Т	е	n	n	i s	0	n		V	1		С	i	t		у		&		С	0	u	n	t	Dy	0
			1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	ELLI DAN STEV 710 S San F Telep Facsi COLI ETHA 255 K San F Telep	ER & OT R. IEL P /EN P Sanson Francis shone: mile: Francis shone: mile:	PETI URCE . RAC ne Stra co, C. (415) (415) N & B BAL Stree co, C. (4	ERS - ELL - GLAN eet A 94 ) 391- 397-' ALO OGH t, Sui	+#15 #19 ND - -540 7188 GH 1 - #1 te 3 <sup>2</sup> 103 65-9	58708 1424 #221 -1704 0 3 LLP 17222 40	1076 1	i														
			9	Attor	neys f N TEN	or Pla	intiff N																		
			10	JOIN		1150																			
			11						UN	VITE	ED S'	TAT	ΈS	DI	STR	ICT	COU	JRT							
			12					ľ	NOR	THE	ERN	DIS	TRI	СТ	OF	CAI	LIFO	RNI	A						
			13																						
			14	JOHN	N TEN	NISO	DN,							C	Case	No.	C 04	-005	74 C	W					
			15							Р	Plaint	tiff,					ted v C 04		43 C	W					
			16		V.	COL														ORI					
			17 18	POLI	AND FRAN CE DI DERS	ICISC EPAR	CO; S TME	AN I ENT;	FRA PRE	ENTI	ICE	EAR	L	C N	CON AAN	TIN IAG	UIN EMF	G FU ENT	CO	HER NFE	R CA REN	SE CE			
			19			,					endai	,													
			20	<u> </u>																					
			21																						
			22																						
			23																						
			24																						
			25 26																						
			26 27																						
			27																						
			20	STIPU	JLATI	ON AN	D PR	OPOS	SED C	ORDE							R CA	SE M	ANA	GEM	ENT (	CONF	EREI	NCE	
	4276	699.01											solid	lated	d with	1									
											Ca	se No	). C (	J4-U	1643	CW						D	0	с	k

1	RECITAL						
2	The Court's Case Management Order of April 6, 2006, set a further case management						
3	conference in this matter for October 6, 2006, at 1:30 p.m. The Order noted, "If no decision is						
4	made by the Ninth Circuit [regarding pending appeals of this Court's partial denial of						
5	defendants' summary judgment motions] by October 6, 2006, the parties can stipulate to						
6	continue the Case Management Conference."						
7	On October 2, 2006, this Court ordered that the Case Management Conference be						
8	continued until June 8, 2007.						
9	On May 14, 2007, this Court issued a Clerk's Notice, which rescheduled the Case						
10	Management Conference for June 12, 2007.						
11	On June 1, 2007, this Court ordered that the Case Management Conference be continued						
12	until August 7, 2007.						
13	The Ninth Circuit heard oral argument in this case on July 12, 2007, at 9:00 a.m						
14	On July 30, 2007, this Court ordered that the Case Management Conference be continued						
15	until December 4, 2007.						
16	On November 28, 2007, this Court ordered that the Case Management Conference be						
17	continued until February 12, 2008.						
18	On February 4, 2008, this Court ordered that the Case Management Conference be						
19	continued until March 25, 2008.						
20	On March 14, 2008, this Court ordered that the Case Management Conference be						
21	continued until June 10, 2008.						
22	On May 21, 2008, the Ninth Circuit vacated the submission of the appeals pending the						
23	United States Supreme Court's decision in Van de Kamp v. Goldstein, No. 07-854, 2008 WL						
24	1699467 (U.S. Apr. 14, 2008).						
25	On June 9, 2008, this Court ordered that the Case Management Conference be continued						
26	until September 9, 2008.						
