

United States District Court
For the Northern District of California

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

TESSERA, INC.,

Plaintiff,

v.

ADVANCED MICRO DEVICES, INC., a
Delaware corporation; SPANSION,
LLC, a Delaware limited liability
corporation; SPANSION, INC., a
Delaware corporation; SPANSION
TECHNOLOGY, INC., a Delaware
corporation; ADVANCED
SEMICONDUCTOR ENGINEERING, INC.,
a Republic of China corporation;
ASE (U.S.), INC., a California
corporation; CHIPMOS
TECHNOLOGIES, INC., a Republic of
China corporation; CHIPMOS
U.S.A., INC., a California
corporation; SILICONWARE
PRECISION INDUSTRIES CO., LTD., a
Republic of China corporation;
SILICONWARE USA, INC., a
California corporation;
STMICROELECTRONICS N.V., a
Netherlands corporation;
STMICROELECTRONICS, INC., a
Delaware corporation; STATS
CHIPPAC, INC., a Delaware
corporation; STATS CHIPPAC (BVI),
INC., a British Virgin Islands
company; STATS CHIPPAC, LTD., a
Singapore company,

Defendants.

SILICONWARE PRECISION INDUSTRIES
CO, LTD; SILICONWARE U.S.A.,
INC.,

Plaintiffs,

v.

TESSERA, INC.,

Defendant.

No. C 05-4063 CW

ORDER REGARDING
COURT EXPERT

No. C 08-3667 CW

1 CHIPMOS TECHNOLOGIES, INC.;
2 CHIPMOS U.S.A., INC.; CHIPMOS
3 TECHNOLOGIES (BERMUDA), LTD.,

No. C 08-3827 CW

4 Plaintiffs,

5 v.

6 TESSERA, INC.,

7 Defendant.
8 _____/

9 ADVANCED SEMICONDUCTOR
10 ENGINEERING, INC.; ASE TEST
11 LIMITED; ASE (U.S.), INC.,

No. C 08-3726 CW

12 Plaintiffs,

13 v.

14 TESSERA, INC.,

15 Defendant.
16 _____/

17 SPANSION, INC., et al.,

No. C 10-4954 CW

18 Plaintiffs,

19 v.

20 TESSERA, INC.,

21 Claimant.
22 _____/

23 POWERTECH TECHNOLOGY INC.,

No. C 10-945 CW

24 Plaintiff,

25 v.

26 TESSERA, INC.,

27 Defendant.
28 _____/

1 POWERTECH TECHNOLOGY INC.,

No. C 11-6121 CW

2 Plaintiff,

3 v.

4 TESSERA, INC.,

5 Defendant.

6 _____/

7 TESSERA, INC.,

No. C 12-692 CW

8 Plaintiff,

9 v.

10 QUALCOMM, INC.; FREESCALE
11 SEMICONDUCTOR, INC.; ATI
12 TECHNOLOGIES, ULC,

12 Defendants.

13 _____/

14 AND ALL RELATED COUNTERCLAIMS

15 _____/

16 The Court notes that one name was inadvertently omitted from
17 the list of parties and attorneys that was attached to the Court's
18 April 9, 2012 Order Concerning Duties and Instructions for the
19 Court-Appointed Expert, that of party Powertech Technology, Inc.
20 Thus, the Court asks Dr. Dauskardt to confirm by signing and
21 returning the attached form that he has no conflict of interest,
22 with respect to Powertech Technology, Inc., that has not already
23 been disclosed to the parties.

24 IT IS SO ORDERED.

25 Dated: 5/16/2012

26 
27 CLAUDIA WILKEN
28 United States District Judge

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

I affirm that I have disclosed truthfully to the parties all potential conflicts of interest that I may have to the best of my knowledge, with respect to Powertech Technology, Inc.

Dated: _____

DR. REINHOLD DAUSKARDT

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28