

1 **McPHARLIN SPRINKLES & THOMAS LLP**
 2 Robert E. Camors, Jr., CA Bar No. 121204
 3 BOBCAMORS@MSTPARTNERS.COM
 4 160 W. Santa Clara St., Suite 400
 5 San Jose, CA 95113
 6 Telephone: 408.293.1900
 7 Facsimile: 408.293.1999

8 Attorneys for Plaintiff and Counterdefendant
 9 GENMARK AUTOMATION, INC.

10 **WILSON SONSINI GOODRICH & ROSATI**
 11 JAMES C. YOON, CA Bar No. 177155
 12 JYOON@WSGR.COM
 13 650 Page Mill Road
 14 Palo Alto, CA 94304-1050
 15 Telephone: (650) 493-9300
 16 Facsimile: (650) 493-6811

17 Attorneys for Defendant and Counterclaimant
 18 INNOVATIVE ROBOTICS SYSTEMS, INC.

19 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
 20 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
 21 OAKLAND DIVISION

22 GENMARK AUTOMATION, INC., a
 23 California corporation,

24 Plaintiff, Counterdefendant,

25 v.

26 INNOVATIVE ROBOTICS SYSTEMS, INC.,
 27 a California corporation,

28 Defendant, Counterclaimant.

CASE NO. 05-cv-04707 PJH

**STIPULATION FOR ORDER
 CONTINUING CASE MANAGEMENT
 CONFERENCE FOR 7 DAYS**

Local Rules 6-2 and 7-12

Hon. Phyllis J. Hamilton

Plaintiff, Genmark Automation, Inc. (“Genmark”) and Defendant, Innovative Robotics Systems, Inc., (“IRSI”) (Genmark and IRSI are collectively “the Parties”) hereby stipulate and agree to seek an order continuing the Case Management Conference which has been set by the Court to occur on January 13, 2011 by the Clerk’s Notice dated December 22, 2010 (Docket Item No. 129). The facts supporting this Stipulation are in the Declaration of James C. Yoon filed herewith and which is Exhibit A hereto (“Yoon Decl.”).

1 Plaintiff and Defendant request the continuation of this case management conference
2 because Defendant's counsel is unavailable to attend the conference on January 13, 2011. *See*
3 Yoon Decl. ¶ 3. Defendant's counsel is available to attend a conference on January 20, 2011.
4 *Id.*

5 The Parties request a further seven day extension or continuation of the case management
6 conference so that Defendant's counsel may attend. *See* Yoon Decl. ¶ 3.

7 WHEREFORE, Plaintiff, Genmark Automation, Inc., and Defendant, Innovative
8 Robotics, Inc., stipulate and agree that the Case Management Conference should be continued to
9 a date that is at least 7 days after January 13, 2011.

10
11 Respectfully Submitted,

12 Dated: January 5, 2011

McPharlin Sprinkles & Thomas LLP

13 By: /s/ Robert E. Camors, Jr.
14 Robert E. Camors, Jr.
15 Attorneys for Plaintiff
Genmark Automation, Inc.

16 Dated: January 5, 2011

Wilson Sonsini Goodrich & Rosati

17 By: /s/ James C. Yoon
18 James C. Yoon
19 Attorneys for Defendant
20 Innovative Robotics Systems, Inc.

1 PURSUANT TO STIPULATION, IT IS SO ORDERED

2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

Dated: _ January 10 _____, 2011



THE CASE MANAGEMENT CONFERENCE
IS CONTINUED TO FEBRUARY 3, 2011 AT
2:00 P.M.

