

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

SAMEH KEDES, *et al.*,
Plaintiffs,
v.
COUNTY OF ALAMEDA, *et al.*,
Defendants.

Case No. [24-cv-07847-SI](#)

**ORDER DIRECTING PLAINTIFFS TO
EITHER PAY FILING FEE OR FILE
CORRECTED IN FORMA PAUPERIS
APPLICATION NO LATER THAN
JANUARY 13, 2025; FAILURE TO
COMPLY WITH THIS ORDER WILL
RESULT IN DISMISSAL WITHOUT
PREJUDICE**


United States District Court
Northern District of California

Plaintiffs, who are represented by counsel, filed this lawsuit on November 8, 2024. Plaintiffs did not pay the filing fee, and on November 14, filed a motion for leave to proceed *in forma pauperis*. The same day, the Clerk rejected the motion because plaintiffs used the application for the Northern District of Alabama, and not the Northern District of California, and directed plaintiffs to refile the application using the correct form. Plaintiffs did not file a corrected form, and on December 2, 2024, the Court issued an order denying the application without prejudice and directing plaintiffs to file a corrected application by December 9, 2024. As of the filing date of this order, plaintiffs have not filed a corrected application to proceed *in forma pauperis*, nor have they taken any action in this case.

Accordingly, the Court directs plaintiffs to either pay the filing fee or file a corrected *in forma pauperis* application no later than January 13, 2025. **If plaintiffs fail to comply with this order, the Court will dismiss this case without prejudice for failure to prosecute pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 41(b).**

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: January 3, 2025



SUSAN ILLSTON
United States District Judge