

1 IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
2 FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

3
4 GOPI VEDACHALAM and KANGANA BERI,
5 on behalf of themselves and all
6 others similarly situated,

7 Plaintiffs,

8 v.

9 TATA CONSULTANCY SERVICES, LTD,
10 an Indian Corporation; and TATA
11 SONS, LTD, an Indian Corporation,

12 Defendants.

No. C 06-0963 CW

ORDER STRIKING
PLAINTIFFS' MOTION
TO STRIKE
DEFENDANTS'
EVIDENCE IN
SUPPORT OF
OPPOSITION TO
MOTION FOR CLASS
CERTIFICATION
(Docket No. 254)

United States District Court
For the Northern District of California

13 On April 25, 2011, Plaintiffs Gopi Vedachalam and Kangani
14 Beri filed a Motion for Class Certification. The briefing and
15 hearing schedule were continued twice following requests by the
16 parties. On September 12, 2011, the Court granted Defendants ten
17 additional pages for their opposition and Plaintiffs ten
18 additional pages for their reply. On September 16, 2011,
19 Defendants filed their opposition to Plaintiffs' motion for class
20 certification. On October 28, 2011, Plaintiffs filed a twenty-
21 five page reply to Defendants' opposition. On the same day,
22 Plaintiffs also filed a separate twenty-five page motion to strike
23 certain pieces of evidence submitted by Defendants with their
24 opposition. Between their reply brief and the motion to strike,
25 Plaintiffs have filed a total of fifty pages of text.

26 Plaintiffs' motion to strike violated Local Rule 7-3(c),
27 which states, "Any evidentiary and procedural objections to the
28 opposition must be contained within the reply brief or

1 memorandum." Because Plaintiffs have been granted ten additional
2 pages, the reply brief, including any evidentiary and procedural
3 objections, may not exceed twenty-five pages in total. See Local
4 Rule 7-3(c) & 7-4(b) (reply briefs may not exceed fifteen pages of
5 text).

6 Accordingly, the Court STRIKES Plaintiffs' motion to strike
7 (Docket No. 254). Plaintiffs are granted leave to amend and
8 refile their reply brief, incorporating any evidentiary objections
9 or responses to such objections. Plaintiffs' refiled reply is due
10 Thursday, November 3, 2011.

11 IT IS SO ORDERED.

12
13 Dated: **11/2/2011**

14 
15 CLAUDIA WILKEN
16 United States District Judge
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28