

United States District Court
For the Northern District of California

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

TESSERA, INC.,

No. C 05-4063 CW

Plaintiff,

v.

ADVANCED MICRO DEVICES, INC., a
Delaware corporation; SPANSION,
LLC, a Delaware limited liability
corporation; SPANSION, INC., a
Delaware corporation; SPANSION
TECHNOLOGY, INC., a Delaware
corporation; ADVANCED
SEMICONDUCTOR ENGINEERING, INC.,
a Republic of China corporation;
ASE (U.S.), INC., a California
corporation; CHIPMOS
TECHNOLOGIES, INC., a Republic of
China corporation; CHIPMOS
U.S.A., INC., a California
corporation; SILICONWARE
PRECISION INDUSTRIES CO., LTD., a
Republic of China corporation;
SILICONWARE USA, INC., a
California corporation;
STMICROELECTRONICS N.V., a
Netherlands corporation;
STMICROELECTRONICS, INC., a
Delaware corporation; STATS
CHIPPAC, INC., a Delaware
corporation; STATS CHIPPAC (BVI),
INC., a British Virgin Islands
company; STATS CHIPPAC, LTD., a
Singapore company,

Defendants.

SILICONWARE PRECISION INDUSTRIES
CO, LTD; SILICONWARE U.S.A.,
INC.,

No. C 08-3667 CW

Plaintiffs,

v.

TESSERA, INC.,

Defendant.

1 CHIPMOS TECHNOLOGIES, INC.;
2 CHIPMOS U.S.A., INC.; CHIPMOS
3 TECHNOLOGIES (BERMUDA), LTD.,

No. C 08-3827 CW

4 Plaintiffs,

5 v.

6 TESSERA, INC.,

7 Defendant.

8 _____/
9 ADVANCED SEMICONDUCTOR
10 ENGINEERING, INC.; ASE TEST
11 LIMITED; ASE (U.S.), INC.,

No. C 08-3726 CW

12 Plaintiffs,

13 v.

14 TESSERA, INC.,

15 Defendant.

16 _____/
17 SPANSION, INC., et al.,

No. C 10-4954 CW

18 Plaintiffs,

19 v.

20 TESSERA, INC.,

21 Claimant.

22 ORDER DENYING
23 TESSERA, INC.'S
24 ADMINISTRATIVE
25 MOTION TO RE-SET
26 CASE MANAGEMENT
27 CONFERENCE AND
28 CONFIRMING DATE OF
CASE MANAGEMENT
CONFERENCE
(Docket Nos. 925
in 05-4063, 45 in
08-3667, 41 in
08-3827, 32 in
08-3726, and 21 in
10-4954)

29 AND ALL RELATED COUNTERCLAIMS
30 _____/

31 On November 2, 2011, Plaintiff Tessera, Inc. filed an
32 administrative motion, requesting that the Court re-set the case
33 management conference currently scheduled for January 4, 2012 to
34

1 an earlier date. Defendants have filed a joint opposition to
2 Plaintiff's motion. Having considered the papers submitted by the
3 parties, the Court DENIES Plaintiff's motion to re-set the case
4 management conference.

5 The case management conference for these related cases is
6 maintained for Wednesday, January 4, 2012, at 2:00 p.m., in
7 Courtroom 2, 4th Floor, 1301 Clay Street, Oakland, CA 94612. A
8 joint case management statement is due by December 28, 2011.

9 IT IS SO ORDERED.

10
11 Dated: 11/10/2011


12 CLAUDIA WILKEN
13 United States District Judge
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28