

1
2
3
4
5
6 IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
7 FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
8

9 LEVI STRAUSS & CO.,

No. C 07-0245 PJH (MEJ)

10 Plaintiff(s),

11 vs.

**NOTICE OF REFERENCE &
REQUEST FOR STATUS REPORT**

12 TOYO ENTERPRISE CO., LTD., et al.,

13 Defendant(s).
14 _____/

15 TO ALL PARTIES AND COUNSEL OF RECORD:

16 The above-captioned case was referred to Magistrate Judge Maria-Elena James to prepare a
17 report and recommendation on Plaintiff's pending Motion for Default Judgment. (Dkt. #47.)

18 However, based on Judge Hamilton's February 23, 2009 Order, (Dkt. #51), it appears that certain
19 defendants are not included in the motion, but Plaintiff Levi Strauss & Co. might move for default
20 against them. To conserve judicial resources, the undersigned magistrate judge requests that
21 Plaintiff file a status report indicating whether it intends to proceed with the motion as filed, or if it
22 will be amending the motion to include other defendants. Plaintiff shall file its status report by
23 March 10, 2009.

24 **IT IS SO ORDERED.**

25
26 Dated: March 2, 2009


27 MARIA-ELENA JAMES
United States Magistrate Judge
28