IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

JOSEPH ARTHUR RODRIGUEZ,) No. C 05-5068 SBA (PR)
Plaintiff, v.	ORDER GRANTING EXTENSION OF TIME FOR PLAINTIFF TO FILE OPPOSITION TO MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT
M. S. EVANS, et al.,	
Defendants.)) _)

Before the Court are Plaintiff's notices of a change of address, which will be construed as requests for an extension of time in which to file his opposition to Defendants' Motion for Summary Judgment. Plaintiff's opposition was due on March 27, 2009. In his first notice, filed on March 17, 2009, Plaintiff states that he was temporarily transferred to California State Prison - Solano to participate in a Court-ordered settlement conference before Magistrate Judge Nandor Vadas on March 9, 2009. The Court notes that according to the settlement report, Judge Vadas states that this case did not settle and that the parties "agree[d] to an additional follow up settlement to be held within 60 days of summary judgment ruling." (Mar. 25, 2009 Settlement Report at 2.) Upon notifying the Court that he had being transferred to California State Prison - Solano, Plaintiff also adds:

I would like to inform the Court . . . all this transfering [sic], is going to have an effect on my behalf to properly replie [sic] to summary judgment if filed by Defendants and is only going to make the issuse [sic] more complex and difficult to research, so I pray the Court gives me enough time to answer back to summary judgment.

(Mar. 17, 2009 Notice of Change of Address at 2.) In his second notice, filed on March 26, 2009, Plaintiff states that he has returned to the California Correctional Institution; however, he now claims that he never received a copy of Defendants' Motion for Summary Judgment. (Mar. 26, 2009 Notice of Change of Address at 1.) He further claims that he is "still without legal material and property." (Id.) Plaintiff requests the Court to grant him an extension of time to file his opposition because he has not received a copy of Defendants' Motion for Summary Judgment and because he does not have access to the legal documents he needs to oppose summary judgment. (Id.) Having read and considered Plaintiff's requests, and good cause appearing,

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that the Court GRANTS Plaintiff an extension of time to file his opposition to Defendants' Motion for Summary Judgment. The Court directs Defendants to re-serve Plaintiff with a copy of their Motion for Summary Judgment and all attachments thereto no later than seven (7) days from the date of this Order. Defendants shall send the Court a notice showing proof that they have done so within the seven-day deadline. Plaintiff may file his opposition no later than forty-five (45) days from the date of this Order.

If Defendants wish to file a reply brief, they shall do so no later than **fifteen (15) days** after the date Plaintiff's opposition is filed.

No further extensions of time will be granted in this case absent exigent circumstances.

This Order terminates Docket nos. 62 and 64.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

DATED:4/3/09

28