

1 Sara B. Brody (SBN 130222)
 Carol Lynn Thompson (SBN 148079)
 2 Cecilia Y. Chan (SBN 240971)
 sbrody@sidley.com
 3 cthompson@sidley.com
 cecilia.chan@sidley.com
 4 SIDLEY AUSTIN LLP
 555 California Street
 5 San Francisco, California 94104
 Telephone: (415) 772-1200
 6 Facsimile: (415) 772-7400

7 Attorneys for Defendant
 SONIC SOLUTIONS

8
 9 [Additional counsel appear on signature page]

10 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
 11 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

12	RALPH D. WILDER, et al., Derivatively on)	No. C-07-1500-CW
13	Behalf of SONIC SOLUTIONS,)	
14	Plaintiffs,)	STIPULATION AND ORDER SETTING
15	vs.)	SCHEDULE AS MODIFIED
16	ROBERT J. DORIS, et al.,)	
17	Defendants,)	
18	- and -)	
19	SONIC SOLUTIONS, a California)	
20	corporation,)	
21	Nominal Defendant.)	

1 WHEREAS, the above-captioned action is a shareholder derivative action brought by
2 plaintiffs on behalf of nominal defendant Sonic Solutions (“Sonic”) against its Board of
3 Directors and certain officers relating to Sonic’s historical stock option granting practices;

4 WHEREAS, on August 2, 2007, this Court consolidated the following related shareholder
5 derivative actions *Wilder v. Doris*, Case No. 07-1500-CW; *Walter v. Doris*, Case No. 07-2344-
6 CW; *Forseth v. Doris*, Case No. 07-3178-CW; and *Doolittle v. Doris*, Case No. 07-3361-CW,
7 appointed plaintiffs Andrew Walter and James Forseth as Lead Plaintiffs (“Lead Plaintiffs”) and
8 appointed the law firm of Barroway Topaz Kessler Meltzer & Check LLP as Lead Counsel;¹

9 WHEREAS, as the parties previously advised the Court, the parties have reached an
10 agreement in principle to settle this case and are in the process of documenting their settlement;

11 WHEREAS, by stipulation filed on February 11, 2009, counsel for Lead Plaintiffs and
12 Defendants agreed to extend the time for Defendants to respond to the Consolidated Complaint
13 so that the parties may complete their settlement papers. Specifically, the parties agreed to
14 extend the deadline for Defendants to respond to the Complaint, including any motion to dismiss,
15 until March 17, 2009. The parties further agreed to submit to the Court by this date, either (a)
16 the preliminary approval related settlement papers, or (b) if the parties have not yet reached final
17 agreement on the settlement papers, a status conference statement discussing the status of these
18 efforts;

19 WHEREAS, as detailed in the Joint Status Conference Statement filed concurrently,
20 though the parties have exchanged comments on an initial draft of the settlement papers, the
21 parties need additional time to finalize these papers;

22 THEREFORE, IT IS STIPULATED AND AGREED by Lead Plaintiffs and Defendants,
23 through their respective counsel of record, as follows:

24 1. Lead Plaintiffs and Defendants agree to submit the preliminary approval related
25 settlement papers to the Court by April 28, 2009.

26 _____
27 ¹ At the time of appointment, the firm’s name was Schiffrin Barroway Topaz & Kessler, LLP.
28

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

ORDER

PURSUANT TO STIPULATION SETTING SCHEDULE, IT IS SO ORDERED. **THE CASE MANAGEMENT CONFERENCE SET FOR MAY 14, 2009, AT 2:00 P.M., WILL REMAIN ON CALENDAR.**

3/16/09



DATED: _____

The Honorable Claudia Wilken
United States District Judge