rtb. i. 2008 4:49rm

28

- 1					
1	IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED by and between the plaintiff,				
2	SYMANTEC CORPORATION (hereinafter, "Plaintiff"), and defendants				
3	SIMON P. YU; M-PLUS INTERNATIONAL TECHNOLOGY, INC.;				
4	MICROPLUS INTERNATIONAL TECH., aka MICROPLUS; M-PLUS				
5	GROUP; and AUSTIN INTERNATIONAL EXPRESS (hereinafter,				
6	collectively "Defendants") that the following shall be ordered as a Permanent				
7	Injunction in this matter and is so entered:				
8	1. The Defendants, their directors, principals, officers, agents, servants,				
9	employees, in-house counsel, representatives, successors and assigns, and all those				
10	the same shall be and hereby are ENJOINED				
11	from:				
12	(a)	Imitating, copying, manufacturing, replicating, or making any			
13		other unauthorized use, distribution or sale of products			
14		protected by Plaintiffs' registered trademarks and/or trade			
15		name, including the following trademarks and/or service			
16	marks:				
17		(1) "Symantec" name and distinctive yellow and black logo,			
18	•	registration numbers 1654777, 1683688, and 2205386.			
19		(2) "Veritas," registration number 1687640.			
20		(3) "Norton SystemWorks", registration number 2488092,			
21		(4) "Norton AntiVirus", registration number 1758084.			
22	•	(5) "Ghost", registration number 1107115.			
23		(6) "LiveUpdate", registration number 2243057.			
24		(7) "Norton Utilities", registration number 1508960.			
25		(8) "pcAnywhere", registration number 1781148.			
26		(9) "CleanSweep", registration number 1936913.			
20 27		(10) "WinPax", registration number 1757561.			
41		- V7			

STIPULATED PERMANENT HUSBAND

Case No. COS 07268 P.M [1097/6.2]

	1
	2
-	3
	4
	5
	6
-	7
	8
•	9
1	0
ļ	1
I	2
1	3
1	4
1	5
1	6
1	7
1	7 _.
į	9
2	0
2	1
2	2
2	
2	4
2	
2	
2	
2	

(11) "Go Back."

- (12) Norton "PartitionMagic," registration number 1981 682.
- (13) "Backup Exec," registration number 1988765.
- (b) Copying, manufacturing, replicating or making any other unauthorized use, distribution or sale of products protected by Plaintiffs' copyrights, including but not limited to the various versions of each product listed in paragraph 1.(a) above.
- Duplicating, manufacturing, replicating, assembling, producing, distributing, offering for distribution, selling, offering for sale, circulating, advertising, importing, exporting, marketing, promoting, printing, displaying, transferring, and/or moving any product or thing bearing any simulation, reproduction, counterfeit, copy, or colorable imitation of Plaintiffs' registered trademarks, trade name, service marks, or copyrights, including, but not limited to, the marks and copyrights represented by the trademarks and/or service marks listed in subparagraph (a) above;
- (d) Using any simulation, reproduction, counterfeit, unauthorized, copy, or colorable imitation of Plaintiffs' registered trademarks, trade name, service marks, or copyrights including, but not limited to, marks and copyrights represented by the trademarks and/or service marks listed in subparagraph (a) above, in connection with the manufacture, duplication, replication, assembly, production, distribution, offer for distribution, sale, offer for sale, circulation, advertisement, import, export, marketing, promotion, printing, display, transfer, and/or movement of any product or thing not anthorized or licensed by

	1	
1		
:2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8		
9	1	
10		
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19		
12		
13		
14		
15		•
16		
17		
18		
19		
.20	۱	
21		
22	-	
23		
24		
25		
26		
20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27		
	41	

28

Plaintiffs; engaging in any other activity constituting an infringement of Plaintiffs' trademarks or copyrights, or of Plaintiffs' rights in, or right to use or exploit said trademarks or copyrights, or constituting any dilution of Plaintiffs' name, reputation, or goodwill;

- (e) Using any false designation of origin or false description which can or is likely to lead the trade or public, or individual members thereof, erroneously to believe that any product or thing has been manufactured, duplicated, replicated, assembled, produced, distributed, offered for distribution, circulated, advertised, imported, exported, marketed, promoted, printed, displayed, transferred, moved, licensed, sponsored, approved, or authorized by or for Plaintiffs;
- (f) Using reproductions, counterfeits, copies, or colorable imitations of Plaintiffs' copyright and/or trademark protected software;
- (g) Duplication, assembly, production, manufacture, replication, distribution, offer for distribution, sale, offer for sale, circulation, advertisement, import, export, marketing, promotion, printing, display, transfer, and/or movement, of counterfeit merchandise not authorized by Plaintiffs;
- (h) Using the name, logo, or other variations thereof of Plaintiffs' copyright and/or trademark protected software in any of the Defendants' trade or corporate names;
- (i) Engaging in any other activity constituting an infringement of any of Plaintiffs' trademarks, service marks, or copyrights, or of Plaintiffs' rights in, or right to use or to exploit said

