1 IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 2 FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 3 4 KALITTA AIR, LLC, 5 Plaintiff, 6 FOR v. 7 CENTRAL TEXAS AIRBORNE SYSTEMS, et al., 8 Defendants. 9

No. C 96-2494 CW

ORDER DENYING PLAINTIFF'S MOTION RECONSIDERATION

On April 15, 2013, Plaintiff Kalitta Air filed a motion for 11 leave to file a motion for reconsideration of the Court's April 1, 12 13 2013 order denying Plaintiff's second motion for approval of supersedeas bond. In its motion for leave, Plaintiff presented 14 15 evidence that its insurance company refused to issue a bond 16 containing the language in the Court's April 1 order.

17 On April 17, the Court deemed Plaintiff's motion for leave to 18 file to be its motion for reconsideration and ordered Plaintiff to 19 "ask its surety to indicate the shortest timeframe in which it can 20 promise to pay the bond amount, should Plaintiff fail to pay the 21 judgment in full within seven days of the Court of Appeals' decision." Docket No. 2279. The Court further ordered Plaintiff 22 23 to ask the same question of at least three other sureties and to 24 "file a declaration including exhibits that show the question 25 posed to each surety company and each company's answer." Id.

26 Plaintiff has filed a declaration by Lawrence Galizi, its 27 aviation insurance broker. In that declaration, Mr. Galizi states 28 that his company asked "Kalitta's surety, and five other surety

10

companies to indicate the shortest timeframe in which it can 1 promise to pay the bond amount, should Kalitta fail to pay the 2 3 judgment in full within seven days of the Court of Appeals' decision." Galizi Dec. ¶ 6. However, the exhibits attached to 4 5 the declaration do not show the question posed as required by the 6 Court's order. Moreover, the email from Travelers Insurance 7 Company, Kalitta's current surety and the company that has written 8 the bonds previously rejected by the Court, does not answer the 9 question posed by the Court. See Galizi Dec., Ex. 1. Instead, 10 the email from Travelers states that it "is comfortable with revising the language within the bond form giving Kalitta a 30 day 11 12 timeframe to pay the full amount of the appeal along with any 13 additional cost or interest." Id.

14 The other two exhibits to Mr. Galizi's declaration are email 15 messages from Debbie Keller-Niven at Industrial Insurance Agency. 16 See Galizi Dec., Exs. 2 & 3. The emails appear to report on 17 conversations that Ms. Keller-Niven had with representatives of 18 two sureties, CNA and RLI. Id. Mr. Galizi's declaration cannot 19 authenticate these emails, nor is there any indication of the 20 question Ms. Keller-Niven posed to either of the sureties. 21 Moreover, Ms. Keller-Niven specifically states that her company does not "write many bonds" and reports that she is not able to 22 23 get any commitment from either of the sureties. Id.

Plaintiff has failed to comply with the Court's order
granting its motion for leave to file a motion for
reconsideration. Accordingly, the Court DENIES Plaintiff's motion
for reconsideration.

28

2

1	Within seven days of this order, Plaintiff shall post a
2	supersedeas bond that complies with the Court's April 1, 2013
3	order. If Plaintiff is unable to secure such a bond within seven
4	days of this order, it shall provide a letter of credit in the
5	amount of \$311,018.19 from Bank of America, or another bank
6	approved of by Defendant within ten days of the date of this
7	order.
8	
9	IT IS SO ORDERED.
10	
11	Dated: 5/17/2013
12	United States District Judge
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18 10	
19 20	
20	
21	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	
	3

United States District Court For the Northern District of California