

1 Sara B. Brody (SBN 130222)
 Carol Lynn Thompson (SBN 148079)
 2 Cecilia Y. Chan (SBN 240971)
 sbrody@sidley.com
 3 cthompson@sidley.com
 cecilia.chan@sidley.com
 4 SIDLEY AUSTIN LLP
 555 California Street
 5 San Francisco, California 94104
 Telephone: (415) 772-1200
 6 Facsimile: (415) 772-7400

7 Attorneys for Defendant
 SONIC SOLUTIONS

8
 9 [Additional counsel appear on signature page]

10 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
 11 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

12	RALPH D. WILDER, et al., Derivatively on)	No. C-07-1500-CW
13	Behalf of SONIC SOLUTIONS,)	
14	Plaintiffs,)	STIPULATION AND ORDER SETTING
15	vs.)	SCHEDULE
16	ROBERT J. DORIS, et al.,)	
17	Defendants,)	
18	- and -)	
19	SONIC SOLUTIONS, a California)	
20	corporation,)	
21	Nominal Defendant.)	

1 WHEREAS, the above-captioned action is a shareholder derivative action brought by
2 plaintiffs on behalf of nominal defendant Sonic Solutions (“Sonic”) against its Board of
3 Directors and certain officers relating to Sonic’s historical stock option grant practices;

4 WHEREAS, on August 2, 2007, this Court consolidated the following related shareholder
5 derivative actions *Wilder v. Doris*, Case No. 07-1500-CW; *Walter v. Doris*, Case No. 07-2344-
6 CW; *Forseth v. Doris*, Case No. 07-3178-CW; and *Doolittle v. Doris*, Case No. 07-3361-CW,
7 appointed plaintiffs Andrew Walter and James Forseth as Lead Plaintiffs (“Lead Plaintiffs”) and
8 appointed the law firm of Barroway Topaz Kessler Meltzer & Check LLP as Lead Counsel;

9 WHEREAS, Lead Plaintiffs and plaintiff James Pinno filed a Consolidated Complaint on
10 April 30, 2008 for which Defendants’ response is currently due on February 12, 2009 pursuant to
11 a prior stipulation;

12 WHEREAS, on July 24, 2008 and on November 3, 2008, counsel for Defendants and
13 Lead Plaintiffs participated in two separate mediation sessions before the Honorable Howard B.
14 Weiner (Ret.) at JAMS;

15 WHEREAS, on December 12, 2008, the parties had another settlement meeting to
16 continue their settlement discussions;

17 WHEREAS, the parties have reached an agreement in principle to settle this case and are
18 in the process of documenting their settlement;

19 WHEREAS, counsel for Lead Plaintiffs and Defendants agree to extend the time for
20 Defendants to respond to the Consolidated Complaint so that the parties may complete their
21 settlement papers.

22 THEREFORE, IT IS STIPULATED AND AGREED by Lead Plaintiffs and Defendants,
23 through their respective counsel of record, as follows:

24 1. Plaintiffs and Defendants agree to extend the deadline for Defendants to respond
25 to the Complaint, including any motion to dismiss, until March 17, 2009. The parties further
26 agree to submit to the Court by this date, either (a) the preliminary approval related settlement
27 papers, or (b) if the parties have not yet reached final agreement on the settlement papers, a
28 status conference statement discussing the status of these efforts.

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

ORDER

PURSUANT TO STIPULATION SETTING SCHEDULE, IT IS SO ORDERED.

2/17/09



DATED: _____

The Honorable Claudia Wilken
United States District Judge