27	///						
28	1 STIPULATION AND PROPOSED ORDER CONTINUING FURTHER CASE MANAGEMENT CONFERENCE Case No. C 04-00574 CW Consolidated with Case No. C 04-01643 CW						

1       On September 11, 2008, this Court ordered that the Case Management Conference be         2       continued until October 21, 2008.         3       On September 22, 2008, pursuant to a request by plaintiff Antoine Goff, the Ninth Circuit         4       ordered defendant George Butterworth's appeal dismissed, remanded Goff's claims against.         5       Butterworth to this Court for the limited purpose of entering judgment against Goff and in favor         6       of Butterworth, and ordered the remaining appeals resubmitted.         7       As of today, the Ninth Circuit has not yet ruled on the pending appeals.         8       The parties agree that it would be a waste of time and judicial resources for the Court to         9       hold a case management conference prior to entry of a decision by the Ninth Circuit.         10       STIPULATION         11       For the forgoing reasons, the parties, through their undersigned counsel, stipulate and         12       agree to entry of an order continuing the further case management conference from October 21,         13       2008 at 2:00 p.m. to December 16, 2008 at 2:00 p.m Should the Ninth Circuit dispose of the         14       pending appeals before that date, the parties will notify the Court so that the date of the further         15       Case Management Conference may be advanced.         16       IT IS SO STIPULATED.         17       Dated: October 14,											
3       On September 22, 2008, pursuant to a request by plaintiff Antoine Goff, the Ninth Circuit         4       ordered defendant George Butterworth's appeal dismissed, remanded Goff's claims against         5       Butterworth to this Court for the limited purpose of entering judgment against Goff and in favor         6       of Butterworth, and ordered the remaining appeals resubmitted.         7       As of today, the Ninth Circuit has not yet ruled on the pending appeals.         8       The parties agree that it would be a waste of time and judicial resources for the Court to         9       hold a case management conference prior to entry of a decision by the Ninth Circuit.         10       STIPULATION         11       For the forgoing reasons, the parties, through their undersigned counsel, stipulate and         agree to entry of an order continuing the further case management conference from October 21,         13       2008 at 2:00 p.m. to December 16, 2008 at 2:00 p.m Should the Ninth Circuit dispose of the         14       pending appeals before that date, the parties will notify the Court so that the date of the further         15       Case Management Conference may be advanced.         16       IT IS SO STIPULATED.         17       Dated: October 14, 2008       KEKER & VAN NEST, LLP         18       By: <u>/k/Daniel Purcell</u> DANIEL PURCELL Attorneys for Plaintiff ANTOINE GOFF         2       By:	1	On September 11, 2008, this Court ordered that the Case Management Conference be									
a     ordered defendant George Butterworth's appeal dismissed, remanded Goff's claims against       b     Butterworth to this Court for the limited purpose of entering judgment against Goff and in favor       of     of Butterworth, and ordered the remaining appeals resubmitted.       7     As of today, the Ninth Circuit has not yet ruled on the pending appeals.       8     The parties agree that it would be a waste of time and judicial resources for the Court to       9     hold a case management conference prior to entry of a decision by the Ninth Circuit.       10     STIPULATION       11     For the forgoing reasons, the parties, through their undersigned counsel, stipulate and       12     agree to entry of an order continuing the further case management conference from October 21,       13     2008 at 2:00 p.m. to December 16, 2008 at 2:00 p.m Should the Ninth Circuit dispose of the       14     pending appeals before that date, the parties will notify the Court so that the date of the further       15     Case Management Conference may be advanced.       16     IT IS SO STIPULATED.       17     Dated: October 14, 2008     KEKER & VAN NEST, LLP       18     By: (s/ Daniel Purcell       19     Dated: October 14, 2008       14     By: (s/ John Houston Scott       15     JOHN HOUSTON SCOTT       16     ANTOINE GOFF       17     ANTOINE GOFF       18     2 </th <th>2</th> <th colspan="9">continued until October 21, 2008.</th>	2	continued until October 21, 2008.									
