| 1 | Xavier Becerra |
|-----|---|
| 2 | Attorney General of California Danielle F. O'Bannon |
| 3 | Supervising Deputy Attorney General SHARON A. GARSKE |
| 4 | Deputy Attorney General State Bar No. 215167 |
| 200 | 1515 Clay Street, 20th Floor |
| 5 | P.O. Box 70550 Oakland, CA 94612-0550 |
| 6 | Telephone: (510) 879-0263 Fax: (510) 622-2270 |
| 7 | E-mail: Sharon.Garske@doj.ca.gov Attorneys for Defendants |
| 8 | California Department of Corrections and Rehabilitation - General |
| 9 | |
| 10 | IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT |
| 11 | FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA |
| 12 | OAKLAND DIVISION |
| 13 | |
| 14 | IOHN ADMSTDONG of all |
| 15 | JOHN ARMSTRONG, et al., C 94-2307 CW |
| 16 | Plaintiffs, STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] MODIFIED PROTECTIVE ORDER |
| 17 | v. REGARDING DISCLOSURE OF DEFENDANTS' EMPLOYEES' |
| 18 | EDMUND G. BROWN, JR., et. al., PERSONNEL INFORMATION AND CORRECTIVE ACTION PLANS |
| 19 | Defendants. |
| 20 | |
| 21 | The Armstrong parties and intervener California Correctional Peace Officers Association |
| 22 | (CCPOA) ("the parties), through their counsel, agree to modify the August 16, 2016 protective |
| 23 | order entitled Modified Protective Order Regarding Disclosure of Defendants' Employees' |
| 24 | Personnel Information and Corrective Action Plans, ECF No. 2639, so that the following |
| 25 | provisions shall apply to any documents containing personnel information or corrective action |
| 26 | plans disclosed or produced by Defendants or the California Department of Corrections and |
| 27 | Rehabilitation (CDCR) under the Remedial Plan, the Court's Orders, compliance monitoring, or |
| 28 | discovery conducted in Armstrong v. Brown, No. C 94-02307 (the litigation). |
| | 1 |
| | Stip. & [Proposed Order] Re: Modification of Existing Protective Order (C 94-2307 CW) |

alone, waive the protection of such document under this Stipulation and [Proposed] Order. If the

inadvertent failure to designate any document as Confidential Information does not, standing

26

27

28

inadvertently disclosed, they shall contact and allow Defendants to designate them as such. The parties must make reasonable efforts to assure that Confidential Information is treated in accordance with this Stipulation and [Proposed] Order, whether or not designated as such.

- 4. All persons to whom disclosures of Confidential Information are made shall, before the time of the disclosure, be informed and agree in writing that the Confidential Information shall not be disclosed except as provided in this Stipulation and [Proposed] Order. Counsel, as well as each outside expert or consultant retained by the parties, must also consent to be subject to the jurisdiction of the United States District Court for the Northern District of California with respect to any proceeding relating to enforcement of this Stipulation and [Proposed] Order, including, without limitation, to any proceeding for contempt.
- 5. If, in the Defendants' opinion, there are documents or portions of documents that contain information that should not be disclosed under a protective order because to do so may endanger the safety and security of an institution or person (including any present or former inmate or staff member), Defendants may redact such sensitive information before providing copies of the documents to the parties' counsel and shall notify the parties' counsel of the nature of the redacted information. If, in the *Armstrong* Plaintiffs' counsel's opinion, such information is necessary for the conduct of this litigation, Defendants and the *Armstrong* Plaintiffs' counsel shall meet and confer to resolve the issue. If they are unable to reach an agreement, the documents containing such information will be presented to the Court for an in camera review to determine whether and to what extent such information may be disclosed under a further protective order.
- (a) Defendants will not produce any of the above-described documentation to CCPOA regarding any correctional staff whose classification is not "rank and file," unless the specific correctional staff member is also a member of CCPOA.
- 6. At the conclusion of this litigation, all documents containing Confidential Information, including copies, that remain in possession of counsel for the *Armstrong* Plaintiffs and CCPOA's counsel's possession shall be returned to Defendants, deleted or destroyed.

witness, or counsel. If the filing party fails to file such information under seal, any party may

27

28

followed.

