

1 Frank T. Shum,)
)
 2) Plaintiff,)
)
 3) v.)
)
 4) Intel Corp., et al)
)
 5) Defendants.)
)

No. C-02-3262-DLJ

**JUDGMENT AS TO STATE LAW
CLAIMS AND PARTIAL JUDGMENT
AS TO INVENTORSHIP CLAIMS**

Intentional Misrepresentation

On April 29, 2009, the Court entered an Order granting Defendants' renewed motion for judgment as a matter of law (JMOL) as to plaintiff Frank Shum's claim for Intentional Misrepresentation. Pursuant to this Order, the Court hereby enters Judgment on this cause of action in favor of defendants Jean-Marc Verdiell, LightLogic, Inc., and Intel Corporation, and against plaintiff Frank Shum.

Breach of Contract

On April 29, 2009, the Court entered an Order granting Defendants' renewed motion for JMOL as to plaintiff Frank Shum's claim for Breach of Contract. Pursuant to this Order, the Court hereby enters Judgment on this cause of action in favor of defendant Jean-Marc Verdiell and against plaintiff Frank Shum.

Unjust Enrichment

On April 29, 2009, the Court entered an Order granting Defendants' renewed motion for JMOL as to plaintiff Frank Shum's claim for Unjust Enrichment. Pursuant to this Order, the Court hereby enters Judgment on this cause of action in favor of defendants Jean-Marc Verdiell, LightLogic, Inc., and Intel Corporation, and against plaintiff Frank Shum.

1 **Inventorship**

2 **U.S. Patent No. 6,227,724**

3 On April 29, 2009, the Court entered an Order granting
4 Defendants' renewed motion for JMOL as to plaintiff Frank
5 Shum's claim for correction of patent inventorship of claim 5
6 of the '724 patent. Pursuant to this Order, the Court hereby
7 enters Judgment that plaintiff Frank Shum is not a co-inventor
8 of claim 5 of the '724 patent.


9 **U.S. Patent No. 6,585,427**

10 Pursuant to the unanimous verdict of the Jury, the Court
11 hereby enters Judgment that plaintiff Frank Shum is not a co-
12 inventor of claims 20, 22 and 23 of the '427 patent; and

13 On April 29, 2009, the Court entered an Order granting
14 Defendants' renewed motion for JMOL as to plaintiff Frank
15 Shum's claim for correction of patent inventorship of claim 1
16 of the '427 patent. Pursuant to this Order, the Court hereby
17 enters Judgment that plaintiff Frank Shum is not a co-inventor
18 of claim 1 of the '427 patent.

19
20 IT IS SO ORDERED.

21
22 Dated: April _29, 2009

23 

24 D. Lowell Jensen
25 United States District Judge
26
27
28