5       Butterworth to this Court for the limited purpose of entering judgment against Goff and in favor         6       of Butterworth, and ordered the remaining appeals resubmitted.         7       As of today, the Ninth Circuit has not yet ruled on the pending appeals.         8       The parties agree that it would be a waste of time and judicial resources for the Court to         9       hold a case management conference prior to entry of a decision by the Ninth Circuit.         10       STIPULATION         11       For the forgoing reasons, the parties, through their undersigned counsel, stipulate and         12       agree to entry of an order continuing the further case management conference from October 21,         13       2008 at 2:00 p.m. to December 16, 2008 at 2:00 p.m Should the Ninth Circuit dispose of the         14       pending appeals before that date, the parties will notify the Court so that the date of the further         15       Case Management Conference may be advanced.         16       IT IS SO STIPULATED.         17       Dated: October 14, 2008       KEKER & VAN NEST, LLP         18       By: // Daniel Purcell         19       By: // Daniel Purcell         10       JOHN TENNISON         21       Dated: October 14, 2008       THE SCOTT LAW FIRM         22       By: // John Houston Scott       JOHN HOUSTON SCOTT      <	3	On September 22, 2008, pursuant to a request by plaintiff Antoine Goff, the Ninth Circuit									
of Butterworth, and ordered the remaining appeals resubmitted.         7       As of today, the Ninth Circuit has not yet ruled on the pending appeals.         8       The parties agree that it would be a waste of time and judicial resources for the Court to         9       hold a case management conference prior to entry of a decision by the Ninth Circuit.         10       STIPULATION         11       For the forgoing reasons, the parties, through their undersigned counsel, stipulate and         12       agree to entry of an order continuing the further case management conference from October 21,         13       2008 at 2:00 p.m. to December 16, 2008 at 2:00 p.m Should the Ninth Circuit dispose of the         14       pending appeals before that date, the parties will notify the Court so that the date of the further         15       Case Management Conference may be advanced.         16       IT IS SO STIPULATED.         17       Dated: October 14, 2008       KEKER & VAN NEST, LLP         18       By: <u>/s/ Daniel Purcell</u> 19       By: <u>/s/ John Houston Scott</u> 12       JOHN HOUSTON SCOTT         13       ANTOINE GOFF         14       Plaintiff         15       ANTOINE GOFF         16       TIPULATION         17       Dated: October 14, 2008         18       THE SCOTT	4	ordered defendant George Butterworth's appeal dismissed, remanded Goff's claims against									
As of today, the Ninth Circuit has not yet ruled on the pending appeals.         The parties agree that it would be a waste of time and judicial resources for the Court to         hold a case management conference prior to entry of a decision by the Ninth Circuit.         Image: Control of the forgoing reasons, the parties, through their undersigned counsel, stipulate and         agree to entry of an order continuing the further case management conference from October 21,         2008 at 2:00 p.m. to December 16, 2008 at 2:00 p.m Should the Ninth Circuit dispose of the         pending appeals before that date, the parties will notify the Court so that the date of the further         Case Management Conference may be advanced.         IT       IT IS SO STIPULATED.         Dated: October 14, 2008       KEKER & VAN NEST, LLP         By:       (s/ Daniel Purcell DANIEL PURCELL Attorneys for Plaintiff JOHN HOUSTON SCOTT Attorneys for Plaintiff         Dated: October 14, 2008       THE SCOTT LAW FIRM         By:       (s/ John Houston Scott JOHN HOUSTON SCOTT Attorneys for Plaintiff         ANTOINE GOFF       ANTOINE GOFF         20       2	5	Butterworth to this Court for the limited purpose of entering judgment against Goff and in favor									
8       The parties agree that it would be a waste of time and judicial resources for the Court to         9       hold a case management conference prior to entry of a decision by the Ninth Circuit.         10       STIPULATION         11       For the forgoing reasons, the parties, through their undersigned counsel, stipulate and         12       agree to entry of an order continuing the further case management conference from October 21,         13       2008 at 2:00 p.m. to December 16, 2008 at 2:00 p.m Should the Ninth Circuit dispose of the         14       pending appeals before that date, the parties will notify the Court so that the date of the further         15       Case Management Conference may be advanced.         16       IT IS SO STIPULATED.         17       Dated: October 14, 2008       KEKER & VAN NEST, LLP         18       By: <u>/s/ Daniel Purcell</u> 19       By: <u>/s/ Daniel Purcell</u> 20       Dated: October 14, 2008       THE SCOTT LAW FIRM         21       Dated: October 14, 2008       THE SCOTT LAW FIRM         22       Dated: October 14, 2008       THE SCOTT LAW FIRM         23       Attorneys for Plaintiff         24       By: <u>/s/ John Houston Scott</u> JOHN HOUSTON SCOTT         27       JOHN HOUSTON SCOTT       Attorneys for Plaintiff         28       2 <th>6</th> <th colspan="10">of Butterworth, and ordered the remaining appeals resubmitted.</th>	6	of Butterworth, and ordered the remaining appeals resubmitted.									