- 9. No person who has been afforded access to Confidential Information shall disclose or discuss the information, including the identification, location or disciplinary status and history of any staff member, to or with any person, except in accordance with this Protective Order and except any person employed by the CDCR, the California Attorney General or the Office of Inspector General.
- 10. Nothing in this Stipulation and [Proposed] Order is intended to prevent officials or employees of the State of California or other authorized government officials from having access to information or documents to which they have access in the normal course of their official duties.
- (a) Nothing in this modified protective order shall preclude CCPOA from any use or disclosure of documents it receives from another source outside of the *Armstrong* case, even if the same documents were also produced under this modified protective order.
- 11. The attorney-client privilege and work-product protection are not waived by disclosure or production of documents containing such information under this Stipulation and [Proposed] Order.
- 12. The provisions of this Stipulation and [Proposed] Order are without prejudice to any party's right to (1) apply to the Court for a further protective order relating to any personnel information or relating to discovery in this litigation; (2) apply to the Court for an order removing personnel information from any documents; (3) apply to the Court for an order removing the designation of Confidential Information made by Defendants from a document; (4) object to a discovery request; (5) object on any ground to the introduction of any of the Confidential Information as evidence; or (6) apply to the Court to modify or rescind this Protective Order.
- 13. Confidential Information must be stored and maintained at a location and in a secure manner that ensures that access is limited to the persons authorized under this Order. Any electronic confidential information must be stored in password-protected form.
- 14.1 Except as otherwise provided in this Order, or as otherwise stipulated or ordered, disclosure or discovery material that qualifies for protection under this order must be clearly so

designated before the material is disclosed or produced. Designation in conformity with this order requires:

(a) for information in documentary form (e.g., paper or electronic documents), that the producing party affix the legend "HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL – ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY" and "Armstrong v. Brown (94-cv-02307-CW)" to each page that contains Confidential Information.

A party or non-party that makes original documents or materials available for inspection need not designate them for protection until after the inspecting party has indicated which material it would like copied and produced. During the inspection and before the designation, all of the material made available for inspection shall be deemed "HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL – ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY." After the inspecting party has identified the documents it wants copied and produced, the producing party must determine which documents, or portions thereof, qualify for protection under this Order. Then, before producing the specified documents, the Producing Party must affix the appropriate legend to each page that contains Confidential Information.

- (b) for information produced in some form other than documentary and for any other tangible items, that the producing party affix in a prominent place on the exterior of the container or containers in which the information or item is stored the legend "HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY" and "Armstrong v. Brown (94-cv-02307-CW)."
- 14.2 If timely corrected, an inadvertent failure to designate qualified information or items does not, standing alone, waive the designating party's right to secure protection under this order for such material. Upon timely correction of a designation, the receiving party must make reasonable efforts to assure that the material is treated in accordance with the provisions of this order.
- 15. If a party is served with a subpoena or a court order issued in other litigation that compels disclosure of any Confidential Information that party must:
- (a) promptly notify in writing the designating party. Such notification shall include a copy of the subpoena or court order;

(b) promptly notify in writing the party who caused the subpoena or order to issue in the other litigation that some or all of the material covered by the subpoena or order is subject to this protective order. Such notification shall include a copy of this stipulated protective order; and

(c) cooperate with respect to all reasonable procedures sought to be pursued by the designating party whose Confidential Information may be affected. If the designating party timely seeks a protective order, the party served with the subpoena or court order shall not produce any Confidential Information before a determination by the court from which the subpoena or order issued, unless the party has obtained the designating party's permission. The designating party shall bear the burden and expense of seeking protection in that court of its confidential material – and nothing in these provisions should be construed as authorizing or encouraging a receiving party in this action to disobey a lawful directive from another court.

