

For the NortheForDhserNorth@allDistnigt of California United States District Scatus District Court

sanctions was vacated and determination on the motion for sanctions was referred to Magistrate 22 Judge Nandor Vadas. On March 18, 2010, Defendants were granted summary judgment with the 23 district court preserving this Court's jurisdiction over the sanctions motion (Document 103). 24

25 26 27

28

On March 18, 2010, Defendants were ordered to submit additional briefing by April 1, 2010 detailing their compliance with previous discovery orders (Document 106). Additional briefing was required because Defendants' responses to Plaintiff's motion for sanctions and motion for reconsideration were inadequate to determine their compliance with the court's discovery orders. Defendants have not responded and have failed to meet the requirements of this order. As the order

provided, Defendants' failure to respond is interpreted as a concession that Defendants have not
complied with the court's discovery orders and are subject to sanctions.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

Under Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 37(b)(2), the court must order a party who fails to obey a discovery order to pay reasonable expenses, including attorney fees, incurred by the other party as a result of this failure to the other party. Sanctions may be avoided if the noncomplying party can show the failure was substantially justified or other circumstances make an award of expenses unjust. Defendants have conceded that they have not complied with two orders compelling discovery and have provided no evidence that this noncompliance was substantially justified, despite multiple opportunities to do so.

10 The decision to impose sanctions under Rule 37 is at the Court's discretion. *National* 11 Hockey League v. Metropolitan Hockey Club, Inc., 427 U.S. 639, 643 (1976); Forro Precision, Inc. 12 v. IBM, 673 F.2d 1045, 1053 (9th Cir. 1982). Plaintiff requests reasonable attorney fees, but this is inappropriate as Plaintiff is proceeding pro se. Kay v. Ehrler, U.S. 432, 435 (1991). Plaintiff also 13 requests that the Court order Defendants to pay the Court \$100.00 per day until they comply with the 14 15 discovery orders. Under Rule 37(b), Plaintiff is only entitled to "reasonable expenses," which 16 includes actual costs incurred as a result of misconduct, and not consequential damages. See Lee v. 17 Walters, 172 F.R.D. 421, 436 (D. Or. 1997). Plaintiff's entitlement to reasonable expenses is limited 18 to those caused by Defendants' noncompliance with the first and second discovery orders 19 (Document 52 & 58). This includes Plaintiff's actual costs incurred in bringing his second motion to 20 compel (Document 60), reply for the second motion to compel (Document 67), his motion for 21 sanctions (Document 86), and his motion for reconsideration (Document 96). Reasonable expenses 22 do not include actual costs incurred in bringing Plaintiff's first motion to compel (Document 28), 23 which was prior to Defendants' noncompliance with the court's discovery orders. See Toth v. Trans 24 World Airlines, Inc., 862 F.2d 1381, 1386 (9th Cir. 1988).

The Court GRANTS Plaintiff's motion for sanctions and ORDERS Plaintiff to outline all
actual costs associated with bringing the various motions described above by April 21, 2010. Actual
costs associated with bringing these motions include costs such as photocopying and postage.
Plaintiff is instructed to provide the information in the document attached to this order to account for

actual costs. If Plaintiff does not submit his actual costs by April 21, 2010, the Court will interpret
this as Plaintiff's statement that he did not incur any reasonable expenses as a result of Defendants'
noncompliance. Defendants are **ORDERED** to pay all costs determined to be reasonable expenses
as a result of their noncompliance with the court's discovery orders.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

10 Dated: April 7, 2010

NANDOR J. VADAS United States Magistrate Judge

1			
2			
3			
4			
5			
6	PLAINTIFF'S ACTUAL COSTS		
7			
8			
9	1) Second Motion to Compel (Document 60)	Photocopying: \$	Postage: \$
10		Other (describe): \$	
11 12			
12			
14	2) Reply to Second Motion to Compel	Photocopying: \$	Postage: \$
15	(Document 67)		
16		Other (describe): \$	
17			
18			
19	3) Motion for Reconsideration (Document 86)	Photocopying: \$	Postage: \$
20	(Document 80)	Other (describe): \$	
21		0 utor (utorited))	
22			
23	4) Motion for Sanctions	Photocopying: \$	Postage: \$
24	(Document 96)	Others (descelled), ¢	
25 26		Other (describe): \$	
20 27			
27			
_0			
		4	

United States District Court For the Northern District of California