9       hold a case management conference prior to entry of a decision by the Ninth Circuit.         10       STIPULATION         11       For the forgoing reasons, the parties, through their undersigned counsel, stipulate and         12       agree to entry of an order continuing the further case management conference from October 21,         13       2008 at 2:00 p.m. to December 16, 2008 at 2:00 p.m Should the Ninth Circuit dispose of the         14       pending appeals before that date, the parties will notify the Court so that the date of the further         15       Case Management Conference may be advanced.         16       IT IS SO STIPULATED.         17       Dated: October 14, 2008       KEKER & VAN NEST, LLP         18       By: /s/ Daniel Purcell         19       By: /s/ Daniel Purcell         20       Dated: October 14, 2008         21       Dated: October 14, 2008       THE SCOTT LAW FIRM         22       Dated: October 14, 2008       THE SCOTT LAW FIRM         23       ID ated: October 14, 2008       THE SCOTT LAW FIRM         24       By: /s/ John Houston Scott       ID ANTEL PURCELCL         25       ID AND PROPOSED ORDER CONTINUING FURTHER CASE MANAGEMENT CONFERENCE Case No. C 04-00574 CW       Case No. C 04-00574 CW         28       21       ID AND PROPOSED ORDER CONTINUUNG FURTHER CASE MANAGEMENT CONFER	7	As of today, the Ninth Circuit has not yet ruled on the pending appeals.									
Image: Construction of the second state of the second s	8	The parties agree that it would be a waste of time and judicial resources for the Court to									
11       For the forgoing reasons, the parties, through their undersigned counsel, stipulate and         12       agree to entry of an order continuing the further case management conference from October 21,         13       2008 at 2:00 p.m. to December 16, 2008 at 2:00 p.m Should the Ninth Circuit dispose of the         14       pending appeals before that date, the parties will notify the Court so that the date of the further         15       Case Management Conference may be advanced.         16       IT IS SO STIPULATED.         17       Dated: October 14, 2008       KEKER & VAN NEST, LLP         18       By: /s/ Daniel Purcell         20       DANIEL PURCELL         21       Dated: October 14, 2008       THE SCOTT LAW FIRM         22       Dated: October 14, 2008       THE SCOTT LAW FIRM         23       0       Autorneys for Plaintiff         24       By: /s/ John Houston Scott       JOHN HOUSTON SCOTT         25       Autorneys for Plaintiff       ANTOINE GOFF         26       THPULATION AND PROPOSED ORDER CONTINUNG FURTHER CASE MANAGEMENT CONFERENCE Case No. C 04-00574 CW         28       Consolidated with	9	hold a case management conference prior to entry of a decision by the Ninth Circuit.									
