Helio LLC v. Palm, Inc. Doc. 83 Case 4:06-cv-07754-SBA Document 83 Filed 03/29/2007 Page 1 of 2 QUINN EMANUEL URQUHART OLIVER & HEDGES, LLP Claude M. Stern (Bar No. 96737) 2 Brian Cannon (Bar No. 193071) Doug Colt (Bar No. 210915) 3 Andrea Pallios Roberts (Bar No. 228128) claudestern@quinnemanuel.com 4 briancannon@quinnemanuel.com dougcolt@quinnemanuel.com andreaproberts@quinnemanuel.com 5 555 Twin Dolphin Drive, Suite 560 Redwood Shores, California 94065-2139 Telephone: (650) 801-5000 (650) 801-5100 Facsimile: Attorneys for Defendant Palm, Inc. 8 9 10 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 11 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 12 OAKLAND DIVISION 13 **CASE NO. C 06 7754 SBA HELIO LLC** 14 DECLARATION OF ANDREA PALLIOS 15 Plaintiff, ROBERTS IN SUPPORT OF **DEFENDANT PALM, INC.'S** 16 VS. OPPOSITION TO PLAINTIFF'S MOTION TO DISMISS WITHOUT PREJUDICE: 17 PALM, INC. REQUEST FOR CONDITIONS OR, IN THE ALTERNATIVE, DISMISSAL WITH 18 Defendant. **PREJUDICE** 19 20 21 I, Andrea Pallios Roberts, declare as follows: 22 1. I am an attorney duly authorized to practice law in the State of California. I am an 23 associate with the firm of Quinn Emanuel Urquhart Oliver & Hedges, LLP, counsel of record for 24 defendant Palm, Inc. in this matter. I make this declaration in support of Palm's Opposition to 25 Plaintiff's Motion to Dismiss Without Prejudice: Request for Conditions or, in the Alternative. 26 Dismissal with Prejudice. I have personal knowledge of the facts stated herein and if called to 27 testify could and would competently testify thereto. 28 51175/2074603.1 Case No. C 06 7754 SBA DECLARATION OF ANDREA PALLIOS ROBERTS Dockets.Justia.com 1

9

6

15

14

16

17

18 19

20

21

22 23

24

25

26 27

28

- 2. In January 2007, the parties, through their counsel, discussed the possibility of settling this case. In connection with these discussions, plaintiff's counsel asked Palm for information regarding the length of its campaign. In response, Palm's counsel informed plaintiff that all outdoor advertising was only scheduled to run through the end of December. Palm further informed plaintiff that its interactive kiosks were scheduled to run through January 2007 and only ten additional print advertisements were scheduled to run in early 2007. Palm even provided plaintiff with the detail of which specific magazines were scheduled to run Palm advertisements and in which issues, the latest of which were the May issues of Real Simple and Men's Health. Attached as Exhibit A is a true and correct copy of the email from defendant's counsel to plaintiff's counsel, dated January 16, providing this information.
- 3. On February 2, 2007, plaintiff deposed Julie Patterson, the Rule 30(b)(6) designee for Palm's advertising agency, AKQA. The deposition was transcribed by a certified shorthand reporter. Attached as Exhibit B is a true and correct copy of cited portions of Ms. Patterson's deposition transcript.
- 4. On February 26, 2007, plaintiff deposed Scott Hancock. The deposition was transcribed by a certified shorthand reporter. Attached as Exhibit C is a true and correct copy of cited portions of Mr. Hancock's deposition transcript.
- 5. On March 9, 2007, plaintiff's counsel, Kevin Trock, send Palm's counsel an email, offering to give Palm additional time to prepare its opposition to plaintiff's motion for a preliminary injunction. Attached as Exhibit D is a true and correct copy of the March 9 email.

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the United States that the foregoing is true and correct.

DATED: March 29, 2007

Tidres Pallin Roberts

51175/2074603.1