

1 **McPHARLIN SPRINKLES & THOMAS LLP**

2 Robert E. Camors, Jr., CA BAR NO. 121204  
3 BOBCAMORS@MSTPARTNERS.COM  
4 160 W. Santa Clara St., Suite 400  
5 San Jose, CA 95113  
6 Telephone: 408.293.1900  
7 Facsimile: 408.293.1999

8 Attorneys for Plaintiff and Counterdefendant  
9 GENMARK AUTOMATION, INC.

10 **WILSON SONSINI GOODRICH & ROSATI**

11 JAMES C. YOON, CA Bar No. 177155  
12 JYOON@WSGR.COM  
13 650 Page Mill Road  
14 Palo Alto, CA 94304-1050  
15 Telephone: (650) 493-9300  
16 Facsimile: (650) 493-6811

17 Attorneys for Defendant and Counterclaimant  
18 INNOVATIVE ROBOTICS SYSTEMS, INC.

19 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
20 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA  
21 OAKLAND DIVISION

22 GENMARK AUTOMATION, INC., a  
23 California corporation,

24 Plaintiff, Counterdefendant,

25 v.

26 INNOVATIVE ROBOTICS SYSTEMS, INC.,  
27 a California corporation,

28 Defendant, Counterclaimant.

CASE NO. 05-cv-04707 PJH

**STIPULATION FOR ORDER  
CONTINUING CASE MANAGEMENT  
CONFERENCE FOR 30 DAYS**

**Local Rules 6-2 and 7-12**

**Hon. Phyllis J. Hamilton**

Plaintiff, Genmark Automation, Inc. (“Genmark”) and Defendant, Innovative Robotics Systems, Inc., (“IRSI”) (Genmark and IRSI are collectively “the Parties”) hereby stipulate and agree to seek an order continuing the Case Management Conference which has been set by the Court to occur on September 16, 2010 by the Court’s Order dated July 6, 2010 (Docket Item No. 117). The facts supporting this Stipulation are in the Declaration of Robert E. Camors, Jr. filed herewith and which is Exhibit A hereto (“Camors Decl.”).

1 Plaintiff and Defendant request the continuation of this case management conference in  
2 order to have additional time to pursue settlement discussions and to prepare settlement  
3 documentation. *See Camors Decl.* at para. 3. Settlement work has progressed since the filing of  
4 the last stipulated request for an order continuing the case management conference on July 2,  
5 2010. Genmark's attorneys provided a comprehensive set of settlement documents to counsel  
6 for the defendant on August 12, 2010 and as of September 7, 2010 defendant was still  
7 considering those documents. *See Camors Decl.* at para. 3.

8 The Parties request further thirty day extension or continuation of the case management  
9 conference to facilitate their efforts to resolve this case without additional litigation. *See Camors*  
10 *Decl.* at para. 3.

11 WHEREFORE, Plaintiff, Genmark Automation, Inc., and Defendant, Innovative  
12 Robotics, Inc., stipulate and agree that the Case Management Conference should be continued to  
13 a date that is at least 30 days after September 16, 2010, which will allow the Parties an additional  
14 38 days to seek a final settlement of the case.

15 Respectfully Submitted,

16 Dated: September 8, 2010

McPharlin Sprinkles & Thomas LLP

17  
18 By: /s/ Robert E. Camors, Jr.  
19 Robert E. Camors, Jr.  
20 Attorneys for Plaintiff  
Genmark Automation, Inc.

21 Dated: September 8, 2010

Wilson Sonsini Goodrich & Rosati

22 By: /s/ James C. Yoon  
23 James C. Yoon  
24 Attorneys for Defendant  
Innovative Robotics Systems, Inc.

1 PURSUANT TO STIPULATION, IT IS SO ORDERED

2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

Dated: September 9, 2010



THE CASE MANAGEMENT CONFERENCE IS  
CONTINUED TO OCTOBER 21, 2010 AT  
2:00 P.M.



