1 2 3 4 5 6 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 7 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 8 9 10 11 SALVADOR A. RODRIGUEZ, 12 Petitioner, No. C 04-2233 PJH 13 ٧. ORDER VACATING HEARING DATE 14 AND SETTING BRIEFING SCHEDULE DERRAL ADAMS, Warden, 15 Respondent. 16 17 On June 1, 2011, the court granted Rodriguez's federal habeas petition under 28 18 U.S.C. § 2254. On June 10, 2011, respondent filed a motion to alter judgment and a 19 request for an evidentiary hearing. The court VACATES the hearing date, and will decide 20 the matter on the papers. Petitioner's opposition brief is due no later than **Friday**, **July 8**, 21 2011, and respondent's reply is due no later than Friday, July 22, 2011. 22 In particular, the parties should address the following issues, in addition to any 23 others raised by respondent in its opening brief: 24 1) a. Whether this court should now reconsider its June 1, 2011 order 25 granting petitioner relief on his ineffective assistance of counsel claim 26 based on the United States Supreme Court's decision in Walker v. 27 Martin, 131 S.Ct. 1120, 1128-29 (Feb. 23, 2011); and 28 b. why respondent failed to raise the issue in a more timely fashion following the Supreme Court's February 2011 decision in Walker in a

motion to dismiss, supplemental memorandum, or otherwise, and whether those reasons now excuse respondent's earlier failure to raise the procedural bar issue as an affirmative defense; and

whether, as suggested by respondent, this court is permitted to consider in the context of federal habeas proceedings new evidence adduced at an evidentiary hearing before this court, but not presented to the state court, pursuant to the United States Supreme Court's recent decision in *Cullen v. Pinholster*, 131 S.Ct. 1388, 1398 (April 4, 2011) ("the record under review must be limited to the record in existence at that same time i.e., the record before the state court").

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: June 13, 2011

PHYLLIS J. HAMILTON United States District Judge