

1 KAMALA D. HARRIS  
 Attorney General of California  
 2 JONATHAN L. WOLFF  
 Senior Assistant Attorney General  
 3 JAY C. RUSSELL  
 Supervising Deputy Attorney General  
 4 DANIELLE F. O'BANNON  
 Deputy Attorney General  
 5 SCOTT J. FEUDALE  
 Deputy Attorney General  
 6 State Bar No. 242671  
 455 Golden Gate Avenue, Suite 11000  
 7 San Francisco, CA 94102-7004  
 Telephone: (415) 703-5871  
 8 Fax: (415) 703-5843  
 E-mail: Scott.Feudale@doj.ca.gov  
 9 *Attorneys for Defendants*

SANFORD JAY ROSEN  
 ROSEN, BIEN, & GALVAN, LLP  
 315 Montgomery Street, 10<sup>th</sup> Floor  
 San Francisco, CA 94104  
 Telephone: (415) 433-6380  
 Fax: (415) 433-7104  
 Email: srosen@rbg-law.com  
*Attorneys for Plaintiffs*

10 IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
 11 FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA  
 12 OAKLAND DIVISION

15 **JOHN ARMSTRONG, et al.,**  
 16 Plaintiffs,  
 17 v.  
 18 **EDMUND G. BROWN JR. et. al.,**  
 19 Defendants.  
 20

Case No. C 94 2307 CW

**STIPULATION AND ORDER  
 EXTENDING THE BRIEFING  
 SCHEDULE REGARDING PLAINTIFFS'  
 MOTION TO COMPEL  
 COMPENSATION**

22 On January 3, 2011, the Court granted Defendants' request for a sixty-day extension of  
 23 time to file their opposition to Plaintiffs' motion to compel compensation so that they may  
 24 conduct discovery. (Docket No. 1817) Defendants' opposition is currently due on March 8, 2011  
 25 and Plaintiffs' reply on March 21, 2011. (*Id.*) After the filing of Plaintiffs' reply, the motion  
 26 shall be submitted on the papers. (*Id.*)

27 During the month of February, Defendants filed a motion to compel further discovery  
 28 responses and Plaintiffs moved for a protective order prohibiting further discovery. (Docket Nos.

1 1840, 1844.) On March 1, 2011, a case management conference was held. During the  
2 conference, the Court stated that it was denying Defendants' motion to compel discovery and  
3 granting Plaintiffs' motion for a protective order. The Court further stated that it would allow  
4 Plaintiffs leave to supplement the declarations supporting their fees motion, and that if Plaintiffs  
5 filed such supplemental declarations they might provide Defendants a reasonable extension of  
6 time to review the new evidence and supplement their opposition accordingly. Following the  
7 conference, the Court issued an order requiring Plaintiffs to file and serve any supplemental  
8 declarations no later than March 4, 2011. (Docket No. 1847.)

9 Plaintiffs intend to supplement their evidence in accordance with the Court's order. In  
10 light of their decision, the parties agree to extend the briefing schedule as follows: Defendants'  
11 opposition shall be filed on or before March 22, 2011. Plaintiffs' reply, if any, shall be filed on or  
12 before April 11, 2011. Following the expiration of deadline for the filing of Plaintiffs' reply, the  
13 motion will be deemed submitted and shall be decided on the papers.

14 IT IS SO STIPULATED:  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

Dated: March 4, 2011

KAMALA D. HARRIS  
Attorney General of California

/s/  
SCOTT J. FEUDALE  
Deputy Attorney General  
*Attorneys for Defendants*


Dated: March 3, 2011

ROSEN, BIEN, & GALVAN, LLP

By: \_\_\_\_\_/s/\_\_\_\_\_  
SANFORD J. ROSEN  
*Attorneys for Plaintiffs*

IT IS SO ORDERED:

Dated: 3/7/2011

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
THE HONORABLE CLAUDIA WILKEN  
United States District Judge

CF1997CS0005  
40486463.doc