1	[Counsel set forth on signature page]	
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
8	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
9	OAKLAND DIVISION	
10		
11	IN RE FLASH MEMORY ANTITRUST	No. C07-00086 SBA
12	LITIGATION	STIPULATION AND ORDER FOR DISMISSAL WITH PREJUDICE AS TO
13		HYNIX DEFENDANTS PURSUANT TO FED. R. CIV. PROC. 41(a)(2)
14	This document Relates to:	
15	ALL INDIRECT PURCHASER ACTIONS	
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		

1	Pursuant to Rule 41(a)(2) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, Plaintiffs Keith			
2	Alderman, Peter Burke, James Burt, California Coast Investigative Services, Anthony Cardinale			
3	Michael Chek, Alva Dee Cravens, Peter DeChristopher, Donna Fahner, Eric Ferguson, Donna			
4	Jeanne Flanagan, Ina Fryer, Stuart Go, Sandra Green, Dan Harrison, Thomas Y. Huh, James			
5	Knowles, Fred W. Krahmer, Harold Moore, Martha Mulvey, Joanne Myles, Thomas Nigro,			
6	Carman Pellitteri, Travis Richardson, Richard Chris Rippel, Ryan Skorstad, Lynn Sweatman,			
7	and Joseph Theisen, (collectively "Indirect-Purchaser Plaintiffs") and defendants SK hynix Inc.			
8	(formerly known as Hynix Semiconductor Inc.) and SK hynix America Inc. (formerly knowns as			
9	Hynix Semiconductor America Inc.) (collectively "SK hynix") (SK hynix and Indirect-Purchaser			
10	Plaintiffs collectively, "The Stipulating Parties") by and through their counsel hereby stipulate as			
11	follows:			
12	1. On May 1, 2009, the Indirect-Purchaser Plaintiffs filed a First Amended			
13	Consolidated Class Action Complaint against SK hynix in the above-entitled action. <sup>1</sup>			
14	2. The Stipulating Parties have reached a confidential settlement of all claims			
15	asserted by Plaintiffs against SK hynix in the above-entitled action.			
16	3. In furtherance of the confidential settlement, the Stipulating Parties agree			
17	that all claims asserted by Plaintiffs against SK hynix in the above-entitled action shall be			
18	dismissed with prejudice, with Plaintiffs and Hynix each bearing their own costs and attorneys'			
19	fees and Hynix shall no longer be a party in this action. <sup>2</sup>			
20				
21				
22				
<ul><li>23</li><li>24</li></ul>	<sup>1</sup> Plaintiffs Keith Alderman, James Knowles, Fred W. Krahmer, Carman Pellitteri, Ryan Skorstad, and Lynn Sweatman, had previously filed complaints against Hynix but were not included as named plaintiffs in the First Consolidated Class Action Complaint.			
25	<sup>2</sup> The confidential settlement also includes the following plaintiffs, who dismissed their claims			
26	with prejudice as to all defendants on April 25, 2012 (Dkt. 764): Jacob Greenwell, Sarah Hecht,			
27	Jean McClellan-Chambers, Jamac Enterprises, Robin McEntee, Trong Nguyen, Jason Perkins, Travis Weibe, Joshua Steele, Benjamin Northway, Lindsey Morgan, Kelly Fahner, George			
28	Davis, Andrew Kindt, Tristen Woods, and Jai Paguirigan.			

1	IT IS SO STIPULATED.		
2	DATED: July 26, 2012.	COTCHETT, PITRE & McCARTHY, LLP	
3		D //G, N. HVIII	
4		By: <u>/s/ Steven N. Williams</u> Steven N. Williams	
5		Co-Lead Counsel for Indirect Purchaser Plaintiffs	
6		T territoji 5	
7	DATED: July 26, 2012.	ZELLE HOFMANN VOELBEL & MASON LLP	
8			
9 10		By: <u>/s/ Christopher T. Micheletti</u> Christopher T. Micheletti	
11		Co-Lead Counsel for Indirect Purchaser Plaintiffs	
12			
13	DATED July 26, 2012.	O'MELVENY & MYERS LLP	
14		By: <u>/s/ Michael F. Tubach</u> Michael F. Tubach	
15		Michael F. Tubach	
16		Counsel for SK hynix Inc. (formerly known as Hynix Semiconductor Inc.) and SK hynix America Inc. (formerly known as Hynix	
17		Semiconductor America Inc.	
18			
19			
20	ATTESTATION PURSUANT TO GENERAL ORDER 45		
21	Pursuant to General Order No. 45, § X(B), regarding signatures, I attest that the		
22	concurrence in the filing of this document has been obtained from its signatories.		
23			
24	Dated: July 26, 2012	By: /s/ Steven N. Williams	
		Steven N. Williams	
25			
26			
27			
28			

1	ORDER		
2	For the reasons set forth in the above stipulation, and good cause appearing		
3	therefore, it is hereby ORDERED as follows:		
4	1. All claims asserted by Plaintiffs against SK hynix Inc. (formerly known as		
5	Hynix Semiconductor Inc.) and SK hynix America Inc. (formerly known as Hynix		
6	Semiconductor America Inc.) in the above-entitled action or any of its associated actions are		
7	hereby DISMISSED WITH PREJUDICE, with Plaintiffs and SK hynix Inc. and SK hynix		
8	America Inc. each bearing their own costs and attorneys' fees.		
9	2. SK hynix Inc. and SK hynix America Inc. shall no longer be parties in this		
10	action.		
11			
12	IT IS SO ORDERED.		
13			
14	DATED: 8/1/12 <b>Sands B. Ormsling</b>		
15	Saundra Brown Armstrong United States District Judge		
16			
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			
26			
27			
28			