1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	 FOLEY & LARDNER LLP Gina A. Bibby., CA BAR NO. 242657 GBIBBY@FOLEY.COM 975 Page Mill Road Palo Alto, CA 94304-1013 Telephone: 650.856.3700 Facsimile: 650.856.3710 FOLEY & LARDNER LLP Steven J. Rizzi (ADMITTED PRO HAC VICE) SRIZZI@FOLEY.COM 90 Park Avenue New York, NY 10016-1314 Telephone: 212-682-7474 Facsimile: 212-687-2329 ATTORNEYS FOR DEFENDANTS AND COUNTERCL ADVANCED SEMICONDUCTOR ENGINEERING, In 		
10			
11	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
12		ICT OF CALIFORNIA	
13	OAKLAND DIVISION		
14	OAKLAND DIVISION		
15	TESSERA, INC.,	CASE NO. 4:05-cv-04063-CW	
16	Plaintiff, Counter-defendant,		
17	v.	JOINT STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER CHANGING THE	
18	ADVANCED MICRO DEVICES, INC. et al.,	DATE OF UPCOMING CASE MANAGEMENT CONFERENCE AND	
19	Defendants, Counter-claimants.	RELATED DATES	
20	Derendants, Counter-claimants.		
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			
26			
27			
28	JOINT STIPULATION AND [PROPOSEB] ORDER CH OF UPCOMING CASE MANAGEMENT CONFERENC	IANGING THE DATE CASE NO. 4:05-cv-04063-CW CE AND RELATED DATES Dockets.Justia.	c

1	1. WHEREAS, this Court stayed the case known as <i>Tessera, Inc. v. Advanced Micro</i>		
2	Devices, Inc. et al, Case No. C 05-04063 (CW) (the "AMD case") by its order of May 24, 2007		
3	with respect to International Trade Commission Investigation 337-TA-605 "until the		
4	determination of the ITC, including appeals becomes final," and WHEREAS, on August 5, 2008		
5	the Court ordered that the stay of the AMD case "shall be extended until a determination in the		
6	International Trade Commission Investigation 337-TA-649, including appeals, becomes final;"		
7	and		
8	2. WHEREAS, this Court issued a Related Case Order on September 11, 2008 in the		
9	AMD case finding that the three cases known as, <i>Siliconware Precision Industries Co., Ltd. et al.</i>		
10	v. Tessera, Case No. C 08-03667 RS; Advanced Semiconductor Engineering Inc. et al. v.		
11	Tessera, Case No. C 08-03726 EMC; and Chipmos Technologies Inc. et al. v. Tessera, Inc., Case		
12	No. C 08-03827 CW, were related to the AMD case (these three cases are collectively the		
13	"Related Cases"); and		
14	3. WHEREAS, this Court stayed all proceedings in the Related Cases, to include all		
15	claims and counterclaims, by its order of December 19, 2008 "[i]n light of the decision by the		
16	International Trade Commission ('ITC') granting Tessera's motion to amend the Complaint and		
17	Notice of Investigation adding U.S. Patent No. 5,663,106 to the investigation" known as 337-		
18	TA-649 and the stipulation of the parties in the Related Cases; and		
19	4. WHEREAS, this Court issued a Related Case Order on November 10, 2010,		
20	finding that the case known as Spansion Inc. v. Tessera Inc., Case No. C 10-04954 MMC (the		
21	"Spansion case"), is related to this case; and		
22	5. WHEREAS, by its orders of December 7, 2010 this Court subsequently set a Case		
23	Management Conference in the AMD case, the Spansion case and the Related Cases for June 7,		
24	2011 at 2:00 p.m; and		
25	6. WHEREAS the parties in the AMD, Spansion, and Related Cases, by their		
26	undersigned counsel, report to the Court that the current status of the International Trade		
27	Commission Investigation 337-TA-605, which is under appeal to the Federal Circuit in Case		
28	1 JOINT STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER CHANGING THE DATE CASE NO. 4:05-cv-04063-CW OF UPCOMING CASE MANAGEMENT CONFERENCE AND RELATED DATES		

