, the government filed a Notice of Election to
15 Decline Intervention. ECF No. 14. As such, the Court ordered Plaintiff to file by February 27,
16 2025 either a notice of appearance through counsel or an amended complaint. ECF No. 15.
17 Plaintiff has failed to respond.

18 The Court possesses the inherent power to dismiss an action sua sponte “to achieve the
19 orderly and expeditious disposition of cases.” *Link v. Wabash R.R. Co.*, 370 U.S. 626, 629-33
20 (1962). Accordingly, the Court **ORDERS** Plaintiff to show cause why this case should not be
21 dismissed for failure to prosecute and failure to comply with court deadlines. Plaintiff shall file a
22 declaration by March 20, 2025. Notice is hereby provided that failure to file a written response
23 will be deemed an admission that you do not intend to prosecute, and this case will likely be
24 dismissed. Thus, it is imperative the Court receive a written response by the deadline above.

25 **IT IS SO ORDERED.**

26 Dated: March 4, 2025

27 
28 THOMAS S. HIXSON
United States Magistrate Judge

United States District Court
Northern District of California