

1  
2  
3 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
4 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA  
5

6 BAXTER HEALTHCARE, et al.,

7 Plaintiffs,

No. C 07-1359 PJH

8 v.

**FINAL PRETRIAL ORDER**

9 FRESENIUS MEDICAL CARE HOLDINGS,  
10 et al.,

11 Defendant.  
12 \_\_\_\_\_/

13 Pursuant to Rule 16(e) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, this final pretrial  
14 order is hereby entered and shall control the course of the trial unless modified by a  
15 subsequent order. The joint pretrial statement of the parties is incorporated herein except  
16 as modified by the court's ruling on the pretrial motions and objections.

17 I. DAUBERT MOTIONS

18 Plaintiffs' motions to exclude certain testimony of Fresenius' experts Drs. William  
19 Durfee and Darrell Long are GRANTED. As to the "Background" section of Dr. Durfee's  
20 expert report, and as to the characterization of the contracts between Baxter and Deka, the  
21 witnesses must testify based on personal knowledge, or the facts must be established by  
22 stipulation or other evidence. The expert witnesses may not testify as to information they  
23 did not rely on in forming the opinions for which they are offered.

24 II. MOTIONS IN LIMINE

25 A. Plaintiffs' Motion in Limine No. 1 to prohibit prejudicial comments about  
26 potential post-trial equitable relief is DENIED, with the caveat that defendants will not make  
27 such arguments as to liability, but may submit evidence and argument about injunctive  
28 relief should plaintiffs submit evidence of such as to their damages.

1 B. Plaintiffs' Motion in Limine No. 2 to prohibit defendants from stating, arguing,  
2 or inferring that the HomeChoice Cyclor has caused patient injuries or death, and  
3 defendants' Motion in Limine No. 4 to preclude plaintiffs from arguing that the Liberty Cyclor  
4 could potentially be dangerous or cause patient injury or death, are DENIED. However, the  
5 arguments may not be made in opening statements, and may be made in closing  
6 arguments only if supported by evidence presented at the trial.

7 C. Plaintiffs' Motion in Limine No. 3 to exclude evidence of any new mechanical  
8 changes to the Liberty Cyclor is DENIED. Plaintiffs must establish infringement as to each  
9 of the five versions of the Liberty Cyclor.

10 D. Plaintiffs' Motion in Limine No. 4 to exclude evidence of foreign patents and  
11 U.S. patents that are not directly related to the remaining patents-in-suit is GRANTED.

12 E. Plaintiffs' Motion in Limine No. 6 to exclude evidence of defendants' patents  
13 covering the Liberty Cyclor is DENIED. The differences between design and utility patents  
14 can be explained to the jury via a limiting instruction, to be drafted by the parties and  
15 provided to the court **on the first day of trial**.

16 F. Plaintiffs' Motion in Limine No. 8 to preclude defendants from referencing any  
17 opinions of counsel related to the Liberty Cyclor, and defendants' Motion in Limine No. 2 to  
18 preclude plaintiffs from arguing that defendants did not obtain a pre-suit opinion of counsel  
19 regarding the patents-in-suit, are GRANTED based on the stipulation of counsel at the  
20 conference.

21 G. Plaintiffs' Motion in Limine No. 10 to preclude evidence or argument relating  
22 to Dr. Santiago's untimely-submitted supplemental expert report is DENIED. Defendants  
23 shall make Dr. Santiago available for deposition regarding the supplemental report, with  
24 underlying documents. Plaintiffs shall make Dr. Durgin available for deposition regarding  
25 the response to Dr. Santiago's supplemental report, with any underlying documents.

26 H. Defendants' Motion in Limine No. 1 to exclude defendants' FDA submissions  
27 regarding the Liberty Cyclor is DENIED as moot, in accordance with the parties' agreement  
28 at the hearing that plaintiffs will not argue substantial equivalence but will rely solely on the

1 factual admissions of defendants in the submissions.

2 I. Defendants' Motion in Limine No. 6 to preclude Dean Kamen and other  
3 named inventors from providing opinion testimony as to the validity and infringement of the  
4 patents-in-suit is DENIED as moot in view of plaintiffs' representation that none of the  
5 inventors will be offered as experts at trial.

6 J. All other motions in limine filed with the pretrial papers are DENIED as moot  
7 based on the parties' having withdrawn them prior to the hearing.

8 III. EXHIBITS

9 The parties are encouraged to stipulate to as many exhibits as possible so as to  
10 avoid using trial time to argue questions of admissibility. The court will be available 15  
11 minutes before and after the trial day to address exhibit issues.

12 IV. VOIR DIRE

13 The court will utilize the parties' jointly proposed voir dire questions. The parties  
14 shall prepare the list of witnesses and the list of attorneys that are referenced in the  
15 proposed voir dire and submit them **one week before trial**.

16 V. JURY INSTRUCTIONS

17 The court will give the jointly proposed instructions along with the standard patterned  
18 instructions identified in the case management and pretrial order. With regard to  
19 the separately proposed instructions, the parties shall meet and confer and revise them in  
20 accordance with the court's rulings on the motions in limine. The parties shall endeavor to  
21 agree on all instructions and the court anticipates that only ten (five from each side) will  
22 need resolution by the court. To that end, the parties shall file briefs not exceeding 10  
23 pages in support of and in opposition to the contested jury instructions. The revised  
24 instructions and briefing shall be submitted as soon as possible, but given the deadline  
25 established in section VIII below, they may be submitted **on the first day of trial**.

26 VI. VERDICT FORMS

27 The parties shall meet and confer and revise the verdict form in accordance with the  
28 rulings announced at the pretrial conference. It shall be submitted **on the first day of trial**.

1 VII. TRIAL SCHEDULE AND TIME LIMITS

2 Trial will be held between 8:30 a.m. and 1:30 p.m. with two fifteen minute breaks on  
3 Monday, Tuesday, Thursday and Friday for four weeks. Each side will be permitted 26  
4 hours for everything except closing arguments which will take place no later than Tuesday  
5 July 13, 2010.

6 VIII. MISCELLANEOUS

7 A. Regarding the on-sale bar and the indefiniteness defenses, defendants must  
8 make an offer of proof before trial, and may assert those defenses only if they have  
9 evidence beyond that offered in connection with the motions for summary judgment. The  
10 offer of proof shall be filed no later than **one week before trial**. Regarding the  
11 obviousness defense, defendants must identify the references relied on **one week before**  
12 **trial**. The written description and enablement defenses remain for trial.

13 B. After commencement of the trial, the parties may not file written motions  
14 without leave of court, with the exception of any motions for judgment as a matter of law.

15 C. The parties shall prepare jury notebooks which shall include initially the claim  
16 construction, a glossary, and the undisputed facts.

17

18 **IT IS SO ORDERED.**

19 Dated: June 1, 2010

20

21

22

23


24

25

26

27

28

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
PHYLLIS J. HAMILTON  
United States District Judge