

1 Sara B. Brody (SBN 130222)
 Carol Lynn Thompson (SBN 148079)
 2 Cecilia Y. Chan (SBN 240971)
 sbrody@sidley.com
 3 cthompson@sidley.com
 cecilia.chan@sidley.com
 4 SIDLEY AUSTIN LLP
 555 California Street
 5 San Francisco, California 94104
 Telephone: (415) 772-1200
 6 Facsimile: (415) 772-7400

7 Attorneys for Defendant
 SONIC SOLUTIONS

8
 9 [Additional counsel appear on signature page]

10 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
 11 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

12	RALPH D. WILDER, et al., Derivatively on)	No. C-07-1500-CW
13	Behalf of SONIC SOLUTIONS,)	
14	Plaintiffs,)	STIPULATION ORDER SETTING
15	vs.)	SCHEDULE
16	ROBERT J. DORIS, et al.,)	
17	Defendants,)	
18	- and -)	
19	SONIC SOLUTIONS, a California)	
20	corporation,)	
21	Nominal Defendant.)	

1 WHEREAS, the above-captioned action is a shareholder derivative action brought by
2 plaintiffs on behalf of nominal defendant Sonic Solutions (“Sonic”) against its Board of
3 Directors and certain officers relating to Sonic’s historical stock option grant practices;

4 WHEREAS, on August 2, 2007, this Court consolidated the following related shareholder
5 derivative actions *Wilder v. Doris*, Case No. 07-1500-CW; *Walter v. Doris*, Case No. 07-2344-
6 CW; *Forseth v. Doris*, Case No. 07-3178-CW; and *Doolittle v. Doris*, Case No. 07-3361-CW,
7 appointed plaintiffs Andrew Walter and James Forseth as Lead Plaintiffs (“Lead Plaintiffs”) and
8 appointed the law firm of Schiffrin Barroway Topaz & Kessler, LLP as Lead Counsel;

9 WHEREAS, Lead Plaintiffs and plaintiff James Pinno filed a Consolidated Complaint on
10 April 30, 2008 for which Defendants’ response is currently due on January 15, 2009 pursuant to
11 a prior stipulation;

12 WHEREAS, on July 24, 2008 and on November 3, 2008, counsel for Defendants and
13 Lead Plaintiffs participated in two separate mediation sessions before the Honorable Howard B.
14 Weiner (Ret.) at JAMS;

15 WHEREAS, on December 12, 2008, the parties had another settlement meeting to
16 continue their settlement discussions; and

17 WHEREAS, counsel for Lead Plaintiffs and Defendants have met and conferred and
18 have agreed to extend the time for Defendants to respond to the Consolidated Complaint so that
19 the parties may continue their settlement discussions.

20 THEREFORE, IT IS STIPULATED AND AGREED by Lead Plaintiffs and Defendants,
21 through their respective counsel of record, as follows:

22 1. Plaintiffs and Defendants agree to extend the deadlines for Defendants to file a
23 response to the Complaint, including any motion to dismiss, until February 12, 2009 to permit
24 the parties time to conduct further settlement negotiations. In the event these further negotiations
25 are unsuccessful and Defendants file a motion to dismiss, Plaintiffs agree to file any opposition
26 to Defendants’ motion to dismiss no later than March 26, 2009 and Defendants’ agree to file a
27 reply brief no later than April 23, 2009. The parties further agree that the hearing for the motion
28 to dismiss shall, the Court’s schedule permitting, be set for May 14, 2009 at 2 p.m.

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

ORDER

PURSUANT TO STIPULATION SETTING SCHEDULE, IT IS SO ORDERED. THE CASE
MANAGEMENT CONFERENCE, PREVIOUSLY SET FOR 4/16/09, IS ALSO CONTINUED TO
5/14/09

1/22/09



DATED: _____

The Honorable Claudia Wilken
United States District Judge