| Therape   | utics Network Corporation v. Virginia   | a Hematology Onc   | ology PLLC et al |             | Doc |  |
|---|---|--|------------------|-------------|-----|--|
| ľ   | Case 4:05-cv-03033-WDB  | Document 8   | Filed 08/04/2005 | Page 1 of 1 | I   |  |
| ľ   |   |  |                  |             |     |  |
| ľ   |   |  |                  |             |     |  |
| 1   |   |  |                  |             |     |  |
| 1   |   |  |                  |             |     |  |
| 2   |   |  |                  |             |     |  |
| 3   |   |  |                  |             |     |  |
| 4   |   | UNITED OT A TES DISTRICT COURT   |                  |             |     |  |
| 5   | UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT<br>NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA                                 |  |                  |             |     |  |
| 6   |   |  |                  |             |     |  |
|   |   |  |                  |             |     |  |
| 7   | Oncology Therapeutics Ne<br>Connection,   | etwork   | No. C 05-3033    | WDB         |     |  |
| 8   |   |  |                  |             |     |  |
| 9   | Plaintif  | t,   | REGARDING C      |             |     |  |
| 10  | V.  |  | REASSIGNMEN      | ΙT          |     |  |
| 11  |   |  |                  |             |     |  |
| 12  | Virginia Hematology Onco  | Virginia Hematology Oncology<br>PLLC, a limited liability company;                   |                  |             |     |  |
| 13  | Ronald Poulin, an individual,   |  |                  |             |     |  |
| fornia  | Defend  | Defendant(s).  |                  |             |     |  |
| of Calife   | /   |  |                  |             |     |  |
| ° 15  | This case was randomly assigned at filing to the undersigned United States Magistrate           |  |                  |             |     |  |
| 16 Dis  | Judge. Without the written consent of all parties to jurisdiction pursuant to 28 U.S.C. section |  |                  |             |     |  |
| For the Northern District of California<br>12 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 1 | 636(c), a Magistrate Judge may not hear and determine a motion that could be dispositive of     |  |                  |             | of  |  |
| For the   |   | an action or a claim or defense. On August 2, 2005, defendant Ronald F. Poulin filed |                  |             |     |  |
| 19  | -   |  |                  |             |     |  |
| ľ   |   |  |                  |             |     |  |

**United States District Court** 

20 Civil Procedure 12(b)(2) and for failure to state a claim upon which relief can be granted 21 pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 12(b)(6) - a potentially dispositive motion. In 22 light of defendant's pending motion, the Court asks the parties to consider the attached 23 "Consent to Assignment or Request for Reassignment," make an election, and e-file the 24 consent or request for reassignment by no later than August 15, 2005. 25

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: August 4, 2005

26

27

28

Copies to: Parties, WDB.

D. BRAZ ١E

United States Magistrate Judge