IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
KALITTA AIR, LLC, as assignee of No. C 96-02494 CW
American International Airways, Inc., VERDICT FORM
Plaintiff,
V.
CENTRAL TEXAS AIRBORNE SYSTEMS,
INC.,
Defendant/
1. Was Central Texas Airborne Systems (CTAS) negligent?
Yes No
If you answered Yes to Question 1, go on to Question 2. If you answered No to Question 1, sign and return the verdication.
to Kalitta Air, LLC?
Yes No
If you answered Yes to Question 2, go on to Question 3. It you answered No to Question 2, sign and return the verdication.
Kalitta's damages?
You may check A. or B. or C. If you select A., you may
also check A.i. or A.ii. or both. If you select B. or C., you may also check C.i. or C.ii.
A. Loss of value of AIA in its sale to Kitty Hawk
A.i. Lost profits on the grounded aircraft from the
time of the grounding until the sale of AIA to Kitty
Hawk.
A.ii. Lost profits on the sale of the 727s to Kitty Hawk before the sale of AIA to Kitty Hawk

United States District Court For the Northern District of California

1	B. Cost to repair the aircraft.
2	C. Cost to replace the aircraft.
3	C.i. Cost to lease replacement planes
4	C.ii. Lost profits during the time reasonably
5	necessary to repair or replace the planes.
6	3. What amount of damages did Kalitta suffer? \$
7	4. If you find that Kalitta failed to mitigate its damages,
8	enter the amount of damages it would have suffered if it had mitigated its damages.
9	5. Should interest be awarded?
10	Yes No
11	
12	Dated: Presiding Juror
13	Trestaing dater
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	