17

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

## IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 2 FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 3 4 No. C 05-4063 CW TESSERA, INC., 5 Plaintiff, 6 ADVANCED MICRO DEVICES, INC., et al., 8 Defendant. 9 10 TESSERA, INC., No. C 12-692 CW 11 Plaintiff, AMENDED ORDER REGARDING MOTIONS 12 v. TO ALTER CASE SCHEDULE 13 MOTOROLA, INC., et al., (Re: Docket Nos. 14 Defendant. 1377, 1379, 1380) 15 AND ALL RELATED COUNTERCLAIMS 16

Plaintiff Tessera, Inc., Defendant Qualcomm, Inc., and Defendants STMicroelectronics, Inc. and STMicroelectronics N.V. (ST) all move to alter the case schedule to accommodate the Courtappointed expert's (CAE) request to extend the deadline for his expert report to March 14, 2014. Of the three competing proposals, the Court finds that ST's has the advantage. ST's proposed adjustment provides sufficient time for the Court to consider the parties' summary judgment motions and for the parties to attend another mediation following the CAE's opinion.

Accordingly, ST's proposal is adopted:

Event	Date
CAE Report	March 14, 2014
CAE Deposition Deadline	April 1, 2014
Tessera's Summary Judgment (SJ)	April 7, 2014 <sup>1</sup>
and Claim Construction (CC)	
Defendants' SJ and CC; Response	April 21, 2014
to Tessera SJ	
Tessera's Response to SJ; Reply	May 5, 2014
SJ and CC	
Defendants' Reply SJ and CC	May 19, 2014
CAE Advisory Opinion on CC	June 2, 2014
Deadline for Mandatory Mediation	June 13, 2014
for All Parties	
CMC and Hearing on CC and SJ	June 19, 2014
Pretrial Conference	October 8, 2014
Jury Trial	November 10, 2014

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: 3/26/2014

United States District Judge

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Because Tessera has already filed its summary judgment brief in advance of the new deadline, it may withdraw its motion, giving notice to Defendants and the Court without delay, and refile before the new deadline.