

1 IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
2 FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

3 TESSERA, INC.,

No. C 05-4063 CW

4 Plaintiff,

ORDER REGARDING
COURT EXPERT

5
6 v.

7 ADVANCED MICRO DEVICES, INC., a
8 Delaware corporation; SPANSSION,
9 LLC, a Delaware limited liability
10 corporation; SPANSSION, INC., a
11 Delaware corporation; SPANSSION
12 TECHNOLOGY, INC., a Delaware
13 corporation; ADVANCED
14 SEMICONDUCTOR ENGINEERING, INC.,
15 a Republic of China corporation;
16 ASE (U.S.), INC., a California
17 corporation; CHIPMOS
18 TECHNOLOGIES, INC., a Republic of
19 China corporation; CHIPMOS
20 U.S.A., INC., a California
21 corporation; SILICONWARE
22 PRECISION INDUSTRIES CO., LTD., a
23 Republic of China corporation;
24 SILICONWARE USA, INC., a
25 California corporation;
26 STMICROELECTRONICS N.V., a
27 Netherlands corporation;
28 STMICROELECTRONICS, INC., a
Delaware corporation; STATS
CHIPPAC, INC., a Delaware
corporation; STATS CHIPPAC (BVI),
INC., a British Virgin Islands
company; STATS CHIPPAC, LTD., a
Singapore company,

Defendants.

SILICONWARE PRECISION INDUSTRIES
CO, LTD; SILICONWARE U.S.A.,
INC.,

No. C 08-3667 CW

Plaintiffs,

v.

TESSERA, INC.,

Defendant.

1 CHIPMOS TECHNOLOGIES, INC.;
2 CHIPMOS U.S.A., INC.; CHIPMOS
3 TECHNOLOGIES (BERMUDA), LTD.,
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

Plaintiffs,

v.

TESSERA, INC.,

Defendant.

No. C 08-3827 CW

ADVANCED SEMICONDUCTOR
ENGINEERING, INC.; ASE TEST
LIMITED; ASE (U.S.), INC.,

Plaintiffs,

v.

TESSERA, INC.,

Defendant.

No. C 08-3726 CW

SPANSION, INC., et al.,
Plaintiffs,

No. C 10-4954 CW

v.

TESSERA, INC.,

Claimant.

POWERTECH TECHNOLOGY INC.,

No. C 10-945 CW

Plaintiff,

v.

TESSERA, INC.,

Defendant.

United States District Court
For the Northern District of California

1 POWERTECH TECHNOLOGY INC., No. C 11-6121 CW

2 Plaintiff,

3 v.

4 TESSERA, INC.,

5 Defendant.

6
7 TESSERA, INC., No. C 12-692 CW

8 Plaintiff,

9 v.

10 QUALCOMM, INC.; FREESCALE
11 SEMICONDUCTOR, INC.; ATI
12 TECHNOLOGIES, LLC,

13 Defendants.

14 AND ALL RELATED COUNTERCLAIMS

15
16 The Court notes that one name was inadvertently omitted from
17 the list of parties and attorneys that was attached to the Court's
18 April 9, 2012 Order Concerning Duties and Instructions for the
19 Court-Appointed Expert, that of party Powertech Technology, Inc.
20 Thus, the Court asks Dr. Dauskardt to confirm by signing and
21 returning the attached form that he has no conflict of interest,
22 with respect to Powertech Technology, Inc., that has not already
23 been disclosed to the parties.
24 IT IS SO ORDERED.


25 Dated: 5/16/2012

26 
27 CLAUDIA WILKEN
28 United States District Judge

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

I affirm that I have disclosed truthfully to the parties all potential conflicts of interest that I may have to the best of my knowledge, with respect to Powertech Technology, Inc.

Dated: 5/31/12



DR. REINHOLD DAUSKARDT