12       agree to entry of an order continuing the further case management conference from October 21,         13       2008 at 2:00 p.m. to December 16, 2008 at 2:00 p.m Should the Ninth Circuit dispose of the         14       pending appeals before that date, the parties will notify the Court so that the date of the further         15       Case Management Conference may be advanced.         16       IT IS SO STIPULATED.         17       Dated: October 14, 2008       KEKER & VAN NEST, LLP         18       By: /s/ Daniel Purcell         20       Attorneys for Plaintiff         20       JOHN TENNISON         21       Dated: October 14, 2008       THE SCOTT LAW FIRM         22       Dated: October 14, 2008       THE SCOTT LAW FIRM         23       Particle Plaintiff       JOHN HOUSTON SCOTT         24       By: /s/ John Houston Scott       JOHN HOUSTON SCOTT         25       ANTOINE GOFF       ANTOINE GOFF         26       2       STIPULATION AND PROPOSED ORDER CONTINUING FURTHER CASE MANAGEMENT CONFERENCE Case No. C 04-00574 CW	10	STIPULATION									
13       2008 at 2:00 p.m. to December 16, 2008 at 2:00 p.m Should the Ninth Circuit dispose of the         14       pending appeals before that date, the parties will notify the Court so that the date of the further         15       Case Management Conference may be advanced.         16       IT IS SO STIPULATED.         17       Dated: October 14, 2008       KEKER & VAN NEST, LLP         18       19         19       By: /s/ Daniel Purcell         20       Attorneys for Plaintiff         20       JOHN TENNISON         21       Dated: October 14, 2008         22       Dated: October 14, 2008       THE SCOTT LAW FIRM         23	11	For the forgoing reasons, the parties, through their undersigned counsel, stipulate and									
14       pending appeals before that date, the parties will notify the Court so that the date of the further         15       Case Management Conference may be advanced.         16       IT IS SO STIPULATED.         17       Dated: October 14, 2008       KEKER & VAN NEST, LLP         18       By: /s/ Daniel Purcell         19       DANIEL PURCELL         20       Attorneys for Plaintiff         21       JOHN TENNISON         22       Dated: October 14, 2008       THE SCOTT LAW FIRM         23       External       JOHN HOUSTON Scott         24       By: /s/ John Houston Scott       JOHN HOUSTON SCOTT         25       Attorneys for Plaintiff         26       2       STIPULATION AND PROPOSED ORDER CONTINUING FURTHER CASE MANAGEMENT CONFERENCE Case No. C 04-00574 CW Consolidated with	12	agree to entry of an order continuing the further case management conference from October 21,									
15       Case Management Conference may be advanced.         16       IT IS SO STIPULATED.         17       Dated: October 14, 2008       KEKER & VAN NEST, LLP         18       By: /s/ Daniel Purcell         19       By: /s/ Daniel Purcell         20       Attorneys for Plaintiff         21       JOHN TENNISON         22       Dated: October 14, 2008       THE SCOTT LAW FIRM         23       By: /s/ John Houston Scott       JOHN HOUSTON SCOTT         24       By: /s/ John Houston Scott       Attorneys for Plaintiff         25       Attorneys for Plaintiff         26       2       STIPULATION AND PROPOSED ORDER CONTINUING FURTHER CASE MANAGEMENT CONFERENCE         28       2       2         28       2       STIPULATION AND PROPOSED ORDER CONTINUING FURTHER CASE MANAGEMENT CONFERENCE	13	2008 at 2:00 p.m. to December 16, 2008 at 2:00 p.m Should the Ninth Circuit dispose of the									
16       IT IS SO STIPULATED.         17       Dated: October 14, 2008       KEKER & VAN NEST, LLP         18	14	pending appeals before that date, the parties will notify the Court so that the date of the further									
17       Dated: October 14, 2008       KEKER & VAN NEST, LLP         18       By: /s/ Daniel Purcell         19       DANIEL PURCELL         20       Attorneys for Plaintiff         21       JOHN TENNISON         22       Dated: October 14, 2008       THE SCOTT LAW FIRM         23       Extension of the state of the stat	15	Case Management Conference may be advanced.									
