

1 MARY J. SHEA, ESQ. (SBN: 113222)
 SHEA LAW OFFICES
 2 425 Market Street, Suite 2200
 San Francisco, CA 94105
 3 Telephone: (510) 208-4422
 Facsimile: (415) 520-9407
 4 Email: SheaLaw@aol.com

5 LESLIE F. LEVY, ESQ. (SBN: 104634)
 DARCI E. BURRELL, ESQ. (SBN: 180467)
 6 BOXER & GERSON, LLP
 300 Frank H. Ogawa Plaza, Suite 500
 7 Oakland, CA 94612
 Telephone: (510) 835-8870
 8 Facsimile: (510) 835-0415
 Email: courtmail@boxerlaw.com

9 SHARON R. VINICK, ESQ. (SBN: 129914)
 10 EMILY A. NUGENT, ESQ. (SBN: 255048)
 VINICK LAW OFFICES
 11 350 Sansome Street, 300
 San Francisco, CA 94104
 12 Telephone: (415) 722-4481
 Facsimile: (415) 276-6338
 13 Email: sharon@vinicklaw.com

14 Attorneys for Plaintiffs

DENNIS J. HERRERA, ESQ. (SBN: 139669)
 City Attorney
 ELIZABETH SALVESON, ESQ. (SBN: 83788)
 Chief Labor Attorney
 JONATHAN ROLNICK, ESQ. (SBN: 151814)
 ROSE-ELLEN FAIRGRIEVE, ESQ. (SBN: 181257)
 Deputy City Attorneys
 Fox Plaza
 1390 Market Street, 5th Floor
 San Francisco, CA 94102-5408
 Telephone: (415) 554-3815
 Facsimile: (415) 554-4248

Attorneys for Defendant

15 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
 16 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
 17 (San Francisco)

18 JAMES WILSON, and other similarly
19 situated persons,

20 Plaintiffs,

21 vs.

22 CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN
23 FRANCISCO,

24 Defendant.
25

Case No.: 3:07-cv-01016 PJH

**JOINT STIPULATION REGARDING
STAY OF PROCEEDINGS**

1 Plaintiffs JAMES WILSON and other similarly situated persons, through their attorneys,
2 and Defendant CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO, through its attorney, hereby
3 submit the Parties' Joint Stipulation Regarding a Stay of Proceedings in the above-captioned
4 case, as follows:

- 5 1. The Parties appeared before Magistrate Judge Chen on March 13, 2009, to continue
6 the settlement negotiations they have been engaged in since November 18, 2008.
- 7 2. The Parties are scheduled to appear before Magistrate Judge Chen on July 13, 2009,
8 to continue these settlement discussions.
- 9 3. The case is currently stayed until July 31, 2009.
- 10 4. Since the time that the parties originally agreed to stay the litigation, the Ninth Circuit
11 has heard oral argument on *Bamonte v. City of Mesa*, a case which is directly relevant
12 to the issues at hand, as it will have an impact upon liability in this case, as well as the
13 discovery that will be conducted. However, the Ninth Circuit had not yet issued a
14 decision in that case. Proceeding in the instant case before the Ninth Circuit decides
15 the merits of the *Bamonte* case is likely to result in the unnecessary expenditure of
16 time and resources by all parties to the litigation.
- 17 5. Since the case was stayed, the Mayor has appointed a new Chief of Police, George
18 Gascon, who has not yet begun his tenure and has not yet been briefed on the case.
- 19 6. The Parties recognize that the decision in the *Bamonte* case, as well as the
20 appointment of a new Chief of Police bear directly upon the ability of the Parties to
21 settle the case at issue.

22 ///

23 ///

24 ///

25 ///

26 ///

1 7. In light of the foregoing, the Parties request that the Court vacate the Case
2 Management Conference scheduled for July 31, 2009, and grant a stay of the
3 proceedings, subject to review, until October 31, 2009.
4

5 **SHEA LAW OFFICES**
6 **BOXER & GERSON, LLP**
7 **VINICK LAW FIRM**

8 DATED: July 8, 2009

9 By: _____ /s/
10 MARY J. SHEA, ESQ.
11 LESLIE F. LEVY, ESQ.
12 SHARON VINICK, ESQ.
13 Attorneys for Plaintiffs

14 **SAN FRANCISCO CITY ATTORNEY**


15 DATED: July 8, 2009

16 By: _____ /s/
17 JONATHAN ROLNICK, ESQ.
18 Attorneys for Defendants

19 *****

20 IT IS SO ORDERED.

21 DATED: 7/13/09 _____

22 By: _____
23 
24
25
26