16. Within 60 days after the final disposition of this action, as defined in the subsequent paragraph, each receiving party must return all Confidential Information to the producing party or destroy such material. As used in this subdivision, "all Confidential Information" includes all copies, abstracts, compilations, summaries, and any other format reproducing or capturing any of the Confidential Information. Whether the Confidential Information is returned or destroyed, the receiving party must submit a written certification to the producing party (and, if not the same person or entity, to the designating party) by the 60-day deadline that (1) identifies (by category, where appropriate) all the Confidential Information that was returned or destroyed and (2) affirms that the receiving party has not retained any copies, abstracts, compilations, summaries or any other format reproducing or capturing any of the Confidential Information. Notwithstanding this provision, counsel are entitled to retain an archival copy of all pleadings, motion papers, trial, deposition, and hearing transcripts, legal memoranda, correspondence, deposition and trial exhibits, expert reports, attorney work product, and consultant and expert work product, even if such materials contain protected material. Any such archival copies that contain or constitute protected material remain subject to this protective order as set forth below.

Even after final disposition of this litigation, the confidentiality obligations imposed by

| 1 | this Order shall remain in effect until a designating party agrees otherwise in writing or a court |
|----|--|
| 2 | order otherwise directs. Final disposition shall be determined by order of the Court. |
| 3 | 17. Unless otherwise ordered by the Court, a party that seeks to disclose to an expert any |
| 4 | Confidential Information under this protective order first must provide written notice to the |
| 5 | designating party that identifies the expert to whom that disclosure would be made. |
| 6 | 18. The provisions of this Protective Order shall remain in full force and effect until |
| 7 | further order of this Court, except as follows. The provisions related to the production |
| 8 | requirements by CDCR shall remain in effect until June 1, 2017, but shall be continued for an |
| 9 | additional 12 months, if the parties jointly determine it is necessary to continue to address the |
| 10 | issues set forth in the OIG Report on High Desert State Prison and the Prison Law Office April |
| 11 | 2016 report on High Desert State Prison. |
| 12 | IT IS SO STIPULATED. |
| 13 | PRISON LAW OFFICE |
| 14 | |
| 15 | Dated: March 24, 2017 /s/Sara Norman Sara Norman |
| 16 | Attorneys for Plaintiffs |
| 17 | |
| 18 | UMBERG ZIPSER LLP |
| 19 | Dated: |
| 20 | Thomas Umberg |
| 21 | Attorneys for CCPOA |
| 22 | XAVIER BECERRA, Attorney General of |
| 23 | the State of California |
| 24 | Dated: March 24, 2017 /s/Sharon A. Garske |
| 25 | Sharon A. Garske |
| 26 | Attorneys for Defendants |
| 27 | |
| 28 | |
| | 8 |

| 1 | As required by Local Rule 5-1, I, Sharon A. Garske, attest that I obtained concurrence in |
|----|--|
| 2 | the filing of this document from Sara Norman and that I have maintained records to support this |
| 3 | concurrence. |
| 5 | Dated: March 24, 2017 /s/Sharon A. Garske Sharon A. Garske |
| | Attorneys for Defendants |
| 6 | |
| 7 | |
| 8 | |
| 10 | IT IS SO ORDERED. |
| 11 | Dated: 3/28/2017 (Light |
| 12 | CLAUDIA WILKEN |
| 13 | United States District Judge |
| 14 | |
| 15 | |
| 16 | |
| 17 | |
| 18 | |
| 19 | |
| 20 | |
| 21 | |
| 22 | |
| 23 | |
| 24 | |
| 25 | |
| 26 | |
| 27 | CF1997CS0005 90778021.doc |
| 28 | |
| | 9 |

Stip. & [Proposed Order] Re: Modification of Existing Protective Order (C 94-2307 CW)