

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6 **IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**  
7 **FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA**  
8 **SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION**  
9

10 FRANCIE E. MOELLER, EDWARD MUEGGE,  
11 KATHERINE CORBETT, and CRAIG THOMAS  
12 YATES,

13 Plaintiffs,

14 v.

15 TACO BELL CORP.,

16 Defendant.

Case Number 4:02-CV-05849 PJH  
(NC)

**ORDER SHORTENING TIME FOR  
OPPOSITION**

Re: Docket No. 616

17  
18 Plaintiffs move to quash seven subpoenas and deposition notices issued by Defendant  
19 Taco Bell Corp. ("TBC") for failure to comply with Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 45(b)(1) and  
20 Civil Local Rule 30-1. Defendants issued the subpoenas and deposition notices between August  
21 30, 2011 and September 1, 2011. Plaintiffs allege that TBC did not serve a copy of the  
22 subpoenas, which compel the production of documents at the time of their corresponding noticed  
23 depositions, on all parties before serving the subpoenas on the persons to be deposed as required  
24 by Rule 45(b)(1). Plaintiffs also claim that TBC did not meet and confer with Plaintiffs before  
25 noticing the depositions as required by Local Rule 30-1.

26 As the first of the noticed depositions is scheduled for September 13, 2011, the Court  
27 orders Defendants, by September 12, 2011, either to (1) meet and confer with Plaintiffs' counsel  
28 and re-issue the subpoenas and re-notice the depositions in compliance with Rule 45(b)(1) and

1 Local Rule 30-1; or (2) file an opposition to Plaintiffs' motion. The depositions are stayed until  
2 Defendants serve compliant subpoenas and deposition notices or until the Court rules on  
3 Plaintiffs' motion to quash.

4 IT IS SO ORDERED.

5  
6 DATED: September 8, 2011

7   
8 NATHANAEL M. COUSINS  
9 United States Magistrate Judge  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28