Covert v. Graham et al Doc. 60

1	LAW OFFICE OF CHRISTINE BRADY	
2	Christine Brady, State Bar No. 276284 1500 20th Street	
3	San Francisco, CA 94107 Telephone: (408) 375-0587	
4	Facsimile: (415) 920-0427 Attorney for Plaintiff James William Covert	
5	KAMALA D. HARRIS	
6	Attorney General of California  DAMON G. MCCLAIN	
7	Supervising Deputy Attorney General  KYLE A. LEWIS	
8	Deputy Attorney General State Bar No. 201041	
9	455 Golden Gate Avenue, Suite 11000 San Francisco, CA 94102-7004	
10	Telephone: (415) 703-5500 Fax: (415) 703-5843	
11	E-mail: Kyle.Lewis@doj.ca.gov  Attorneys for Defendants	
12	Graham and Harrison	
13	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
14	FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
15 16	OAKLAND DIVISION	
17		
18	JAMES W. COVERT,	C 06-6626 SBA
19	Plaintiff,	STIPULATION AND ORDER
20	v.	CHANGING TIME TO FILE DEFENDANTS' REPLY SUPPORTING MOTION TO DISMISS
21	D. GRAHAM, et al.,	
22	Defendants.	Judge: The Honorable Saundra B. Armstrong
23 24		Action Filed: October 24, 2006
25	Under Civil L.R. 6-2, Plaintiff James William Covert and Defendants Graham and	
26	Harrison, by and through their respective counsel of record, stipulate to changing time so that	
27	Defendants' reply in support of their Motion to Dismiss, currently due on September 25, 2012,	
28	may now be filed by October 3, 2012.	
	1	
	Stip. & [Proposed] Order Changing Time File Defs.' Reply Mot. Dismiss (C 06-6626 SBA)	

## I. REASONS FOR ENLARGEMENT OF TIME.

Defendants seek additional time to prepare their reply in support of their motion to dismiss because Defendants' counsel has various matters in other litigation due at the same time as the reply brief. Specifically, counsel is preparing responses to three sets of discovery, responses to meet-and-confer correspondence, and a motion for summary judgment in *Gonzalez v. Zika*, No. 11-cv-5561 CW (N.D. Cal.), all due on September 24, 2012. Counsel is also preparing discovery responses that are due during the week of September 24, 2012, in *Munoz v. Tilton*, No. C 07-3846 EJD (N.D. Cal.), and being provided in advance of an alternative dispute resolution teleconference. Because these activities prevent Defendants' counsel from fully assessing Plaintiff's opposition and further addressing this matter's complex exhaustion issues under the current schedule, Defendants' counsel seeks additional time to prepare the reply brief. Plaintiff's counsel does not object to the enlargement of time.

## II. PREVIOUS TIME MODIFICATIONS.

On December 11, 2009, Defendants filed a request for a three-day extension of time to file a dispositive motion. (Court Docket No. 23.) The Court granted Defendants' request on December 21, 2009. (Court Docket No. 30.) On April 16, 2012, Defendants filed a motion to change time to file a dispositive motion, requesting to extend the filing deadline by forty-five days. (Court Docket No. 45.) The Court granted Defendants' motion on April 24, 2012. (Court Docket No. 48.)

On July 3, 2012, Plaintiff moved to enlarge time to oppose Defendants' motion to dismiss by thirty days. (Court Docket No. 54.) The Court granted Plaintiff's motion on July 18, 2012. (Court Docket No. 57.)

//

//

## 1 III. EFFECT THE REQUESTED TIME MODIFICATION WILL HAVE ON THE SCHEDULE. Besides the dispositive motion briefing dates, the Court has set no deadlines in this matter 2 and no hearing on Defendants' motion to dismiss is scheduled. Other than extending the date that 3 Defendants' reply brief is due, the parties' stipulation will have no impact on the schedule in this 4 matter. 5 6 IV. AUTHORIZATION FOR ELECTRONIC SIGNATURES AND ATTESTATION. The parties stipulate that electronic signatures may be used in lieu of physical signatures on 7 this agreement. Under Civil L.R. 5-1(i)(3), Defendants' counsel attests that Plaintiff's counsel 8 concurs in the content and filing of this stipulation and proposed order. 9 10 11 Dated: September 19, 2012 By /s/ Christine Brady 12 Christine Brady 13 Attorney for Plaintiff James William Covert 14 15 16 Dated: September 19, 2012 By /s/ Kyle A. Lewis 17 Attorney for Defendants Graham and Harrison 18 19 20 21 PURSUANT TO STIPULATION, IT IS SO ORDERED. 22 23 9/21/12 24 Date United States District Judge 25 26 SF2009202463 27 20638241.doc 28 3