<ul> <li>By: /s/ Daniel Purcell DANIEL PURCELL Attorneys for Plaintiff JOHN TENNISON</li> <li>Dated: October 14, 2008 THE SCOTT LAW FIRM</li> <li>By: /s/ John Houston Scott JOHN HOUSTON SCOTT Attorneys for Plaintiff ANTOINE GOFF</li> <li>STIPULATION AND PROPOSED ORDER CONTINUING FURTHER CASE MANAGEMENT CONFERENCE Case No. C 04-00574 CW Consolidated with</li> </ul>	16	IT IS SO STIPULATED.									
19       By: /s/ Daniel Purcell         20       DANIEL PURCELL         21       Attorneys for Plaintiff         22       Dated: October 14, 2008       THE SCOTT LAW FIRM         23       By: /s/ John Houston Scott	17	Dated: October 14, 2008KEKER & VAN NEST, LLP									
20       DANIEL PURCELL Attorneys for Plaintiff JOHN TENNISON         21       Dated: October 14, 2008         22       Dated: October 14, 2008         23       THE SCOTT LAW FIRM         23       By: /s/ John Houston Scott         24       By: /s/ John HoustON SCOTT Attorneys for Plaintiff ANTOINE GOFF         26       2         27       2         28       2         21       STIPULATION AND PROPOSED ORDER CONTINUING FURTHER CASE MANAGEMENT CONFERENCE Case No. C 04-00574 CW Consolidated with	18										
20       Attorneys for Plaintiff         21       JOHN TENNISON         22       Dated: October 14, 2008       THE SCOTT LAW FIRM         23       By: /s/ John Houston Scott         24       By: /s/ John Houston Scott         25       JOHN HOUSTON SCOTT         26       Antorneys for Plaintiff         27       Antorneys for Plaintiff         28       2         21       STIPULATION AND PROPOSED ORDER CONTINUING FURTHER CASE MANAGEMENT CONFERENCE Case No. C 04-00574 CW Consolidated with	19	•									
<ul> <li>21</li> <li>22 Dated: October 14, 2008 THE SCOTT LAW FIRM</li> <li>23</li> <li>24 By: /s/ John Houston Scott</li> <li>25 JOHN HOUSTON SCOTT</li> <li>26 ANTOINE GOFF</li> <li>27</li> <li>28 2</li> <li>21 2</li> <li>21 2</li> <li>22 STIPULATION AND PROPOSED ORDER CONTINUING FURTHER CASE MANAGEMENT CONFERENCE Case No. C 04-00574 CW Consolidated with</li> </ul>	20	Attorneys for Plaintiff									
<ul> <li>23</li> <li>24</li> <li>By: /s/ John Houston Scott</li> <li>JOHN HOUSTON SCOTT</li> <li>JOHN HOUSTON SCOTT</li> <li>Attorneys for Plaintiff</li> <li>ANTOINE GOFF</li> <li>26</li> <li>27</li> <li>28</li> <li>21</li> <li>22</li> <li>22</li> <li>28</li> <li>21</li> <li>21</li> <li>22</li> <li>23</li> <li>24</li> <li>25</li> <li>26</li> <li>27</li> <li>28</li> <li>20</li> <li>20</li> <li>20</li> <li>21</li> <li>21</li> <li>22</li> <li>23</li> <li>24</li> <li>24</li> <li>25</li> <li>26</li> <li>27</li> <li>28</li> <li>20</li> <li>21</li> <li>21</li> <li>22</li> <li>23</li> <li>24</li> <li>24</li> <li>25</li> <li>26</li> <li>27</li> <li>28</li> <li>27</li> <li>28</li> <li>29</li> <li>20</li> <li>20</li> <li>20</li> <li>21</li> <li>21</li> <li>21</li> <li>22</li> <li>23</li> <li>24</li> <li>24</li> <li>25</li> <li>26</li> <li>27</li> <li>28</li> <li>29</li> <li>20</li> <li>20</li> <li>21</li> <li>21</li> <li>21</li> <li>21</li> <li>22</li> <li>23