

United States District Court  
For the Northern District of California

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

SUN MICROSYSTEMS INC.,

Plaintiff,

No. C 06-1665 PJH

v.

**ORDER SETTING CASE  
MANAGEMENT CONFERENCE**

HYNIX SEMICONDUCTOR INC., et al.,

Defendants.

This Document Also Relates to:

- Unisys Corp. v. Hynix et al., C 06-2915 PJH
- All American Semiconductor v. Hynix et al., C 07-1200 PJH
- Edge Electronics v. Hynix et al., C 07-1207 PJH
- Jaco Electronics v. Hynix et al., C 07-1212 PJH
- DRAM Claims Liquidation Trust v. Hynix et al., C 07-1381 PJH

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that, pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 16(b) and Civil L. R. 16-10, a Case Management Conference will be held in these cases on January 28, 2010, at 1:30 p.m., in Courtroom 3, 3rd Floor, Federal Building, 1301 Clay Street, Oakland, California. The purpose of the case management conference is to confer with the parties regarding the status of the pending litigation, including any ongoing settlement proceedings, and to set a hearing scheduling in connection with all pending matters in the above-referenced actions.

In advance of the case management conference, the court has reviewed the underlying dockets. Based on the information contained therein, a summary of the dismissals thus far entered in each case, and the named defendants currently active in each, is as follows:

1 **Sun Microsystems v. Hynix:**

2 Action terminated July 14, 2009.

3 **Honeywell Int'l v. Hynix:**

4 Action terminated September 12, 2007.

5 **Unisys v. Hynix:**

6 Dismissals have been filed by: Mitsubishi (11/21/07); Winbond (1/17/08); Mosel  
7 (1/18/08); Nanya (7/17/09); and Infineon (12/8/09). The docket furthermore indicates that  
8 Elpida was terminated from the action on February 27, 2008, and that Hynix is the only  
9 entity defendant who remains pending. It also appears to the court, however, that Elpida's  
10 termination on the docket is mistaken and that it, too, actually remains as a pending entity  
11 defendant, since neither the docket nor the court's own record-keeping indicates that any  
12 entry of dismissal as to Elpida has been filed. Counsel are thus ordered to clarify this  
13 discrepancy to the court, as instructed below.

14 **All American Semiconductor v. Hynix:**

15 Dismissals have been filed by: Mitsubishi (11/16/07); Winbond (5/28/08); Mosel  
16 (5/28/08); Elpida (4/30/09); and Infineon (12/10/09). The entity defendants which remain  
17 pending are: Hynix; Nanya; Micron; and NEC.

18 **Edge Electronics v. Hynix:**

19 Dismissals have been filed by: Mitsubishi (11/16/07); Winbond (1/17/08); Mosel  
20 (1/18/08); Samsung (12/11/08); Elpida (3/24/09); Nanya (8/4/09); Hynix (8/11/09); and  
21 Infineon (1/6/10). There appear to be no remaining entity defendants, and case termination  
22 appears warranted.

23 **Jaco Electronics v. Hynix:**

24 Dismissals have been filed by: Mitsubishi (11/13/07); Winbond (1/17/08); Mosel  
25 (1/18/08); Elpida (3/24/09); Nanya (8/4/09); Hynix (8/11/09); and Infineon (1/5/10). The  
26 entity defendants which remain pending are: Micron; and NEC.

27

28

1 **DRAM Claims Liquidation Trust v. Hynix:**

2 Dismissals have been filed by: Mitsubishi (11/16/07); Winbond (1/17/08); Hitachi  
3 (1/17/08); Mosel (4/9/08); Samsung (8/18/08); Elpida (3/24/09); Nanya (7/17/09); Hynix  
4 (7/24/09); NEC (11/27/07 and 5/13/08); and Infineon (12/4/09). The entity defendants  
5 which remain pending are: Micron; and Crucial.

6 The parties are instructed to compare the foregoing summary of dismissals with their  
7 own files, and to cross-check for accuracy. Any discrepancy shall be brought to the court's  
8 attention at the case management conference, and in a joint case management statement  
9 to be submitted by counsel. Counsel are instructed to meet and confer prior to the filing of  
10 same, and to file their case management statement with the court not less than seven (7)  
11 days before the conference.

12 Only those parties with matters currently pending need appear at the conference.

13  
14 **IT IS SO ORDERED.**

15 Dated: January 11, 2010



16 \_\_\_\_\_  
17 PHYLLIS J. HAMILTON  
18 United States District Judge  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28