1	IT IS FURTHER STIPULA	ATED that each party shall bear its own fees and costs.	
2	SO STIPULATED by below-signing counsel, on behalf of the parties:		
3			
4	Dated: February 13, 2007	PAUL, HANLEY & HARLEY LLP	
5			
6		By: Deborah R. Rosenthal, Esq.	
7		Attorneys for Plaintiffs	
8	Dated: February 13, 2007	BASSI, MARTINI, EDLIN & BLUM, LLP	
10		Ву	
11		Robert Kraft, Esq. Attorneys for HOPEMAN BROTHERS, INC.	
12			
13		* * *	
14		ORDER	
ا ہے۔		ORDER	
15	Counsel for plaintiffs and f	or defendant HOPEMAN BROTHERS INC. the sole party	
16	_	For defendant HOPEMAN BROTHERS, INC., the sole party uperior Court of the State of California to the U.S. District	
16 17	who removed this case from the Su	uperior Court of the State of California to the U.S. District	
16 17 18	who removed this case from the Su Court, having stipulated that this ca	aperior Court of the State of California to the U.S. District ase be remanded to state court,	
16 17 18 19	who removed this case from the Su Court, having stipulated that this ca PURSUANT TO STIPULA	uperior Court of the State of California to the U.S. District	
16 17 18 19 20	who removed this case from the Su Court, having stipulated that this case PURSUANT TO STIPULA REMANDED to the Superior Court	uperior Court of the State of California to the U.S. District ase be remanded to state court, ATION, IT IS SO ORDERED that the above-captioned case is	
16 17 18 19 20 21	who removed this case from the Su Court, having stipulated that this ca PURSUANT TO STIPULA	uperior Court of the State of California to the U.S. District ase be remanded to state court, ATION, IT IS SO ORDERED that the above-captioned case is	
16 17 18 19 20 21 22	who removed this case from the Su Court, having stipulated that this case PURSUANT TO STIPULA REMANDED to the Superior Court	aperior Court of the State of California to the U.S. District ase be remanded to state court,  ATION, IT IS SO ORDERED that the above-captioned case is rt of California, County of Alameda.	
16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	who removed this case from the Su Court, having stipulated that this case PURSUANT TO STIPULA REMANDED to the Superior Court	aperior Court of the State of California to the U.S. District ase be remanded to state court,  ATION, IT IS SO ORDERED that the above-captioned case is rt of California, County of Alameda.	
16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	who removed this case from the Su Court, having stipulated that this case PURSUANT TO STIPULA REMANDED to the Superior Court	aperior Court of the State of California to the U.S. District ase be remanded to state court,  ATION, IT IS SO ORDERED that the above-captioned case is rt of California, County of Alameda.	
16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	who removed this case from the Su Court, having stipulated that this case PURSUANT TO STIPULA REMANDED to the Superior Court	aperior Court of the State of California to the U.S. District ase be remanded to state court,  ATION, IT IS SO ORDERED that the above-captioned case is rt of California, County of Alameda.	
16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25	who removed this case from the Su Court, having stipulated that this case PURSUANT TO STIPULA REMANDED to the Superior Court	aperior Court of the State of California to the U.S. District ase be remanded to state court,  ATION, IT IS SO ORDERED that the above-captioned case is rt of California, County of Alameda.	
16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26	who removed this case from the Su Court, having stipulated that this case PURSUANT TO STIPULA REMANDED to the Superior Court Dated:	aperior Court of the State of California to the U.S. District ase be remanded to state court,  ATION, IT IS SO ORDERED that the above-captioned case is rt of California, County of Alameda.	

S:\Clients\Plaintiffs\M\Murray, Gene 9358 -- ALA\Motions\HOPEMAN motion to remand - stip.doc

Case 4:07-cv-00843-WDB Document 3 Filed 02/13/2007

Page 2 of 4

	1	IT IS FURTHER STIPULATED that each party shall bear its own fees and costs.
	2	SO STIPULATED by below-signing counsel, on behalf of the parties:
•	3	of the parties:
	4 5	PAUL, HANLEY & HARLEY LLP
,	6 7	By:  Deborah R. Rosenthal, Esq.  Attorneys for Plaintiffs
	8	Dated: February 13, 2007 BASSI, MARTINI, EDLIN & BLUM, LLP
	10	The state of the s
	11	By
	12 -	Attorneys for HOPEMAN BROTHERS, INC.
	13	
•	14	***
•	15	<u>ORDER</u>
ı	16	Counsel for plaintiffs and for defendant HOPEMAN BROTHERS, INC., the sole party
•	17	who removed this case from the Superior Court of the State of California to the U.S. District
	18	Court, having stipulated that this case be remanded to state court,
	19	PURSUANT TO STIPULATION, IT IS SO ORDERED that the above-captioned case is
	20	REMANDED to the Superior Court of California, County of Alameda.
	21	Dated: 2/15/07 /s/ Wayne D. Brazil
	22	Honorable Wayne D. Brazil
•	23	
	24	
	25	
	26	
	27	

22

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

24

23

25

26

27

28

S:\Clients\Plaintiffs\M\Murray, Gene 9358 -- ALA\POS\HOPEMAN stip to withdraw and stip to remand action POS.doc

Northern District Case No. C 07 0843 WDB

Gene Murray, et a.l v. A.W. Chesterton Company, et al