</li> <li>24</li> <li>24</li> <li>24</li> <li>24</li> <li>25</li> <li>26</li> <li>27</li> <li>28</li> <li>29</li> <li>20</li> <li>21</li> <li>21</li> <li>21</li> <li>22</li> <li>23</li> <li>24</li> <li>24</li> <li>24</li> <li>24</li> <li>24</li> <li>24</li> <li>24</li> <li>24</li> <li>25</li> <li>26</li> <li>27</li> <li>28</li> <li>29</li> <li>20</li> <li>21</li> <li>21</li> <li>21</li> <li>21</li> <li>22</li> <li>23</li> <li>24</li> <li>24</li> <li>24</li> <li>24</li> <li>25</li> <li>26</li> <li>27</li> <li>28</li> <li>29</li> <li>29</li> <li>20</li> <li>20</li></ul>	21										
<ul> <li>By: /s/ John Houston Scott</li> <li>JOHN HOUSTON SCOTT Attorneys for Plaintiff ANTOINE GOFF</li> <li>26</li> <li>27</li> <li>28</li> <li>21</li> <li>22</li> <li>28</li> <li>20</li> <li>20</li> <li>21</li> <li>22</li> <li>23</li> <li>24</li> <li>25</li> <li>26</li> <li>27</li> <li>28</li> <li>20</li> <li>21</li> <li>21</li> <li>22</li> <li>23</li> <li>24</li> <li>24</li> <li>25</li> <li>26</li> <li>27</li> <li>28</li> <li>29</li> <li>20</li> <li>20</li></ul>	22	Dated: October 14, 2008THE SCOTT LAW FIRM									
25 25 26 27 28 27 28 20 21 21 22 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20	23										
<ul> <li>Attorneys for Plaintiff</li> <li>ANTOINE GOFF</li> <li>27</li> <li>28</li> <li>21</li> <li>22</li> <li>28</li> <li>20</li> <li>20</li> <li>21</li> <li>22</li> <li>23</li> <li>24</li> <li>25</li> <li>26</li> <li>27</li> <li>28</li> <li>20</li> <li>20</li> <li>20</li> <li>20</li> <li>20</li> <li>20</li> <li>20</li> <li>21</li> <li>21</li></ul>	24	· ·									
<ul> <li>26</li> <li>27</li> <li>28 2</li> <li>28 STIPULATION AND PROPOSED ORDER CONTINUING FURTHER CASE MANAGEMENT CONFERENCE Case No. C 04-00574 CW Consolidated with</li> </ul>	25	Attorneys for Plaintiff									
28 2 STIPULATION AND PROPOSED ORDER CONTINUING FURTHER CASE MANAGEMENT CONFERENCE Case No. C 04-00574 CW Consolidated with											
STIPULATION AND PROPOSED ORDER CONTINUING FURTHER CASE MANAGEMENT CONFERENCE Case No. C 04-00574 CW Consolidated with		2									
	28	STIPULATION AND PROPOSED ORDER CONTINUING FURTHER CASE MANAGEMENT CONFERENCE Case No. C 04-00574 CW Consolidated with									

1	Dated: October 14, 2008 MOSCONE, EMBLIDGE & QUADRA
2	
3	By: <u>/s/ James A. Quadra</u> JAMES A. QUADRA
4	Attorneys for Defendants PRENTICE EARL SANDERS and
5	NAPOLEON HENDRIX
6	Dated: October 14, 2008OFFICE OF THE CITY ATTORNEY
7	
8	By: <u>/s/ Christine Van Aken</u>
9	CHRISTINE VAN AKEN Attorneys for Defendants
10	CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO and GEORGE BUTTERWORTH
11	
12	
13	FILER'S ATTESTATION
14	I, Daniel Purcell, the filer of this document, hereby attest that concurrence in the filing of
15	this document has been obtained from each signatory hereto.
16	
17	
18	By: <u>/s/ Daniel Purcell</u> DANIEL PURCELL
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	3 STIPULATION AND PROPOSED ORDER CONTINUING FURTHER CASE MANAGEMENT CONFERENCE
	Case No. C 04-00574 CW Consolidated with
	Case No. C 04-01643 CW

427699.01