JJF. s

I

g

2,7

trademarks, service marks, or copyrights; and

- (j) Assisting, aiding, or abetting any other person or business entity in engaging in or performing any of the activities referred to in subparagraphs (a) through (i) above.
- 2. This permanent injunction shall be applicable to and prohibit acts performed within or directed towards any person or entity within the jurisdiction of this Court (the United States, its territories and possessions) and the Court shall retain jurisdiction over this action to the extent necessary to enforce and interpret this injunction.
- inventory of software, compact disks, floppy disks, DVDs, stampers, masters, advertisements or artwork and/or other media bearing the Symantec, Veritas, Norton, Norton Utilities, CleanSweep, Go Back, AntiVirus, PartitionMagic, pcAnywhere, Ghost, WinFax, LiveUpdate, Backup Exec, and/or SystemWorks names, marks or logos, including but not limited to all compact discs, software products, and all replication materials, equipment and parts bearing any Symantec trademark or copyrighted works. The Defendants further agree that they, their directors, principals, officers, agents, servants, employees, in-house counsel, representatives, successors and assigns, and all those acting in concert or participation with them will not manufacture, replicate, duplicate, sell, ship or resell any Norton, Symantec or Veritas product.
- 4. The Defendants shall make their best efforts to avoid working with any person or business entity that engages in unauthorized sales, manufacturing, duplication, replication, or distribution of Veritas, Norton and/or Symantec products. The Defendants further agree to not knowingly fulfill any order for any product which has not been authorized by Symantec. The Defendants further agree that if any such order is placed, Defendants shall immediately inform

5 J. T. STIPULATED PIRK

Ų	- 1 1 - 4ha					
ا .	Symantec and its counsel in writing of all particulars of such order, including the					
11	a design of the nerson of the					
2	order, the product or products ordered, the quantities of each product ordered, and					
3	anteined in such Order, and Delendants					
4						
5	such order without prior written authorization from Symantec, which Symantec					
6	may decline to give in its sole discretion.					
7						
8	IT IS SO ORDERED:					
9	2/6/00 VAUDGE					
10						
11						
13	IT IS SO STIPULATED:					
1	Dated: February 2008 PLAINTIFF SYMANTEC CORPORATION					
: 1						
1	By: By Gent T. File Gent					
1						
1	7 Dated: February, 2008 DEFENDANT SIMON P. YU					
1	Baten. reotum)					
	19					
	ANTE MEDITIS INTERNATIONAL					
	Dated: February, 2008 TECHNOLOGY, INC.					
	22 By: 22					
	Name: Verent line					
•						
	24					
-	25					
•	26					
	27					
	28 STUULATED FERMANENT ENDERGRADE					
	CHI NO. CAG 07868 FIR [190344.2]					

N .		
H	ted: February, 2008 DEFENDAN INTERNAT MICROPLU	IT MICROPLUS IONAL TECH., aka
3	By: Name: Its:	Vice Resident
5		NT M-PLUS GROUP
7	By: Name: Its:	
9 Da	pated: February, 2008 DEFENDA	ANT AUSTIN INTERNATIONAL
10 11	By: Name:	
12 13		
14	APPROVED AS TO FORM	AND CONTESTS
15 16	Approved for Plaintiff: By:	Jekhoy / halls
17 18		Mark D. Bante
19 20	Approved for Defendants:	LEDSOE, CATHCART, DIESTEL PEDERSEN, LLP
21	Ву:	Peter J. Van Zandt
22		
23		
24		
25		•
26		
27	•	
. 28		STIPULATED FERMANENT INJUNCTION
	Case No. CO6 07864 PSR [100346.2]	J11-

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

PROOF OF SERVICE

STATE OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES

I am employed in the aforesaid County, State of California; I am over 18 years of age and not a party to the within action; my business address is

BAUTE & TIDUS LLP; 777 South Figueroa Street, Suite 4900, Los Angeles CA 90017; Tel: (213) 630-5000

ACE MESSENGER AND ATTORNEY SERVICE, INC. 811 Wilshire Boulevard, Suite 900 Los Angeles, CA 90017 Tel: (213) 623-3979

ACE MESSENGER AND ATTORNEY SERVICE, INC. 149 Ninth Street, Suite 204
San Francisco, CA 94103
Tel: (415) 556-1000

I served the following listed documents on the interested parties in this action as follows:

[SYMANTEC v. M-PLUS INTERNATIONAL TECHNOLOGY, INC. USDC, Northern District, Case No. C06 07868 PJH [1740.28]

STIPULATED PERMANENT ORDER

- ____ By Personal Service I caused such envelope to be delivered by hand to the interested party as listed below.
- By Facsimile to the names and fax numbers listed below.
- By Federal Express ~ Next Business Day Delivery: by placing a true copy thereof in a sealed envelope(s) and addressed to the parties listed below.
 - By Mail: by placing a true copy thereof in a sealed envelope and addressed to the parties listed below. I placed such envelope(s) for deposit in the U.S. Mail for service by the United States Postal Service, with postage thereon fully prepaid.

I am "readily familiar" with this firm's practice of collection and processing correspondence for mailing. Under that practice, it would be deposited with the U.S. Postal Service on that same day with postage thereon fully prepaid at Los Angeles, California, in the ordinary course of business. I am aware that, on motion of the party served, service is presumed invalid if postal cancellation date or postage meter date is more than one day after the date of deposit for mailing in affidavit.

Page 9

PROOF OF SERVICE

[103470.1