| 1 | PRISON LAW OFFICE DONALD SPECTER – 83925 | BINGHAM McCUTCHEN WARREN E. GEORGE – 53588 |
|----------|---|---|
| 2 | SARA NORMAN – 189536 1917 Fifth Street | Three Embarcadero Center San Francisco, California 94111-4066 |
| 3 4 | Berkeley, California 94710-1916 Telephone: (510) 280-2621 Facsimile: (510) 280-2704 | Telephone: (415) 393-2000 Facsimile: (415) 393-2286 |
| 5 | DISABILITY RIGHTS EDUCATION & | ROSEN, BIEN & GALVAN, LLP |
| 6 | DEFENSE FUND, INC. LINDA D. KILB – 136101 | MICHAEL W. BIEN – 096891 ERNEST GALVAN – 196065 |
| 7 | 3075 Adeline Street, Suite 210 Berkeley, California 94703 Telephone: (510) 644-2555 Facsimile: (510) 841-8645 | GAY CROSTHWAIT GRUNFELD – 121944 HOLLY M. BALDWIN – 191317 MARIA V. MORRIS – 223903 |
| 8 | Facsimile: (510) 841-8645 | 315 Montgomery Street, Tenth Floor San Francisco, California 94104-1823 |
| 9 | | Telephone: (415) 433-6830 Facsimile: (415) 433-7104 |
| 10 11 | Attorneys for Plaintiffs | |
| 11 | | |
| 12 | UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT | |
| 13 | NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA | |
| 15 | OAKLAND DIVISION | |
| 16 | JOHN ARMSTRONG, et al., | Case No. C94 2307 CW |
| 17 | Plaintiffs, | |
| 18 | v. | STIPULATION AND ORDER REGARDING DEPOSITIONS |
| 19 | EDMUND G. BROWN, JR., et al., | |
| 20 | Defendants. ¹ | |
| 21 | | |
| 22 | | |
| 23 | | |
| 24 | | |
| 25 | | |
| 26 | | |
| 27 | ¹ The names of Defendants currently serving and their capacities have been substituted pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 25. | |
| 28 | | |
| | | |
| | STIPULATION & [PROPOSED] ORDER R | EGARDING DEPOSITIONS, CASE NO. C94 2307 CW Dockets.Ju |
| I | 1 | |

1

26 27 28

WHEREAS, on February 10, 2011, the Court issued an Order setting a briefing schedule for resolution of the discovery dispute between the parties concerning Plaintiffs' Motion to Compel Compensation at Plaintiff's Counsel's Reasonable 2010 Hourly Rates (Docket No. 1745)("Plaintiffs' 2010 Rates Motion"), with the last brief to be filed on February 24, 2011 and with the Court then to decide Defendants' motion to compel discovery and Plaintiffs' motion for a protective order on the papers (see Docket No. 1835, Order Granting in Part Plaintiffs' Motion to Shorten Time, Granting Plaintiffs' Motion for Leave to Submit Reply and Denying Plaintiffs' Request for Telephonic Status Conference);

WHEREAS, Defendants have issued and in some cases served deposition notices and subpoenas addressed to a total of eight persons, with multiple depositions noticed to take place on February 23, February 24, and February 25, 2011, all seeking testimony and documents that are the subjects of the above-described Motion and Cross Motion;

WHEREAS, the February 10, 2011 Order provided that Defendants will be permitted to supplement their opposition to Plaintiffs' 2010 Rates Motion after March 8, 2011 if necessary and if they are granted additional discovery; and

WHEREAS, the February 10, 2011 Order did not specifically stay the depositions noticed by Defendants pending the outcome of the above described Motion and Cross Motion;

- 20
- 22
- 25 //
- //

| 1 | NOW THEREFORE, IT IS | HEREBY STIPULATED AND AGREED AS FOLLOWS: | | |
|----------------------|--|--|--|--|
| 2 | 2 The depositions noticed by Defendants and currently set for February 23, February 24 | | | |
| 3 | and February 25, 2011 shall not be taken on those dates without prejudice to their being re- | | | |
| 4 | 4 noticed and taken at some later date in the event the Court so orders. Should the Court decide | | | |
| 5 | 5 that any depositions seeking evidence in connection with Plaintiffs' 2010 Rates Motion may | | | |
| 6 | 6 proceed and a supplement to the opposition to Plaintiffs' 2010 Rates Motion may be filed, the | | | |
| 7 | 7 parties will meet and confer promptly to schedule such depositions at mutually acceptable | | | |
| 8 | 8 dates and times consistent with any schedule the Court may set for such deposition | | | |
| 9 | 9 Defendants' supplement to their opposition shall be filed no later than 15 days after the la | | | |
| 10 | any such depositions is taken, in which case the matter will not be deemed submitted, nor be | | | |
| 11 | decided, until Defendants' supplem | ent to their opposition is filed. | | |
| 12 | | | | |
| 13 | Dated: February 11, 2011 | ROSEN, BIEN & GALVAN, LLP | | |
| 14 | | Bv: /s/ Gav C. Grunfeld | | |
| 15 | | Gay C. Grunfeld Attorneys for Plaintiffs | | |
| 16 | | | | |
| 17 | Dated: February 11, 2011 | KAMALA D. HARRIS, Attorney General of the State of California | | |
| 18 | | Automety General of the State of Camorina | | |
| 19 | | By: <u>/s/ Scott Feudale</u> Scott Feudale | | |
| 20 | | Deputy Attorney General Attorneys for Defendants | | |
| 21 | | Theorie visitor Derendunts | | |
| 22 | | | | |
| 23 | IT IS SO ORDERED. | | | |
| 24 | Dated: 2/15/2011 | The Lander Claudia Wilken | | |
| 25 | | United States District Judge | | |
| 26 | | | | |
| 27 | | | | |
| 28 | | | | |
| [477410-1] | STIPULATION & PROPOSE | 2 D] ORDER REGARDING DEPOSITIONS, CASE NO. C94 2307 CW | | |
| ן-יסו דיו ידן | | | | |