1				
2				
3				
4				
5				
6				
7				
8		UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
9		FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
10	OAKLAND DIVISION			
11				
12	Micl	hael HILL,	Case Number 4-94-cv-641-CW	
13		Petitioner,	DEATH-PENALTY CASE	
14		v.	SCHEDULING ORDER	
15		Michael MARTEL, Acting Warden of San Quentin State Prison,		
16	Respondent.			
17				
18	The parties submitted a Joint Case Management Statement that			
19	contains a proposed schedule for the resumption of proceedings in			
20	this capital habeas action. (Doc. No. 324.) Good cause			
21	appearing therefor, the Court adopts the parties' jointly			
22	proposed schedule as follows.			
23	(1)	Within forty-five days from the	entry of the present order,	
24		the parties shall complete a re-	view of prosecution files.	
25	(2)	Within three days following the	completion of the review of	
26	prosecution files, Petitioner shall file a status report			
27		with the Court.		
28	(3)	Within twenty-one days from the	filing of the status report,	
	1			

Petitioner shall file any discovery motion or related pleading; Respondent shall respond to any such motion within thirty days after the motion is filed; Petitioner shall file any reply within fifteen days after the response is filed. Within ninety days from either the entry of an order denying (4) discovery or the conclusion of discovery, Petitioner shall file a motion for a stay pending the conclusion of exhaustion proceedings; Respondent shall respond to any such motion within thirty days after the motion is filed; Petitioner shall file any reply within fifteen days after the response is filed. IT IS SO ORDERED. 2/24/2012 DATED: United States District Judge