

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION**

FRANCIE E. MOELLER, and others,

 Plaintiffs,

 v.

TACO BELL CORP.,

 Defendant.

Case No. 02-cv-05849 PJH (NC)
ORDER RE: DISCOVERY DISPUTE
Re: Dkt. No. 709

On January 22, 2013, plaintiffs filed a discovery letter brief requesting a protective order prohibiting certain depositions and requesting the Court to compel production of discovery from defendant Taco Bell. Although the parties apparently conferred by phone, plaintiffs unilaterally filed a four page brief that does not describe Taco Bell's positions or proposed compromises regarding these issues. Accordingly, Taco Bell must submit a letter brief of no more than four pages responding to plaintiffs' letter brief by January 25, 2013 at 5:00 p.m.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Date: January 22, 2013



Nathanael M. Cousins
United States Magistrate Judge