

1 KAMALA D. HARRIS
 Attorney General of California
 2 JONATHAN L. WOLFF
 Senior Assistant Attorney General
 3 JAY C. RUSSELL
 Supervising Deputy Attorney General
 4 DANIELLE F. O'BANNON
 Deputy Attorney General
 5 SCOTT J. FEUDALE
 Deputy Attorney General
 6 State Bar No. 242671
 455 Golden Gate Avenue, Suite 11000
 7 San Francisco, CA 94102-7004
 Telephone: (415) 703-5871
 8 Fax: (415) 703-5843
 E-mail: Scott.Feudale@doj.ca.gov
 9 *Attorneys for Defendants*

SANFORD JAY ROSEN
 ROSEN, BIEN, & GALVAN, LLP
 315 Montgomery Street, 10th Floor
 San Francisco, CA 94104
 Telephone: (415) 433-6380
 Fax: (415) 433-7104
 Email: srosen@rbg-law.com
Attorneys for Plaintiffs

10 IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
 11 FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
 12 OAKLAND DIVISION

15 **JOHN ARMSTRONG, et al.,**
 16 Plaintiffs,
 17 v.
 18 **EDMUND G. BROWN JR. et. al.,**
 19 Defendants.
 20

Case No. C 94 2307 CW

**STIPULATION AND PROPOSED
 ORDER EXTENDING THE BRIEFING
 SCHEDULE REGARDING PLAINTIFFS'
 MOTION TO COMPEL
 COMPENSATION**

22 On January 3, 2011, the Court granted Defendants' request for a sixty-day extension of
 23 time to file their opposition to Plaintiffs' motion to compel compensation so that they may
 24 conduct discovery. (Docket No. 1817) Defendants' opposition is currently due on March 8, 2011
 25 and Plaintiffs' reply on March 21, 2011. (*Id.*) After the filing of Plaintiffs' reply, the motion
 26 shall be submitted on the papers. (*Id.*)

27 During the month of February, Defendants filed a motion to compel further discovery
 28 responses and Plaintiffs moved for a protective order prohibiting further discovery. (Docket Nos.

1 1840, 1844.) On March 1, 2011, a case management conference was held. During the
2 conference, the Court stated that it was denying Defendants' motion to compel discovery and
3 granting Plaintiffs' motion for a protective order. The Court further stated that it would allow
4 Plaintiffs leave to supplement the declarations supporting their fees motion, and that if Plaintiffs
5 filed such supplemental declarations they might provide Defendants a reasonable extension of
6 time to review the new evidence and supplement their opposition accordingly. Following the
7 conference, the Court issued an order requiring Plaintiffs to file and serve any supplemental
8 declarations no later than March 4, 2011. (Docket No. 1847.)

9 Plaintiffs intend to supplement their evidence in accordance with the Court's order. In
10 light of their decision, the parties agree to extend the briefing schedule as follows: Defendants'
11 opposition shall be filed on or before March 22, 2011. Plaintiffs' reply, if any, shall be filed on or
12 before April 11, 2011. Following the expiration of deadline for the filing of Plaintiffs' reply, the
13 motion will be deemed submitted and shall be decided on the papers.

14 IT IS SO STIPULATED:
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

Dated: March 4, 2011

KAMALA D. HARRIS
Attorney General of California

/s/
SCOTT J. FEUDALE
Deputy Attorney General
Attorneys for Defendants


Dated: March 3, 2011

ROSEN, BIEN, & GALVAN, LLP

By: _____/s/_____
SANFORD J. ROSEN
Attorneys for Plaintiffs

IT IS SO ORDERED:

Dated: March 4, 2011



THE HONORABLE CLAUDIA WILKEN
United States District Judge

CF1997CS0005
40486463.doc