1	Number 09-1460, is that the Federal Circuit issued its decision on December 21, 2010, affirming	
2	the Commission's final determination, but all appeals have not yet been exhausted. In particular,	
3	the period for any petitions to the United States Supreme Court for a <i>writ of certiorari</i> has not yet	
4	run, and at least one defendant in the AMD Case intends to seek such a petition, and;	
5	7. WHEREAS, because a final resolution of ITC's 337-TA-605 investigation,	
6	including appeals, is still pending, and therefore the parties in the AMD case, in the Spansion	
7	case, and in the Related Cases believe that it would be most efficient to further postpone the case	
8	management conference and related activity in the AMD case, the Spansion case, and the	
9	Related Cases until approximately November 8, 2011; and	
10	8. WHEREAS, the parties appearing below agree that there would be no prejudice to	
11	the parties in moving the case management conference, as that case is currently stayed;	
12	THEREFORE, IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED AND AGREED, by and between the	
13	parties appearing below through their undersigned respective attorneys of record, based on the	
14	foregoing, and subject to the approval of this Court, that:	
15	a. The case management conference currently scheduled for June 7, 2011, at 2:00	
16	p.m. in the AMD case shall be rescheduled for <u>November 8, 2011</u> at <u>2</u> :00 p.m., or as soon	
17	thereafter as the Court is available;	
18	PURSUANT TO STIPULATION, IT IS SO ORDERED.	
19		
20	Dated this 24th day of <u>May</u> , 2011.	
21	United States District Judge	
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28	JOINT STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER CHANGING THE DATE CASE NO. 4:05-cv-04063-CW OF UPCOMING CASE MANAGEMENT CONFERENCE AND RELATED DATES	

1	Dated: May 23, 2011	/s/
2		Gina A. Bibby FOLEY & LARDNER LLP
3		Attorneys for defendants and counter-claimants ADVANCED SEMICONDUCTOR
4		ENGINEERING, INC., ASE TEST LIMITED, and ASE (U.S.) INC.
5	Dated: May 23, 2011	/s/ Michael Heafey
6		ORRICK, HERRINGTON & SUTCLIFFE
7		Attorneys for defendants and counter-claimants SILICONWARE PRECISION INDUSTRIES CO., LTD. and SILICONWARE U.S.A.
8	Dated: May 23, 2011	
9		/s/ Elaine Chow K&L GATES LLP
10		Attorneys for defendants and counter-claimants ADVANCED MICRO DEVICES, INC.,
11 12		SPANSION TECHNOLOGY INC., SPANSION INC. and SPANSION LLC
12	Dated: May 23, 2011	/s/ Joseph Lanser
13		SEYFARTH SHAW
15		Attorneys for defendants and counter-claimants CHIPMOS TECHNOLOGIES INC. and CHIPMOS U.S.A. INC.
16	Dated: May 23, 2011	/s/
17		Russell L. Johnson SIDLEY AUSTIN LLP
18		Attorneys for defendants and counter-claimants STMICROELECTRONICS N.V. and STMICROELECTRONICS, INC.
19	Dated: May 23, 2011	/s/
20		Michael Franzinger WEIL, GOTSHAL & MANGES LLP
21		Attorneys for defendants and counter-claimants STATS CHIPPAC INC., STATS CHIPPAC LTD
22		and STATS CHIPPAC (BVI) LIMITED
23	Dated: May 23, 2011	/s/ Trevor Stockinger
24		IRELL & MANELLA LLP Attorneys for plaintiff and counter-defendant
25		TESSEŘA, INC.
26		
27		
28	JOINT STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDE OF UPCOMING CASE MANAGEMENT CONFER	R CHANGING THE DATE CASE NO. 4:05-cv-04063-CW ENCE AND RELATED DATES

1	Concurrence in the filing of this document has been obtained from the signatories listed	
2	above.	
3	Datad: May 22, 2011	EQLEV & LADDNED LLD
4	Dated: May 23, 2011	FOLEY & LARDNER LLP
5		/s/ Gina A. Bibby
6		Attorneys for defendants and counter-claimants ADVANCED SEMICONDUCTOR ENGINEERING, INC., ASE TEST LIMITED, and ASE (U.S.) INC.
7		
8		ASE (0.5.) INC.
9		
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28	JOINT STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED]	ORDER CHANGING THE DATE CASE NO. 4:05-cv-04063-CW
SVCA_871654.1		CONFERENCE AND RELATED DATES