

1 IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
2 FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

3
4 JOHN ARMSTRONG, et al., on behalf
5 of themselves and as
6 representatives of the class,

7 Plaintiffs,

8 v.

9 EDMUND G. BROWN, JR., Governor of
10 the State of California;
11 CALIFORNIA DEPARTMENT OF
12 CORRECTIONS AND REHABILITATION;
13 MICHAEL MINOR, Acting Director of
14 the Division of Juvenile Justice;
15 DR. JEFFREY A. BEARD, Secretary
16 of the California Department of
17 Corrections and Rehabilitation;
18 JENNIFER SHAFFER, the Executive
19 Officer of the Board of Parole
20 Hearings; DIANA TOCHE, Acting
21 Director of the Division of
22 Correctional Health Care
23 Services; CHRIS MEYER, Senior
24 Chief of the Division of Facility
25 Planning, Construction and
26 Management; KATHLEEN DICKINSON,
27 Acting Director of Adult
28 Institutions; and ROBERT
AMBROSELLI, Acting Director of
Division of Adult Parole
Operations,

Defendants.

No. C 94-2307 CW

ORDER DIRECTING
PARTIES TO FILE
BRIEFS ADDRESSING
MONITORING BY THE
OFFICE OF THE
INSPECTOR GENERAL

United States District Court
For the Northern District of California

21 _____/
22 Through the coordination process by the federal courts
23 responsible for certain class action suits pending against the
24 California Department of Corrections and Rehabilitation (CDCR),
25 the Court and its expert have learned of the role that the Office
26 of Inspector General (OIG) has taken in monitoring medical
27 facilities in connection with Plata v. Brown, Case No. 01-1351-TEH
28 (N.D. Cal.).

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

The Court invites the parties to share their views regarding whether it would be appropriate for the OIG to play a part in this action in monitoring state prisons for compliance with the rights of inmates with disabilities, how such a monitoring role and tool might be developed and structured and how it might be used to evaluate the need for ongoing Court supervision of individual institutions in the future. The Court notes that it has taken no position and has received no evidence thus far on this matter.

The Court directs that, within six weeks of the date of this Order, Defendants file a brief of ten pages or less setting forth their views on this issue and that, within three weeks thereafter, Plaintiffs file a brief of ten pages or less setting forth their views. Defendants may file a reply brief of five pages or less within two weeks thereafter. If appropriate, the Court will set a schedule for further briefing or a hearing thereafter.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: 2/4/2013



CLAUDIA WILKEN
United States District Judge